

Public Document Pack



Date and Time Friday, 28th January, 2022 at 10.00 am

Place Ashburton Hall, Elizabeth II Court, The Castle, Winchester

Enquiries to hampshire.pcp@hants.gov.uk

FILMING AND BROADCAST NOTIFICATION

This meeting will be recorded and broadcast live on Hampshire Council's website and may also be recorded and broadcast by the press and members of the public.

AGENDA

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

To enable Members to declare to the meeting any disclosable pecuniary interest they may have in any matter on the agenda for the meeting, where that interest is not already entered in their appointing authority's register of interests, and any other pecuniary or personal interests in any such matter that Members may wish to consider disclosing.

3. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING (Pages 3 - 10)

To confirm the minutes from the previous meeting.

4. QUESTIONS AND DEPUTATIONS

To receive any questions or deputations in line with Rule 31 and 31A of the Panel's Rules of Procedure.

5. CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

To hear any announcements the Chairman may have for this meeting.

6. POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

To hear any announcements the Commissioner may have for the Panel.

7. POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER - POLICE AND CRIME PLAN DELIVERY

To receive a verbal update from the Police and Crime Commissioner detailing delivery against the Police and Crime Plan.

8. POLICE AND CRIME PANEL - UPDATES FROM WORKING GROUPS

To receive a verbal update from recent meetings of the Panel's working groups.

9. POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER - 2022/23 PRECEPT (Pages 11 - 106)

To consider a paper outlining the Police and Crime Commissioner's proposed precept for 2022/23, and supporting financial information.

10. POLICE AND CRIME PANEL - WORK PROGRAMME (Pages 107 - 112)

To consider a report setting out the proposed future work programme for the Panel.

ABOUT THIS AGENDA:

On request, this agenda can be provided in alternative versions (such as large print, Braille or audio) and in alternative languages.

ABOUT THIS MEETING:

The press and public are welcome to attend the public sessions of the meeting. If you have any particular requirements, for example if you require wheelchair access, please contact hampshire.pcp@hants.gov.uk for assistance.

Appointed Members of the Police and Crime Panel attending this meeting qualify for travelling expenses in accordance with their Council's 'Member's Allowances Scheme', as set out in the agreed Police and Crime Panel Arrangements.

HAMPSHIRE POLICE AND CRIME PANEL

**Friday, 12th November, 2021 at 10.00 am
Held in Ashburton Hall, Winchester
(Hampshire County Council)**

Councillors:

Chairman

p Simon Bound
(Basingstoke & Deane Borough Council)

Vice Chairman

p Dave Stewart
(Independent Co-opted Member)

p Dave Ashmore
(Portsmouth City Council)
p Stuart Bailey
(Hart District Council)
p Narinder Bains
(Havant Borough Council)
p John Beavis MBE
(Gosport Borough Council)
a *Vacancy*
(New Forest District Council)
p Trevor Cartwright MBE
(Fareham Borough Council)
p Tonia Craig
(Eastleigh Borough Council)

a Andrew Joy
(Hampshire County Council)
p Phillip Lashbrook
(Test Valley Borough Council)
p David McKinney
(East Hampshire District Council)
p Ken Muschamp
(Rushmoor Borough Council)
p Margot Power
(Winchester City Council)
p Ian Stephens
(Isle of Wight County Council)
p Sarah Vaughan
(Southampton City Council)

Co-opted Members:

Independent Members

p Shirley Young

Local Authority

p Lee Jeffers
p Tony Jones
p Matthew Renyard

At the invitation of the Chairman:

Peter Baulf
Donna Jones
Jason Kenny

*Legal Advisor to the Panel
Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire
Chief Executive, Office of the Police and Crime
Commissioner*

BROADCASTING ANNOUNCEMENT

The Chairman announced that the press and members of the public were permitted to film and broadcast the meeting. Those remaining at the meeting were consenting to being filmed and recorded, and to the possible use of those images and recordings for broadcasting purposes.

32. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from:

- Councillor Andrew Joy, Hampshire County Council

The Chairman also noted that Mark Steele had recently resigned from New Forest District Council and therefore was no longer a Member of the Panel. A new appointment was expected from New Forest District Council shortly and the Chairman thanked Mark for his contributions to the Panel during the year.

33. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members were able to disclose to the meeting any disclosable pecuniary interest they may have in any matter on the agenda for the meeting, where that interest is not already entered in their appointing authority's register of interests, and any other pecuniary or non-pecuniary interests in any such matter that Members may wish to disclose.

No declarations were made.

34. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

The minutes from the meeting held on 27 September 2021 were confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

35. QUESTIONS AND DEPUTATIONS

The Panel received deputations from Theresa Skelton, on the topic of Police and Crime Panel Working Groups, and from Steven George, on the topic of the Police and Crime Panel Work Programme.

36. CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chairman invited the Vice Chairman to give a brief overview of topics discussed at the Police and Crime Panel National Conference, which had been recently attended by the Chairman, Vice-Chairman and Panel's supporting officer.

Since the previous meeting the Panel had made a submission to Part 2 of the Home Office review into the role of Police and Crime Commissioners and the Panel's supporting officer was invited to contribute to a focus group on the review held by Deloitte.

The Chairman also offered his congratulations and support to the City of Southampton for being longlisted as a City of Culture for 2025.

The Chairman thanked the Commissioner for sharing the role profile of the Deputy Police and Crime Commissioner, further to the recommendations of the Panel, and for inviting Members to attend a forthcoming visit to the Marine Unit. The Chairman also commented that briefings provided by the Commissioner and her team, ahead of the public consultation on the draft Police and Crime Plan, were helpful and engaging

Members heard that the Commissioner had made the Chairman aware of changes within the estates team over the summer, following the identification of a number of issues. The Commissioner subsequently confirmed that the issues had been addressed and the estates team was now working on business as usual. The Chairman suggested that the Finance Working Group, on behalf of the Panel, lead on estates as a strand of work, and, following the Panel's request for an update on estates at their meeting in March, that a fuller update be brought back to the Panel, by the Commissioner, in April 2022.

37. POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chair invited announcements from the Commissioner, who highlighted the following to the Panel:

- Jason Kenny, the new Chief Executive, had been in post for several weeks and the new Head of Estates, Mike Ottaway, had also recently started in post.
- Public scrutiny sessions with Chief Constable, formally known as COMPASS, had been renamed to COPS (Commissioners Oversight of Policing Services). Members heard that COMPASS had previously cost £12-£15k per annum to produce, however COPS was being hosted using Facebook Live at no additional cost and also allowed the sessions to be more open and transparent. Recordings of COPS sessions were accessible on both the Commissioner's and Constabulary's social media pages, YouTube and were also shared via email.
- The Commissioner had assumed the Chair of the Local Criminal Justice Board. Through this role the Commissioner had identified concerns regarding the Criminal Justice Service (CJS) both across Wessex and locally in Hampshire and the Isle of Wight, which would require her to dedicate a significant amount of time and focus upon the CJS provision.
- Of particular concern was the closure of remand courts on a Saturday in Newport and Portsmouth with short notice, due to legal adviser availability, with Basingstoke also to stop Saturday sittings later in the month. Members heard this would result in all cases in the Hampshire Policing Area being heard in Southampton. This would have a significant draw on police resource to transport those accused to Southampton. As a further impact, prisoners on remand were unable to be transported to prisons as reception desks would be closed by the time a case was heard, and instead would be brought back to police custody. Members heard that it was not legal to hold prisoners on remand in police custody and therefore Hampshire Constabulary had self-referred themselves to the IOPC. The Commissioner had written to HM Courts & Tribunal

Service (HMCTS) to advise that this situation was wholly unacceptable. The Commissioner made Members aware that the Attorney General had recently visited the Eastern Police Investigation Centre (PIC), to look at how to improve and expedite the number of cases being heard.

- £5.4m in grants had been issued by the OPCC during the current financial year. The Commissioner highlighted that her team had successfully secured two to three times the level of grant funds allocated in the base budget through applications to central government grant rounds. This had included funding for the Violence Reduction Unit (VRU) and £78k to support male victims of rape. Members heard the latest grants round, which had just opened for applications, would focus upon youth crime, ASB and preventing criminalisation.
- The Commissioner held the national portfolios for both Victims and Serious and Organised Crime. There was a significant national focus upon violence against women and girls (VAWG) and Members heard that the Commissioner had been working with the national VAWG lead, Maggie Blyth, who was a former Assistant Chief Constable at Hampshire Constabulary. The Commissioner had introduced a VAWG working group, which would run for a period of a year and bring together lead organisations from across Hampshire and the Isle of Wight to focus on delivering tangible outcomes.
- As of end of September, Hampshire Constabulary had recruited 621 additional officers, of which 385 were funded through the central government uplift programme. This had brought the officer FTE establishment of the Constabulary to 3029. Members heard that a further 192 officers were due to start between October and March 2022. Having commenced the recruitment programme in April 2020, it was expected that the true operational benefits would start to be realised from March 2022, as the new officers complete their training period
- In addition to officer recruitment the Constabulary had recruited 103 new PCSO's during the financial year, bringing the PCSO FTE to 248.
- The first formal meeting of the ASB task force was scheduled to be held in December and the PCC would be happy to provide an update to the Panel on the work of this group at a future meeting.

Councillor Ian Stephens joined the meeting.

38. **POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER - DRAFT POLICE AND CRIME PLAN**

The Commissioner's 'More Police Safer Streets' Draft Police and Crime Plan was introduced by the Chief Executive who explained that the Plan set out the Commissioner's strategic direction for the period 2021-2024.

The Commissioner noted that an initial draft of the Plan had been shared with the Panel on 20 August and that following initial comments from Members of the Panel and an 8 week consultation, which had received 7336 responses, the final draft was presented for the Panel's consideration.

Members heard that:

- The plan would be one of the most accessible Police and Crime Plans nationally and was considered a living document which would evolve and grow over the period to 2024.
- The layout of the plan was designed to clearly inform residents what the Commissioner would deliver and the outcomes to be achieved.
- Alongside the Plan document, which would be available both electronically and in print, there would be a microsite, which would go live once feedback on the draft plan had been received from the Panel. The microsite was intended to be very interactive and contained embedded videos to make the plan more accessible. The Commissioner shared a video which featured the Chief Constable, explaining that the video would be one of those available to view on the microsite.
- The response to the Police and Crime Plan consultation was the highest to date and along with seven focus groups, the OPCC had used YouGov to ensure responses were provided across all districts within the policing area and were representative of views from a diverse range of communities.
- The Commissioner had been surprised by the lack of priority given to call handling within the survey responses and felt this was mostly likely driven by a wording issue. The Commissioner had therefore sought to make clearer within the final draft plan what this priority meant.

Members congratulated the Commissioner on the clarity of the content within the plan and its accessibility and the level of public engagement with the consultation.

Cllr Margot Power joined the meeting at this point.

In response to Members questions it was heard that:

- Following public feedback, wording within the Plan had been adapted to ensure the content was clearer and some of the imagery had been updated.
- The Commissioner and her team had worked with the Victim Care Service to seek feedback on the draft plan from victims of crime in a way which was sensitive to their needs. It was further noted that a number of victims had engaged directly with the survey, through signing up for inclusion in the Commissioner's distribution lists.
- Along with the Panel, the Commissioner had shared an early draft of the Plan with Community Safety Partnerships, Hampshire Constabulary and health partners for feedback on the development of the Plan.
- The figure of 600 more police officers was based on the establishment as at January 2020.
- There was a shortage of police detectives at a national level as well as locally. Hampshire Constabulary were carrying a 40% vacancy rate in Investigations Command and the Commissioner had been actively supporting the Chief Constable in enhancing recruitment activity in a bid to increase detective capacity.

- In response to the Panel's request, baseline establishment figures for Hampshire Constabulary since 2010 would be shared with the Panel following the meeting, along with an update on the diversity of the force.
- The Commissioner had spoken with the Chief Constable regarding the vetting of new recruits, and whilst updates to national vetting guidance were awaited, the Chief Constable provided assurances that the current vetting used in Hampshire was comprehensive and in line with other policing areas.
- Hampshire Constabulary had put forward a bid to the Commissioner to increase in staff numbers in the Professional Standards Department (PSD) to meet any future demand resulting from the increased focus, at a national level, on police officer conduct. In response to Members comments the Commissioner offered to provide an update to a future meeting on how appropriate standards of behaviour were being set with new recruits to the force.
- Resulting from the Constabulary's need to move to a high-harm model in recent years, public dissatisfaction existed around the response to medium-low level crimes. The Commissioner had identified that whilst the Constabulary were taking action in respect of these reports, they weren't feeding this information back to those reporting crimes which had in turn impacted on confidence in policing. The Commissioner hoped to develop a new crime reporting app which would enable this feedback to be given. Further, once the additional 600 officers were fully operational, the Commissioner would be challenging the Constabulary to deliver an enhanced preventative approach in communities and to tackle more lower harm concerns.
- The Commissioner agreed that more attention could have been drawn in the plan to enhancing public confidence in policing, however it was an area of focus and actions to address this included holding the COPS sessions.
- Third Party Reporting Centres were a joint initiative between the OPCC and Hampshire Constabulary who regularly reviewed the coverage, numbers of reports being received and feedback from victims of crime.
- Should central funding for the Violence Reduction Centres (VRU's) be cut or discontinued the Commissioner would look at how funding could be maintained locally. Whilst this would likely result to cuts in other services, the Commissioner recognised that the Constabulary would want maintain the VRU's as an effective approach in addressing high risk offending related to knife and gun crime.
- Policing powers were limited if there wasn't an appropriate space to signpost those using unauthorised encampments towards. The Commissioner was engaging with all councils, outside of the IOW where the issue was less of a concern, to find a solution which would be of benefit to all.
- The Commissioner was cognisant of the pledges made when the previous precept was set and was having ongoing conversations with the Constabulary regarding the delivery of these.
- The Commissioner's Office had secured £460,000 of funding from the Ministry of Justice to be spent on trauma informed approaches by the end of March 2022. Training was planned for 1600 professionals from across Hampshire and the IOW in the application of trauma informed approaches.

- Operation Magenta, which was investigating historical deaths at Gosport War Memorial Hospital, required funding from the Commissioner in the region of £2m per year for the next three years, with the remainder of the funding being covered by the Home Office due to the scale of the number of cases involved. Members heard that the Commissioner had written to the Home Secretary and Home Office regarding the sustainability of local funding for the investigation.
- Members highlighted that the time period the plan was to cover was not transparent within the document itself.

RESOLVED:

That the Panel reviews the draft Police and Crime Plan and makes such report and recommendations upon it as the Panel considers appropriate.

39. POLICE AND CRIME PANEL - WORKING GROUPS

Members were presented with a report setting out the membership of the Panel's working groups and sub-committee.

Councillor Sarah Vaughan volunteered to join the Plan Working Group, with agreement that the vacancy on the Finance Working Group and vacancy on the Equality and Diversity working group would remain unfilled.

RESOLVED:

That the Panel appoint members to the Complaints Sub-Committee, Police and Crime Plan working group, Finance working group and Equality and Diversity Working Group until the annual meeting of the Panel in 2022 as set out within the report and the additional appointment of Councillor Sarah Vaughan to the Plan Working Group, as proposed at the meeting.

40. POLICE AND CRIME PANEL - WORK PROGRAMME

Members received a report from the scrutiny officer to the Panel setting out the proposed work programme for the Panel

The Chairman noted that he intended to add the following items to the Panel's work programme, for consideration at the April meeting:

- Estates Strategy

RESOLVED:

That the work programme is agreed.

HAMPSHIRE POLICE AND CRIME PANEL

Report

Date Considered:	28th January 2022
Title:	Council tax Precept 2022/23, Budget 2022/23 and Medium-Term Financial Strategy 2023/24 to 2025/26
Report from:	Andy Lowe, PCC Chief Finance Officer Richard Croucher, Force Chief Finance Officer

Tel: 01962 871595

Email: opcc@hampshire.pnn.police.uk

1. Recommendations

The Police and Crime Panel (P&CP):

- 1.1. **Support the Police and Crime Commissioner's (PCC) proposed precept increase of £10 per annum for Band D properties, which is the equivalent of £0.83 per month, or 19p per week.**
- 1.2. Note the recommendations from the Chief Constable to the PCC on her operational requirements for 2022/23 onwards, and her support for a precept increase of £10 to enable the delivery of those requirements, as set out in Appendix A.
- 1.3. Note that 61% of households across Hampshire and the Isle of Wight are in properties in Council Tax bands A-C (see paragraph 7.13), and would therefore see a precept increase of less than £10 per annum if the above recommendation is supported.
- 1.4. Note that the precept increase will support the delivery of the PCC's Police and Crime Plan 'More Police, Safer Streets'
- 1.5. Note the proposals in this report which will ensure that for 2022/23 there will be significant investment to enable 'More Police, Safer Streets', which includes delivering an increase in police officer numbers by 196 above the existing budgeted establishment as part of the third tranche of the Government's commitment to increase police office numbers nationally by 20,000
- 1.6. Note that the total uplift in police officer numbers in Hampshire Constabulary over the three-year period 2020/21 – 2022/23 delivered through the Government's Uplift programme is 498, and that with support from the PCC, Hampshire Constabulary is targeting an increase of 600 officers by April 2023, and the budget proposed for 2022/23 includes additional funding to deliver this target
- 1.7. Note that the PCC has undertaken a broad range of consultation over the course of the last year to determine the public support for a precept increase, and that the overall collective outcome of the consultation shows that there is majority support for a precept increase.

2. Executive Summary

2.1. The proposed budget has been developed to support the priorities of the Police and Crime Commissioner's Police and Crime Plan– 'More Police, Safer Streets' - which was published in 2021, and to meet the PCC's vision which is:

'for Hampshire and the Isle of Wight to be two of the safest places to live, work and visit in the country'

2.2. The PCC's Police and Crime Plan (Plan) can be accessed using the link below:

www.morepolicesaferstreets.com

2.3. The Plan sets out nine key priorities:

- i) 600 more police officers by 2023
- ii) improve police visibility
- iii) tackle anti-social behaviour
- iv) making it easier to report crime through 101
- v) prevent young people from committing crime
- vi) zero tolerance approach to knife crime
- vii) crack down on unauthorised encampments
- viii) improved outcome for victims
- ix) targeting rural crime

2.4. The budget and proposed precept increase set out in this report will help ensure that the PCC can deliver on her Plan priorities, in total investing **£20.95m** to fund new initiatives which includes:

- significant investment of **£11.3m** in 196 new police officers for Hampshire Constabulary and the enabling infrastructure, which supports and will deliver the PCC's number one priority which is to have '600 more police officers' by 2023, and will also help meet the priority of 'improving police visibility'
- a particular focus on 'improved outcomes for victims' and 'preventing young people from committing crime', for which the PCC has set aside an additional **£1m** in 2022/23 to support increased commissioning of services to support these priorities
- significant investment of **£1.5m** in both staff and supporting infrastructure for the 101 service
- additional investment of **£2.9m** to ensure that the police estate remains operationally effective, is continually improved, and is a modern and safe environment; this is in addition to the existing budget commitment for 2022/23 of an additional £4m capital contribution for future capital investment

- ensuring the police have the right resources to tackle crime in order to keep the residents of Hampshire and the Isle of Wight safe – in total the investment in the Constabulary will increase by circa **£28m*** compared to the 2021/22 budget. This includes a focus on the delivery of the top five areas which impact the public of Hampshire and the Isle of Wight the most:
 - i) serious and organised crime and countering terrorism
 - ii) murder and serious violence
 - iii) domestic abuse, rape and serious sexual offences
 - iv) child abuse, exploitation and vulnerability
 - v) fraud and cyber crime

*this is the net increase in direct support of the Constabulary budget and includes inflation £6.6m, Efficiency Savings (£1.4m), Growth £11.1m, Specific allocation to Reserves £11.5m (Uplift, vehicles, Marine Unit, Equipment)

- taking full account of the Chief Constable’s operational requirements, and her recommendations for the necessary investment to ensure that the Constabulary remain in the strongest possible position to support the PCC in delivery of her Plan and her vision ‘for Hampshire and the Isle of Wight to be two of the safest places to live, work and visit in the country’
- in addition to the £20.95m for growth initiatives, the budget also includes inflation funding to allow for a police officer and police staff pay award of up to 3.5%, which recognises that the public sector pay freeze has been lifted; the actual pay award will be agreed following recommendations from the Pay Review bodies.

Chief Constable Operational Recommendation

2.5. The Chief Constable has outlined in Appendix A her support for and assessment of the operational case for a £10 increase to support the PCC to deliver ‘More Police, Safer Streets’, and has set out that:

‘The operational case for investing locally is clear.....these budget papers contain a clear list of the specific things this budget will enable that without Council Tax increases will not be possiblethese are all enabled by a £10 increase in council tax’

2.6. The operational case sets out clearly why additional investment is required for policing in Hampshire and the Isle of Wight, and the benefits that will delivered.

Police Settlement

2.7. The Police grant settlement was announced on the 16th December 2021, and confirmed for 2022/23 that:

- i) There would be an increase in Hampshire’s Police Core Grant of £12.4m (total core grant £223.0m), to support the Uplift in officer numbers. In addition the Police Uplift Grant increases

by £0.9m to £3.5m giving a net increase of £13.3m for Government grant.

- ii) The Pension Grant remains at £2.99m
- iii) Hampshire has been allocated £3.5m (£2.6m in 2021/22) of specific ringfenced grant to fund and enable a further 'uplift' in Hampshire's police officer numbers (an increase in establishment of 196 officers).
- iv) The cap on the maximum increase in precept would be set at £10 per year for a Band D property (and it is important to note that the Home Secretary and the Minister of State for Crime and Policing assumptions are very much based on PCCs implementing the maximum £10 increase), which would equate to a 4.4% precept increase (for 2021/22 the maximum precept increase was capped at £15, an increase of 7.1% in Hampshire) per Band D property.

2.8. In summary the grant settlement position is as below:

	2021/22	2022/23	Increase
	£m	£m	£m
Core Police Grant	210.6	223	12.4
Police Uplift Grant	2.6	3.5	0.9
Pension Grant	3	3	0
Legacy Council Tax Grant	12.9	12.9	0
Total Government Grant	229.2	242.5	13.3

2.9. The PCC positively welcomes both the increase in Police Grant for 2022/23, and the specific ring-fenced grant to support an increase of 196 police officers, as well as the announcement on grant and precept levels for later years.

2.10. Further detail on the grant settlement is set out in section 6.

The Council Tax Precept

2.11. Local funding through Council Tax remains an important source of funding to compliment and add to the increase in government grant, and will support and enable the PCC to deliver the key priorities within her Plan, deliver an uplift in police officer numbers, and support the Chief Constable in delivering operational effectiveness.

Change in the Balance of Funding Between Grant and Council Tax

2.12. The balance of funding has changed over the last decade, with Council Tax now accounting for 41% of overall funding compared to 33% in 2010/11, and government grant accounting for 59% of overall funding compared with 66%.

Investment Opportunity

- 2.13. The funding settlement for 2022/23, which includes specific funding as part of the government's commitment to increase police officer numbers in Hampshire by 196 in 2022/23 as part of its Uplift programme, combined with the flexibility to increase the council tax precept by £10, provides an opportunity to make a significant investment in policing, the police estate, crime prevention and support to victims of crime across Hampshire and the Isle of Wight in 2022/23.

Precept Proposal

- 2.14. The PCC must ensure sufficient resources are available to deliver her Plan and her statutory responsibilities.
- 2.15. The PCC has fully reviewed and scrutinised the detail of the Chief Constable's operational case and request for a Precept increase of £10 in support of policing, and is in no doubt that the operational case is compelling.
- 2.16. Taking account of the above, and also the positive feedback to the Precept consultation (see paragraphs 14.1 – 14.9) the PCC's proposal is therefore for a £10 increase in the Council Tax Precept for 2022/23 (for a Band D property), as set out in section 7.
- 2.17. Increasing the Precept by £10 will raise an additional circa £7m per annum from 2022/23 (on a like for like basis to 2021/22 without taking account of any increase in the Council Tax Base from 2021/22).
- 2.18. The total Precept raised for 2022/23 is estimated to be £167.1m, a total increase of £9m compared to 2021/22 (allowing for an estimated increase in the Council Tax base of 1.23%).
- 2.19. The PCC's view is that increasing the council tax precept by the maximum permitted amount is the responsible thing to do to because it will enable and support her vision ***'for Hampshire and the Isle of Wight to be two of the safest places to live, work and visit in the country'***, provide increased investment in support of her Plan and enable the Chief Constable to have the necessary resources to deliver her operational requirements.
- 2.20. The Chief Constable is clear in her support for a £10 precept increase and the operational benefits that it will deliver.
- 2.21. In the context of the proposed £10 precept increase, it should be noted that 61% of households across Hampshire and the Isle of Wight are in Bands A – C, and so will see an increase of less than £10 per annum (see paragraph 7.13).

What will the Budget Deliver

- 2.22. On the assumption of a £10 precept increase (Band D), this report sets out that the PCC can:
- i) Set a balanced budget for 2022/23 in the sum of £410.5m, as set out below:

	£m	%
Hampshire Constabulary	357.9	87.2
Police Estate	22.3	5.4
Office of the Police & Crime Commissioner	2.5	0.6
Commissioning	3.6	0.9
Crime Prevention	1.2	0.3
Capital Financing (net of Interest earned of £0.5m)	4.6	1.1
Contribution to Reserves*	18.4	4.5
Total Proposed Budget	410.5	100

* The contribution to reserves is further analysed in paragraphs 11.1 – 11.13, but in summary includes £11.5m set aside for specific direct investment in Hampshire Constabulary resource (for example Police Officer Uplift, equipment, vehicles, IT), £6.9m investment in the Police Estate)

- ii) Support the delivery of her vision and enable delivery of the key priorities as set out in her Plan
- iii) Fund a significant increase in the Chief Constable's budget to enable the Chief Constable to deliver her operational requirements, making the necessary investment to ensure the Constabulary continue to be a modern, operationally effective Police Service, including setting aside funding to meet the significant infrastructure investment required both now and in future years to invest in the police estate and to support the Police Officer Uplift programme and the PCC's priority for 600 more police officers by 2023
- iv) Provide additional funding to support the Capital Programme
- v) ensure that reserves remain adequate to meet the significant number of known cost pressures and risks which arise over the timeline of the medium-term financial strategy

2.23. The budget will deliver investment of **£20.95m** as set out below, and with further detail provided in Appendix E:

	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26
	£m	£m	£m	£m
More Frontline	13.163	10.482	10.465	10.635
Right Place, Right Time	5.887	3.821	2.377	2.324
Better Equipped	3.896	1.295	0.788	1.656
Contributions from reserves	(5.946)	(0.574)	(0.067)	(1.049)
Estates	2.936	2.436	2.436	2.436
Commissioning	1.017	1.017	1.017	1.017
Future pressures	-	5.000	10.000	15.000
Net Total	20.954	23.476	27.016	32.020

i) **More Frontline**

More frontline police officers and staff to provide a visible presence, prevent crime and investigate incidents when they occur, and sustain PCSO numbers.

ii) **Right Place, Right Time**

More personnel in the contact centres so that calls to police for emergency and non-emergency reasons can be answered and given an appropriate assessment and deployment, including the deployment of officers immediately when needed.

Investment in new technology to:

- allow personnel to spend more time in the communities they serve
- provide personnel with the information they need to deal with incidents well, and to provide the public with feedback
- ensure that the police can fight the continued challenge and increased emergence of cyber crime
- improve security to reduce the risk of cyber attack or failures of police IT

iii) **Better Equipped**

Investment in police personnel to give them the equipment, skills and support to ensure that they are ready and able to perform.

iv) **Investment in the Police Estate**

- Additional investment across the estate to support the Uplift in police officer numbers
- Additional investment in preventative and reactive maintenance and statutory compliance

- Funding to support investment in the Police estate
- v) **Increased Support to Victims of Crime**
Significant further investment in commissioned services enabling improved outcomes for victims
- vi) **Increased Crime Prevention Initiatives**
Significant further investment in commissioned services enabling increased early intervention to prevent young people from committing crime
- vii) **Investment in Preventing and Tackling Crime in Rural Communities**
Specific funding has continued to be set aside to prevent and tackle rural crime

Medium Term Position

2.24. This report also sets out that on the assumption of a £10 precept increase (Band D) for 2022/23, followed by precept increases of 1.99% in each of the following 3 years (2023/24 – 2025/26), it is likely that savings will be required in later years to balance the budget, as set out in section 3:

	Forecast Budget Deficit £m
2023/24	10.2
2024/25	13.2
2025/26	22.5

2.25. The Police Settlement has provided greater clarity on the expected levels of Government grant support and Council Tax Precept referendum limits for 2023/24 and 2024/25. Whilst the grant support is not confirmed at individual PCC level, it is very helpful from a financial planning perspective.

2.26. The MTFs therefore includes a number of assumptions that will need to be updated and refined as information becomes available for 2023/24 and beyond.

Capital Programme

2.27. The capital programme has been updated, and from a financing perspective provision has been made to support capital expenditure and borrowing to ensure continued investment across the Police estate, including the provision of the estate requirements in support the Police Officer Uplift programme

2.28. Further detail is set out in section 9, and the Capital Strategy is attached as Appendix G.

Reserves

2.29. The PCC CFO's assessment is that:

- i) the level of the General Fund reserve is reflective of the overall risk environment in which the PCC operates
- ii) the level of Earmarked reserves and their purpose are necessary and appropriate

2.30. Further detail on reserves is set in section 11, and the Reserves Strategy is attached as Appendix H.

Risk

2.31. There are a number of risks which could impact on the MTFs, and these are detailed in section 12.

2.32. As set out above the level of reserves held is appropriate and takes account of the identified risks.

CFO Section 25 report

2.33. The PCC CFO is required under section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003 to report to the PCC when setting her precept on:

- the robustness of the estimates included in the budget, and
- the adequacy of the financial reserves in the budget.

2.34. The CFO's section 25 report, which provides a positive opinion on both of the above, is attached to this report as Appendix I.

Consultation

2.35. In considering whether or not to use the flexibility given to Commissioners by Central Government to increase the precept by £10, the PCC has given due consideration to the views of the public and also to the consequences of setting a precept lower than £10.

2.36. The PCC has undertaken extensive online consultation on the police precept, using two online surveys and focus groups.

2.37. A total of 4,340 members of the public have taken part in a number of online consultation exercises during the four week consultation period from 2 December 2021 to 4 January 2022. The public consultation exercise on the police precept has had three distinct elements:

- i) Online surveys, which saw 4,314 people submit responses across multiple platforms. This included the PCC's online survey, which achieved 3,814 responses.
- ii) A YouGov survey of 500 demographically weighted respondents across Hampshire and the Isle of Wight.
- iii) Six focus groups were conducted by the OPCC from Thursday 6 January to Tuesday 11 January 2022. Police and Crime Panel members Councillor John Beavis,

Councillor Margot Power of the P&CP Finance Working Group and Co-opted member of the P&CP and Chair of the Finance Working Group Shirley Young observed two focus groups.

2.38. The outcome from each individual element of the consultation, and the overall collective outcome shows that there is significant support for an increase of £10 in the precept for 2022/23.

2.39. A summary of the results is set out in section 14, and the full details are set out in Appendix J.

Summary

2.40. The PCC is clear that as set out above, implementing a precept increase of £10 for a Band D property is necessary to deliver her vision and the priorities within her Plan.

2.41. The Chief Constable has set out her operational case in clear support of a £10 precept increase.

2.42. The precept consultation has shown clear support for a £10 precept increase.

2.43. 61% of households across Hampshire and the Isle of Wight are in properties in council tax bands A-C, and would therefore see a precept increase of less than £10 per annum as set out below

2.44. The CFO's section 25 report, attached to this report as Appendix I, provides a positive opinion on:

- the robustness of the estimates included in the budget, and
- the adequacy of the financial reserves in the budget.

2.45. Further detail on the financial assumptions which underpin the proposed budget and Medium-Term Financial Strategy is set out in sections 3 – 12 below.

3. Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) Summary

3.1. As set out in section 6 (Grant Settlement) and section 7 (Council Tax Precept), the Police Grant Settlement announcement confirmed:

- the overall level of Police Grant for later years, 2023/24 and 2024/25, although the announcement did not include allocations at an individual PCC level
- that PCC's would be permitted to increase the police precept by an additional £10 in each year 2023/24 and 2024/25

3.2. In view of the above the key funding assumptions which have therefore been used to underpin the MTFS are as follows:

- a precept increase of £10 in 2022/23, followed by precept increases of 1.99% in the following years 2023/24 – 2024/25; clearly this leaves flexibility for the PCC to consider the level of

precept rises in these later years (a £10 increase in 2023/24 for example would be an increase of 4.23%)

- an increase in police grant in both 2023/24 and 2024/25 in line with Hampshire’s usual share of the overall total police grant allocation, and flat grant (no increase) for 2025/26 (in comparison to 2024/25)
- an assumption that additional funding will be available to support any increase in the Employer costs of the Police Officer Pension Scheme (see paragraph 3.15-3.16) which arise as a result of the Police Pension Fund quadrennial valuation

3.3. Based on these assumptions, the MTFs currently shows that for 2022/23 the PCC is able to set a balanced budget, but for the years 2023/24, 2024/25 and 2025/26 the budget currently shows a shortfall/budget in excess of forecast income of:

	Forecast Budget Deficit
	£m
2023/24	10.2
2024/25	13.2
2025/26	22.5

- 3.4. As currently presented, it is likely that further savings will be necessary in future years to balance the budget in 2023/24 and beyond, but this will be firmed up in later iterations of the MTFs as the assumptions which underpin the MTFs (e.g. grant funding, pay award, inflation, LGPS pension employer rate, future year precept increase, budget pressures) become clearer.
- 3.5. In the event that the MTFs were to remain unbalanced in future years post confirmation of funding for the years 2023/24 onwards, the PCC and Chief Constable would need to review planned expenditure and propose mitigating reductions to balance the budget position.

Investment

- 3.6. The MTFs includes significant cost pressures and growth items included in the 2022/23 budget and later years. The budget pressures are primarily operationally unavoidable costs if the PCC and Constabulary are to continue to deliver the additional capacity and productivity required to meet the demand and expectations of the public.
- 3.7. The budget pressures and growth for 2022/23 total £20.95m as set out in section 5 and Appendix E

MTFS 2022/23– 2025/26: Other Assumptions

- 3.8. The summary MTFS position for 2022/23 to 2025/26 is shown at Appendix D of this report. It provides a forecast of the financial position over the next four years, including a firm position for the 2022/23 budget.

Inflation and Pay

- 3.9. The budget includes provision for inflation for contract spend and other areas where inflationary increases are unavoidable.
- 3.10. The inflation assumptions applied are shown in Appendix B. In some areas, such as cleaning, inflation factors will be known as they are included within multi-year contracts. In most cases inflation is unknown. A default rate of 4% has been used where inflation is unknown in line with the prevailing Consumer Price Index (CPI) as at October 2021; CPI has since risen to 5.1% for the 12 month period to November 2021, so inflation is a potential risk to the budget which will need to be managed.
- 3.11. The most significant inflation value is the pay award; a 3.5% pay award is assumed with effect from 1 September 2022 in line with other forces. The Home Secretary decides on the actual pay award following consideration of the recommendations from the Police Pay Review Body with effect from September each year, so the actual pay award will not be known until nearer September 2022.
- 3.12. If there is any variation to the pay award assumption then, for example, an extra 1% would equate to an extra £1.7m of cost in 2022/23 as there is only a part-year effect given that the pay award takes effect from September each year.
- 3.13. As inflation and pay awards are included as best estimates, they are of course a risk within the MTFS as the actual position could differ from the assumptions made.

Future Cost Pressures and Growth Items

Growth

- 3.14. The MTFS at Appendix D allows within the budget for £5m to fund recurrent revenue pressures and growth per annum (incremental each year from 2023/24, so an additional £15m by 2025/26). This ensures that the MTFS provides an allowance to fund future growth and pressures, and based on recent years £5m is the minimum realistic sum which should be set aside. As this is an estimate, there is a risk to the MTFS that the actual level of recurrent revenue pressures/growth is greater than £5m per annum.

Police Officer Pension Valuation

- 3.15. The next revaluation of the Police Officer Pension Scheme will impact from the 2024/25 financial year. There is a significant risk that there could be an increase in the cost of the scheme, which will impact the employer rate of contribution.
- 3.16. At the last revaluation, the Government provided funding for the increase in the employer pension rate through a combination of an increase in the

police grant plus a specific grant; this funding increase was only secured following extensive lobbying from the sector. A key assumption within the MTFs is that any increase in cost will be offset by additional grant (over and above the current settlement announcement) but that remains a risk.

Savings

- 3.17. The forecast position is that whilst the budget is balanced in 2022/23 there are estimated budget deficits in 2023/24, 2024/25 and 2025/26.
- 3.18. In the event that after updating the assumptions within the MTFs for post 2022/23 the budget remains in deficit for those years, the PCC and Chief Constable would need to review planned expenditure and propose mitigating reductions to the budget.
- 3.19. There is one significant saving which may materialise and impact the MTFs forecast, in relation to the LGPS pension deficit contribution saving, and further details are set out below.

LGPS Pension Deficit Contribution

- 3.20. An outcome from the 2019 valuation was that the Fund was deemed to be 100% funded, compared to an 82% funding level at the 2016 valuation date; at the 2016 valuation the Fund was therefore in deficit.
- 3.21. From 2017/18 – 2019/20, the Constabulary and OPCC had been required to pay a fixed cash sum per annum of £5.5m as a contribution to fund the deficit over a 16-year recovery period.
- 3.22. As the most 2019 recent valuation determined that the Hampshire LGPS was fully funded, the requirement to pay deficit contributions fell away for the period 2020/21 – 2022/23.
- 3.23. The advice from the CFO to the Hampshire Pension Fund in advance of the 2020/21 budget was that as the valuation is on a 3 year cycle, and includes a whole range of assumptions which will be re-visited at the next valuation in 2022 (for example the return on investments), the most prudent treatment of this saving was as a one off saving over the period of this valuation cycle, on the basis that at the next valuation in 2022 the Fund could be in deficit and the requirement for a deficit payment re-emerge.
- 3.24. On that basis, the deficit saving of £5.5m has been utilised over the past two years to fund one-off pressures, and will also be used on the same basis to fund one off pressures within the 2022/23 budget.
- 3.25. Based on the actual performance of the Hampshire LGPS Fund over the last three years, it is possible that an outcome from the 2022 valuation could be that the Fund remains in surplus, in which case it may be possible that the £5.5m could be set aside on a recurrent basis and utilised to fund the overall budget.
- 3.26. The outcome of the valuation will be known in advance of setting the 2023/24 budget and so the implications can be taken into account.

3.27. The one-off items to be funded from this saving in 2022/23 are included within the growth items set out in section 5.

Capital Programme

3.28. The capital programme has been updated, and from a financing perspective provision has been made to support capital expenditure and borrowing to ensure continued investment across the Police estate, including the provision of the estate requirements in support the Police Officer Uplift programme

3.29. Further detail is set out in section 9, and the Capital Strategy is attached as Appendix G.

4. Police Officer Uplift Programme

4.1. The Government announced in July 2019 their intention to invest in policing to provide funding to support the appointment of an additional 20,000 police officers over the next three years.

4.2. The initial uplift in police officer numbers for 2020/21 was 6,000, of which Hampshire Constabulary's share was an additional 156 officers.

4.3. Hampshire's additional allocation for 2021/22 was an additional 153 officers (which includes 146 new officers in Hampshire, plus 7 new officers in the South East Regional Organised Crime Unit (SEROCU)).

4.4. The final year of allocation in 2022/23 gives Hampshire 207 new officers, of which 11 officers go to SEROCU, leaving 196 officers for Hampshire. Over the three years that provides a total of an extra 498 officers for Hampshire through the Police Uplift Programme; however with support from the PCC, Hampshire Constabulary is targeting an increase of 600 officers by April 2023, and the budget proposed for 2022/23 includes additional funding to deliver this target

5. Investment in Policing

5.1. In any given financial year, the PCC's overall budget will be faced with demand/cost led spending pressures and also with a range of growth initiatives; growth items are usually a choice whereas a demand/cost pressure is usually unavoidable.

5.2. The Chief Constable has set out her operational case for a £10 increase in the precept.

5.3. The package of investment proposed provides for additional frontline officers and staff to provide a greater visible presence, to investigate more crimes, to reduce crime and reduce risk for the more vulnerable people in society.

5.4. There are significant cost pressures and growth items included in the 2022/23 budget and later years. The budget pressures are predominantly unavoidable or operationally unavoidable costs to support the Chief Constable and the Constabulary to deliver the additional

capacity and productivity required to meet the priorities set out in the Plan, and to meet the demand and expectations of the public.

- 5.5. The budget pressures and growth for 2022/23 total £20.95m as shown in the table below. In addition the budget includes the rolling forward of the three-year plans for investment that were set out in the budget report in the last two years. The table below summarises the budget pressures with further detail given in Appendix E for each of those headings:

	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26
	£m	£m	£m	£m
More Frontline	13.163	10.482	10.465	10.635
Right Place, Right Time	5.887	3.821	2.377	2.324
Better Equipped	3.896	1.295	0.788	1.656
Contributions from reserves	(5.946)	(0.574)	(0.067)	(1.049)
Estates	2.936	2.436	2.436	2.436
Commissioning	1.017	1.017	1.017	1.017
Future pressures	-	5.000	10.000	15.000
Net Total	20.954	23.476	27.016	32.020

6. Grant Settlement 2022/23

- 6.1. The Police grant settlement was announced on the 16th December 2021, which has not allowed much time for extensive public consultation on the PCC's proposed precept increase of £10.
- 6.2. At a national level, the settlement announcement confirmed:
- i) total grant funding for police forces for the next three years, with increases of £550 million in 2022/23, at least £650 million in 2023/24 and no less than £800 million in 2024/25; these increases are incremental, so is an extra £100m in 2023/24 compared to 2022/23, and an additional £150m in 2024/25 compared with 2023/24
 - ii) PCCs will be able to increase their precept by up to £10 in each of the next three years; any increase beyond £10 would require a referendum
- 6.3. For 2022/23 this means that:
- i) There will be an increase in Hampshire's Police Core Grant of £12.4m (total core grant £223.0m), to support the Uplift in officer numbers. The Uplift Grant increases by £0.9m to £3.5m giving a net increase of £13.3m for Government grant.
 - ii) The Pension Grant remains at £2.99m
 - iii) Hampshire has been allocated £3.5m (£2.6m in 2021/22) of specific ringfenced grant to fund and enable a further 'uplift' in Hampshire's police officer numbers.

iv) The cap on the maximum increase in precept would be set at £10 per year for a Band D property (and it is important to note that the Home Secretary and the Minister of State for Crime and Policing assumptions are very much based on PCCs implementing the maximum £10 increase), which would equate to a 4.4% increase (for 2021/22 the maximum precept increase was capped at £15, an increase of 7.1% in Hampshire per Band D property)

6.4. In a joint letter to the PCC and Chief Constable, the Home Secretary, Rt Hon Priti Patel MP, and the Minister of State for Crime and Policing and Probation, Kit Malthouse MP, set out that:

‘The Spending Review, which confirmed budgets up to 2024/25, will provide financial certainty and stability to allow for longer-term, strategic financial planning.

This will enable the completion and maintenance of the 20,000 additional officers recruited as part of the Police Uplift Programme.

This settlement for 2022/23 provides a total of up to £16.9 billion for policing in 2022/23, an increase of up to £1.1 billion on the 2021/22 funding settlement.

Available funding to Police and Crime Commissioners (PCCs) will increase by up to an additional £796 million in 2022/23, including local flexibility to increase council tax precept. This increase is broken down as follows:

- *A £550 million increase in Government grant funding to PCCs. This additional funding will support the final year of the Police Uplift Programme. This funding will be allocated according to funding formula shares and £135 million of this will be ringfenced and paid out in line with progress on recruitment.*
- *Up to £246 million additional funding from council tax precept, based on current forecasts and assuming all PCCs maximise their precept flexibility. The Government is enabling PCCs to increase precept by up to £10 for a Band D equivalent property, less than 20p per week.’*

6.5. The letter also confirmed that:

Year 3 of the Police Uplift Programme:

‘One of our top priorities will be to complete the recruitment of the 20,000 additional officers by March 2023. All forces have continued to deliver through year two of the programme and, working in partnership with you, we have already recruited 11,053 additional officers. We expect the pace of delivery to continue.’

6.6. The PCC positively welcomes both the increase in Police Grant for 2022/23, and the specific ring-fenced grant to support an increase of 196 police officers, as well as the announcement on grant and precept levels for later years.

- 6.7. The PCC also notes the Home Secretary's priority in relation to the recruitment of additional officers as part of Year 3 of the Police Uplift Programme, and that this entirely aligns with her own number one priority which is to 'have 600 more police on our streets by the end of 2023'.
- 6.8. The precept flexibility offered, enables a precept increase of up to £10 for a Band D property, but the PCC notes the presumption by the Home and Secretary and the Minister that the overall funding available to Hampshire is predicated on maximalising the precept at £10.

Police Grant Beyond 2022/23

- 6.9. For the purpose of planning ahead, it has been assumed that Hampshire will receive its proportionate share of the increase in total Police Grant funding over the next three years; the national incremental increase in grant will be £100m for 2023/24 and a further increase of no less than £150m in 2024/25 (compared to 2023/24).
- 6.10. For Hampshire, assumed Police Grant (incl Uplift Grant) is therefore:

	£m	Increase £m	Basis
2022/23	226.551		Agreed
2023/24	229.145	2.594	Estimate
2024/25	233.036	3.891	Estimate
2025/26	233.036	Nil	Estimate

- 6.11. In the absence of a funding announcement beyond 2024/25, it has prudently been assumed that the Police Grant will not increase in 2025/26.

Pension Grant

- 6.12. As part of the settlement, Hampshire will receive a specific grant of £2.99m to contribute towards the additional pension costs which the Constabulary has had to meet since 2019/20 year for police officer pensions. This grant is the same sum received in the current year, and it is assumed that this level of grant will continue to be received each year for the MTFS period 2023/24 – 2025/26.

Capital Grant

- 6.13. The settlement confirmed that Police Capital Grant will cease, and that there will therefore be no general capital grant allocations to PCCs for 2022/23 onwards.
- 6.14. Compared to the current financial year 2021/22, this represents an ongoing reduction of £0.3m in Capital grant.
- 6.15. For a PCC with a Constabulary the size of Hampshire, with a large geography, increasing officer numbers, a significant built infrastructure,

and significant soft infrastructure requirements (vehicles, large scale IT estate, tasers, body armour, equipment need etc) receiving no capital grant does place a significant burden on the revenue account to fund capital need.

- 6.16. The revenue budget has therefore been increased to include a Revenue Contribution to Capital of £375k, so that the PCC can ensure that all the supporting infrastructure needs of estate and the Chief Constable can be funded on a sustainable basis over the medium term.
- 6.17. The revenue budget includes other ongoing contributions to support capital expenditure and budget to support future borrowing.

Ministry of Justice Grant


- 6.18. Grant from the Ministry of Justice in the sum of £2.6m has been included as an estimate pending confirmation.

7. Council Tax Precept

- 7.1. The settlement announcement has confirmed that for 2022/23, PCC's can increase their precept by £10 (which for Hampshire represents a 4.42% increase to the Band D precept) without the need to hold a referendum, and that the same maximum £10 precept increase will also be allowable in 2023/24 and 2024/25.
- 7.2. Any precept increase above the referendum limit of £10 will be deemed by the Government to be excessive and therefore a public referendum vote, within the area covered by the proposed increase, would be required to take place to gain approval for an increase in excess of this amount.
- 7.3. The precept decision for 2022/23 needs to take into account both the immediate and medium term resourcing requirements that enable the PCC to deliver her Plan both now and over the course of the MTFs.
- 7.4. The PCC's proposal is to increase the precept (for a Band D property) by the maximum £10 permissible for 2022/23.
- 7.5. Increasing the Precept by £10 will raise an additional circa £7m per annum from 2022/23 (on a like for like basis to 2021/22 without taking account of any increase in the Council Tax Base from 2021/22).
- 7.6. The total precept raised for 2022/23 is estimated to be £167.1m, a total increase of £9m compared to 2021/22 (allowing for an estimated increase in the Council Tax base of 1.23%).
- 7.7. The PCC's view is that increasing the Council Tax Precept by the maximum permitted amount is the responsible thing to do to because it will enable and support her vision *'for Hampshire and the Isle of Wight to be two of the safest places to live, work and visit in the country'*, provide increased investment in support of her Plan and enable the Chief Constable to have the necessary resources to deliver her operational requirements.

- 7.8. As set out in Appendix A, the Chief Constable has set out her operational requirements, and made a clear recommendation to the PCC that she increases the precept by £10.
- 7.9. The Chief Constable is therefore fully supportive of the PCC’s proposal to increase the precept by the full amount permissible and welcomes the PCCs commitment that additional funding raised through the precept will support local policing.
- 7.10. Since 2013/14, precept increases in Hampshire have been approved at the maximum amount permitted within the referendum limit, which has been in line with Government expectations.
- 7.11. The current Band D Council Tax Precept for Hampshire Constabulary is £226.46, which is the 12th lowest of the 37 English forces (see Appendix C).
- 7.12. The proposed precept increase would make the Band D Council Tax Precept £236.46 in 2022/23. The current intelligence is that the majority of PCCs across England will increase their Band D precept by £10, so it is unlikely that Hampshire’s position of 12th lowest precept will alter much if at all.
- 7.13. It is also important to note that a significant number (61%) of households across Hampshire and the IOW are in properties in Bands A – C, and so the increase for those households will be less than £10 per annum, as shown below:

Percentage of Households by Council Tax Band



Impact of a £10 Council Tax Increase By Council Tax Band 2022/23

Band	Monthly Increase £	Annual increase £
A	0.56	6.67
B	0.65	7.77
C	0.74	8.89
D	0.83	10.00
E	1.02	12.23
F	1.20	14.44
G	1.39	16.67
H	1.67	20.00

7.14. The breakdown of the Hampshire precept by council tax band is shown in Appendix C, including how the precept in the current year compares with those of other PCC areas.

7.15. Whilst the government expectation is that PCCs will take up the opportunity to raise the council tax precept by £10, the PCC still has the option to not raise the precept at all, or to raise the precept by any amount up to £10.

7.16. The table below shows for a range of precept increases (up to the maximum of £10) the level of precept income which can be raised:

Comparison of Band D precept increase options

Precept increase	22/23 Band D precept (£)	Total precept (£m)	Additional precept income* (£m)
Band D zero%	226.46	158.1	0
Band D 1.99%	230.97	161.2	3.1
Band D £10 (4.42%)	236.46	165.1	7.0

*Excluding any impact of changes to the Council Tax Base.

7.17. In considering the available precept options, the PCC has given consideration to the extent to which the final proposed precept increase enables the delivery of her stated vision and the priorities in her Plan and has taken account of the precept consultation feedback.

- 7.18. The PCC has concluded, following consultation with the Chief Constable, and having due regard to her Plan, and the Chief Constable's operational case for a £10 precept increase, that increasing the Band D precept by £10 per annum provides the best opportunity to ensure that her vision 'for Hampshire and the Isle of Wight to be two of the safest places to live, work and visit' is enabled.

Council Tax Precept increase beyond 2022/23

- 7.19. For 2023/24 – 2025/26, the MTFS assumes that the precept increase will be 1.99%; clearly this leaves flexibility for the PCC to consider the level of precept rises in these later years (a £10 increase in 2023/24 for example would be an increase of 4.23%).

8. Council Tax Base

- 8.1. The total estimated precept income for 2022/23 of £167.1m is based on an estimated council tax base of 706,536 Band D equivalents (see Appendix C). This figure will be updated once the Billing Authorities have confirmed their final tax bases which they must do by the 31st January 2022.

9. Council Tax Collection Fund Surplus

- 9.1. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic has only had a limited impact on the in-year collection of Council Tax across Hampshire and the Isle of Wight.
- 9.2. The estimated surplus forecast by the billing authorities for 2021/22 is circa £1m.

Three-year phasing of 2020-21 collection fund deficits

- 9.3. As a result of COVID-19, there had been an impact on the both the level of council tax being collected, and also on the council tax base (more claimants for Council Tax support, and a depressed development limiting the increase in new dwellings) in 2020/21.
- 9.4. The impact of the Billing Authorities collecting less council tax in 2020/21 than expected, was that the share of the resultant unplanned deficit on the council tax collection fund in 2020/21 of £1.6m was passed back to Preceptors in 2021/22.
- 9.5. In recognition of the pressure on Council Tax collection, the government put in place a process that allowed repayments to meet collection fund deficits accrued in 2020-21 to be phased over a three-year period (2021-22 to 2023-24) to ease immediate pressures on budgets.
- 9.6. This was helpful as the impact of the Council Tax deficit on the 2021/22 budget was reduced, although the impact does now feed through to both 2022/23 and 2023/24.

Impact of the 2020/21 Deficit Included in the 2022/23 Budget

- 9.7. The net deficit from 2020/21 which is included within the 2022/23 budget is £0.548m, which takes account of the three-year phasing.

9.8. The position is as follows:

	<u>£m</u>
Original Gross Forecast Council Tax Deficit for 2020/21	1.644
Allocate 1/3 rd of the deficit to 2021/22	0.548
Remaining Deficit to be recovered	1.096
Amount to allocated/recovered against 2022/23 budget	0.548
Net Council Tax Deficit from 2020/21 carried forward to 2023/24	0.548

Overall Council Tax Collection Fund Position

9.9. The estimated overall net impact on the budget is a forecast council tax collection fund surplus of £994k, as set out below:

	<u>£k</u>
Net Council Tax Collection Fund Deficit from 2020/21 included in the budget	0.548
Estimated Council Tax Collection Fund surplus 2021/22	(1.542)
Net estimated Council Tax Collection Fund Surplus included in 2022/23 Budget	(0.994)

10. Capital Programme including Estate Development Programme

10.1. The Capital Programme for the period 2022/23 to 2024/25 is set out in Appendix F, and the Capital Strategy is set out in Appendix G.

10.2. In summary, the Capital Programme is as set out below:

Capital programme

	2021-22 £000	2022-23 £000	2023-24 £000	2024-25 £000
Capital Spend	6,981	9,564	18,900	26,300
Funding	(8,783)	(17,500)	(20,117)	(15,825)
In year (surplus) / shortfall	(1,802)	(7,936)	(1,217)	10,475
Cumulative (surplus) / shortfall	(1,802)	(9,738)	(10,955)	(480)

10.3. The Capital Strategy provides a 'high-level' overview of how capital expenditure, capital financing and treasury management activity contribute to the provision of the services within the PCC's remit including policing, and provides an overview of how associated risk is managed and the implications for future financial sustainability.

10.4. The cost of financing the approved capital expenditure is included within the revenue budget calculations for capital financing.

10.5. The overall Estate will continue to be subject to regular review to ensure that the estate is fit for purpose.

10.6. The PCC and Chief Constable are seeking to ensure that officers, staff and the public are served by modern technology that maximises effectiveness and efficiency.

11. Reserves and Financial Stability

11.1. Reserves are necessarily and appropriately held as part of good strategic financial management and are a key element in supporting the PCC's medium-term planning and Medium Term Financial Strategy.

11.2. The current level of reserves is as set out below, and further detail is set out in the Reserves Strategy at Appendix H:

	Actual as at 31 March 2021	Forecast as at 31 March 2022	Forecast as at 31 March 2026
General Reserve	13.1	10.3	7.8
Earmarked Reserves	78.0	82.8	11.8
Total Reserves available for use by the PCC to support delivery of the Police & Crime Plan and to support the Constabulary	91.1	93.1	19.6

- 11.3. The level of reserves continues to be reviewed by the PCC and the Chief Finance Officers to ensure that suitable reserves are in place to mitigate and manage the risk of the financial challenges faced, and to ensure that reserves are not unnecessarily held to the extent that is detrimental to current service delivery. The level of reserves required and the intended use of those reserves is reviewed on a regular basis.
- 11.4. It is recognised by the Home Office that it is prudent to hold appropriate reserves to both manage risk and to avoid cliff edges caused by fluctuations and changes in funding and unexpected budget shocks, and to also be able to continue to deliver efficient and effective operational policing.
- 11.5. The PCC continues to use reserves to support the overall budget and medium-term financial strategy, to support the Estates strategy and capital programme, fund the necessary ongoing investment to deliver her Plan and to ensure that the Constabulary remains a modern, operationally effective Police Service.
- 11.6. Reserves are a one-off resource, which unless replenished, can rapidly diminish.
- 11.7. In the medium term there is likely to remain significant financial stress in the system to be managed, including:
- i) managing the overall financial position against a backdrop of increasing demand
 - ii) funding the necessary investment to deliver the PCC's ambition in relation to delivery of her Plan and commissioning of services
 - iii) meeting the Chief Constables operational requirements, in support of 'More Police, Safer Streets'
 - iv) the investment required to ensure that the Constabulary remains modern and fit for purpose
 - v) ensuring that the police estate remains operationally effective, is continually improved, and is a modern and safe environment
 - vi) the still to be determined consequences of national programmes (e.g. ESMCP) which require a local funding stream for delivery of its outcomes as well as being subject to growing needs for top sliced contributions to deliver the national infrastructure
 - vii) the significant investment required to embrace an ever evolving technology and digital landscape
 - viii) the requirement to continue to fund an increasing share of the annual ongoing costs of Operation Magenta, which is the investigation regarding the historical deaths at Gosport War Memorial Hospital

- ix) inflationary pressures, including yet to be agreed pay awards

- 11.8. In view of the cost pressures faced by the PCC and the Constabulary, in the medium term there is likely to be a significant call on reserves to fund one off pressures, initiatives and investment.
- 11.9. The two main reserves available to the PCC to fund these cost pressures are the General Reserve and Earmarked Reserves.
- 11.10. **General Reserve** – this is the main reserve held to manage unidentified and unforeseen risk. The PCC CFO is required to set a minimum level for the General Reserve, and this is currently set on a risk basis at £5.5m. The forecast balance on the General Fund Reserve at the 31st March 2022 is £10.3m.
- 11.11. **Earmarked Reserves** – the PCC holds a number of specific reserves which can be utilised in support of the budget over the timeline of the MTFS, and further detail is set out in the Reserves Strategy in appendix H.

CFO Assessment of Reserves

- 11.12. The PCC CFO's assessment is that:
- i) the level of the General Fund reserve is reflective of the overall risk environment in which the PCC operates
 - ii) the level of Earmarked reserves and their purpose are necessary and appropriate
 - iii) the overall level of reserves is appropriate given the known financial pressures and risks faced by the PCC.
- 11.13. The overall level of reserves, and the purposes for which they are held, including against known risks, will be continually reassessed to ensure that the reserves held are commensurate with the risk.
- 11.14. The PCC CFO, in consultation with the Constabulary CFO, has also assessed the potential future costs associated with the Uplift in police officer numbers, and is content that in light of current available information, that the Uplift reserve provides a prudent level of reserves funding to help support the ongoing Uplift in officer numbers for next year. Should the Uplift reserve not be sufficient this risk can be managed/mitigated through a call on the General Reserve.

12. Risks

- 12.1. There are a number of risks that could impact upon the financial position in 2022/23 and beyond, for example key risks would be:
- a change in the government grant announced for future years
 - the Precept proposed by the PCC is less than the assumed 1.99% increase included in each year of the MTFS 2023/24 onwards

- inflation is greater than forecast which would create a cost pressure (as an example, each 1% increase in the pay award beyond the current assumption of a 3.5% pay award would lead to a full year cost pressure of £2.8m)
- the COVID-19 pandemic continues and impacts Constabulary resilience leading to additional cost
- the impact on both the Council Tax collected and the Council Tax deficit are slower to recover post the pandemic which negatively impacts future year budgets
- funding set aside for the estates and infrastructure costs of the Officer Uplift Programme being insufficient to cover costs
- the £5m incremental recurrent revenue funding each year within the MTFs is insufficient to cover new recurrent revenue pressures
- costs for implementing pension remedy exceeds the available funding set aside
- any cost increase arising as a result of the Police Pension Scheme valuation is not funded in full by central government
- the cost of borrowing increases reducing the level of borrowing which can be taken out to support the emerging capital programme pressures
- the costs of Operation Magenta increase, and the investigation continues beyond 2023/24; the level of Government Special Grant support for Operation Magenta continues to decrease
- Special Grant available to support Operation Magenta is no longer available
- an increase in national top-slices
- a risk that partner agencies could reduce or withdraw their services which puts additional financial pressure on the police service
- some activities and funding could be moved to a regional or national basis that would remove funding from the Hampshire policing area. There is a risk that the removal of funding causes a financial difficulty that needs to be managed locally
- insufficient savings are identified or delivered to meet the forecast medium term budget shortfall, necessitating a draw from reserves to balance the budget in any one year
- the earmarked reserves are insufficient to cover the pressures/risks to which they relate

12.2. The overall level of risk has been taken into account in assessing the minimum and overall level of reserves, and as set out in paragraph 11.12 (and in the Section 25 report in Appendix I), the PCC's CFO is content that the level of General Reserve is reflective of the overall risk

environment, and also that the level of Earmarked Reserves are appropriate.

13. Partnerships

13.1. Hampshire continues to play its part supporting national policing

13.2. A number of partnerships are supported across the Force. These include the ACPO Criminal Records Office (ACRO) which is funded by the Home Office, NPCC, as a levy charged to all constabularies fees for services provided to the public and European funding. Staff at ACRO are officially employed by the Chief Constable. The costs of supporting ACRO are recharged. In addition, a surety is held in reserves to guard against any liabilities. These reserves are not available to the PCC to support policing in Hampshire.

14. Consultation

14.1. As set out in section 96 of the Police Act 1996, as amended by section 14 of the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011, the PCC must obtain the views of the local community on the proposed expenditure (including capital expenditure) in the financial year ahead of the financial year to which the proposed expenditure relates.

14.2. As a result of Covid-19 and social restrictions the decision was made to move the whole consultation exercise online which has enabled the PCC to undertake an extensive online consultation on police precept, reaching a significant number of residents in Hampshire and Isle of Wight.

14.3. During 2021/22 4,340 members of the public took part in the online consultation exercises. The public consultation exercise on the police precept increase has had three distinct elements:

- i) Online surveys, which saw 4,314 people submit responses across multiple platforms. This included the PCC's online survey, which achieved 3,814 responses.
- ii) A YouGov survey of 500 demographically weighted respondents across Hampshire and the Isle of Wight.
- iii) Six focus groups were conducted by the OPCC in total:
 - a. Two focus groups were conducted on Thursday 6 January 2022 with members of the public
 - b. Two focus groups were conducted on Friday 7 January 2022, one with the Older Persons Reference Panel observed by P&CP member Councillor John Beavis and one with members of the OPCC Consultation and Research Group

- c. One focus group was held with members of the public on Saturday 8 January 2022, observed by Shirley Young, Co-opted member of the P&CP and Chair of the Finance Working Group
- d. The final focus group was conducted on Tuesday 11 January 2022, observed by Cllr Margot Power of the P&CP Finance Working Group.

14.4. The outcome from each individual element of the consultation, and the overall collective outcome shows that there is significant support for an increase of £10 in the precept for 2022/23.

14.5. A summary of the results is set out below, and the full details are set out in Appendix J.

Online budget survey

14.6. The PCC's survey was distributed online through HantsAlerts, key stakeholders, the OPCC's Focus Group and Consultation Panel and the PCC's Older Persons Reference group.

14.7. The survey link was also promoted on social media via Facebook, Twitter and LinkedIn. This included paid advertising on Facebook which reached 129,825 people and generated 3,534 clicks through to the survey. We also saw 27 press articles about the survey which created an 'opportunity to see' figure of 1,329,318.

14.8. The budget consultation launched on December 2nd 2021, and was open for four and a half weeks. In total, 3,814 responses were received, with a 90.5% completion rate (3,451 From the total number of 3,814 responses), 3,451 individuals responded to the specific question:

'The Government is giving Police and Crime Commissioners the flexibility to increase the policing precept in 2022 by £10, for Band D this is 83p per month (increase of 4.4%) and for Band B this is £7.77 per year or 65p per month (increase of 4.4%). Would you be willing to pay this?'

14.9. **Overall, through this online survey 64% (2,392) said yes they would be willing to pay the £10 increase per Band D property.**

YouGov survey

14.10. An additional survey of 500 respondents was undertaken through YouGov in December 2021 to ensure a demographically representative sample of responses were also achieved. The survey was conducted using an independent online interview administered to members of the YouGov panel.

14.11. The responding sample is weighted to ensure responses are fully representative from across the 14 districts.

14.12. The outcome from this survey was that:

- **61% (331) of residents said ‘yes’ they would be willing to pay the 4.4% increase** *which equates to £10 per annum increase on a Band D property

Focus groups

- 14.13. Focus groups this year were online due to the COVID-19 pandemic and social restrictions. Participants were made up of members of the public, members who volunteered from the OPCC Consultation and Focus Group Panel, and members from the Older Persons Reference Group to share their thoughts and views on the precept.
- 14.14. 26 participants took part in six focus groups. Each focus group was for an hour and a half, and half of the focus groups had an independent observer from the Police and Crime Panel.
- 14.15. Participants were shown a short video from the Police and Crime Commissioner introducing the focus group. This was followed by a video from the Chief Constable Olivia Pinkney on the operational case for the precept. The final presentation was from Hampshire and Isle of Wight Constabulary Chief Finance Officer Richard Croucher on behalf of Andy Lowe the OPCC Chief Finance Officer, who outlined where policing receives funding from and how its currently being spent, the precept proposal and covered HMICFRS value for money profiles.
- 14.16. Participants wanted to know what they were getting for their money, and what precept increase would give them.
- 14.17. The majority of participant’s supported the 4.4% increase to the precept. There was reservation from some on how families who are already struggling financially will manage with this increase, alongside other increases that are coming in 2022.
- 14.18. Some participants questioned why Hampshire is so poorly funded from central government compared to other forces.
- 14.19. The full summary of feedback from the focus groups is set out in Appendix J.

The operational case for a £10 increase in council tax precept

Chief Constable Olivia Pinkney

This is the second year that I have, as your chief constable, outlined an operational case in support of increased police funding from local people.

This year, we have a different Police and Crime Commissioner – one with whom the constabulary has already developed a strong working relationship – and a new Police and Crime Plan to deliver against. The public know that their police force helps so many people through their darkest and most difficult days. More Police and Safer Streets. That is good for local people, good for communities, and good for our economy.

We also know from very recent surveying that the majority of people support extra council tax funding to support that objective of More Police and Safer Streets. The amount we are talking about this year is just £10 per year. Less for the majority. When I addressed the Commissioner's budget focus groups I made the point that whilst this could be considered a small amount of money, I am very conscious that it is still money that could be spent elsewhere.

In those sessions, I provided some really tangible examples of why putting money into policing is money well spent. I hope it gives you a sense of the scale of what we do, and an insight into how "The Ask" on policing is continuing to grow.

What has your constabulary achieved since April 2021?

Since April last year your police force in Hampshire and the Isle of Wight has recorded and dealt with almost 120,000 crimes. This is an increase of more than 20,000 crimes compared with the same period in 2020, and this cannot all be accounted for by COVID-19. In 2019 this figure was 110,000. So even when compared to before COVID-19 we are dealing with 10,000 more crimes reported to us. That is a 10% increase in just a nine month period. Put another way, a thousand more crimes a month and every one of these gets recorded and we have to try and respond as best we can. The simple truth is that we need support to do that well.

Since April 2021, we have arrested 2,000 more people than in previous years. Many of these are for high harm crimes.

- 714 more arrests in cases where violence has caused injury
- 165 more arrests for Sexual Offences
- 66 more arrests for Rape
- 2,694 more arrests for offences linked to Domestic Abuse

These kind of offences take meticulous investigation. And we are doing well. The question is whether doing well meets public need and expectation.

When we have concerns that vulnerable people might be caught up in these kind of crimes, we look to safeguard them. Each person is referred to established multi-agency partnerships so that public sector agencies can work together to help them. In just three months, from June to August 2021, we managed an additional 2,281 referrals compared to the year before. This is forecast to keep growing and there are things we need to do in the budget year ahead to respond. This budget helps us to do that.

When the Commissioner has engaged the public - as reflected in her crime plan - there is a focus on neighbourhood crime in communities. Compared to 2019, which again we use with good reason, we have had:

- 128 fewer Robberies
- 2,082 fewer Vehicle Crimes (theft of or theft from vehicles)
- 1,260 fewer Residential Burglaries

So, we are seeing less crime in these areas. That's good. My concern at the moment as your chief constable is not just continuing to do the things that reduce crime further, it is investigating the crime we do see more effectively. This makes our investigative capacity in force a crucial focus in the year ahead. It helps neighbourhood officers to be effective not just in engaging but in fighting crime and holding criminals to account.

Burglary is a particularly important crime. Yes, it is about neighbourhood teams but also about the specialist investigators who work with them, the call handlers who speak to the victims first, those who respond, our forensic teams and those teams who manage prolific offenders. We have a new Deputy Chief Constable, and under his performance leadership we have had a real drive on this. Deployments and intelligence are up. In just three weeks of intense action over Christmas, we identified 92 forensic opportunities from burglaries. We arrested fifty-five suspects and have already achieved a significant number of positive outcomes.

When we investigate crime like this well, we not only secure justice for victims, we also take criminals off the streets. Which in turn further reduces crime in our neighbourhoods. Breaking this cycle of crime is something that we want to do more of. The Police and Crime Commissioner is clear on that in her Crime Plan - and I am clear on that in my operational plans.

Police officer growth – a success story, with far more to come.

In the year since I last appeared before the Police and Crime Panel, Hampshire Constabulary has continued to accelerate the number of police officers it has recruited. The government Uplift programme target is 498 by March 2023 but with Police and Crime Commissioner support it makes a real difference as we are actually on target to deliver 600 extra police officers (all additional not replacing those leaving) for Hampshire and the Isle of Wight by March 2023. Every piece of independent data shows we are ahead of our milestones and on track to deliver this bigger number.

Numbers of officers are important but so is making sure these officers are properly trained, have the right equipment, are as effective as we need them to be, and are part of an organisation that is proactive in its drive to be ethical and inclusive of all. The effort required within police forces which have recruited handfulls each year in austerity, to ramp up and see through this level of training cannot be underestimated. This is a tribute to our training teams and officers in our ranks who have taken on multiple tutoring of new recruits.

What is important is that the measures we have taken mean that more than 400 of the 600 officers are already in and progressing through training. As the year progresses, more and more of them will start to land properly benefitting response, neighbourhood, investigation, safeguarding, and other teams.

The year ahead, and what else this budget unlocks

These budget papers contain a clear list of the specific things this budget will enable, that without Council Tax increases will not be possible. I thought it might be useful to call out just some of these:

- Reducing wait times for 101 as part of improving our channels to contact us
- Improving our interview facilities for vulnerable victims during what can be harrowing times.
- More police officers to monitor and supervise a growing number of the most dangerous offenders.
- New technology, including putting more mobile digital technology in officer's hands so they can be on the streets not in offices.
- Better analyst capability - so we can spot crime trends and intervene early.

These are all enabled by a £10 increase in council tax and have been prioritised from a longer list on which there are many items graded as 'high priority' which remain unaffordable.

The operational case for investing locally is clear. Nearly two-thirds of our public support investment of £10 per household. That is not a figure chosen from within policing. It is the Government's assessment of what is reasonable, taking into account the evidence examined through the Spending Review - a thorough process involving HM Treasury. I would particularly like to put on the record my thanks, and the thanks of all police staff and officers, to the public for their support in such positive terms.

This overview provides a sense of the scale of what we are up against as we head into another year, the fact that we are achieving good results but want to do more for our communities in line with the Police and Crime Plan, and makes clear that the funding we already have is well spent. With your support, we can/ will do even more.

Inflation and Assumptions

Inflation	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26
Pay Inflation	2.0%	2.9%	2.2%	2.0%
Default prices inflation	4.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%

Pay awards are effective from 1 Sep each year so contain part-year effects. For example, a 3.5% pay award in Sep22 followed by 2.5% in Sept23, and 2% each Sept thereafter results in a 2% budget increase in the 22/23 financial year followed by a 2.9% increase in 23/24.

Exact inflation used where it is known for certain contracts

Employer Pension Contributions	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26
Officers	31.0%	31.0%	31.0%*	31.0%*
Staff	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%

Funding	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26
General Govt grant	6.3%	2.7%	1.7%	0.0%
Council tax benefit & freeze grants	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Pension grant	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Precept	4.4%	1.99%	1.99%	1.99%

***A review of police officer pension scheme contributions is expected to be undertaken and an announcement made that will impact contributions (expected 24/25 onwards).**

Appendix C

Council tax Precept 2022/23

	Council tax at each band							
Band	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
£	157.64	183.91	210.19	236.46	289.01	341.55	394.10	472.92

Estimated

Collection authority tax bases and share of precept 2022/23

Collection authority	Tax base	Precept
Basingstoke	67,823.40	16,037,521.16
East Hampshire	51,908.73	12,274,338.30
Eastleigh	48,373.35	11,438,362.34
Fareham	44,002.60	10,404,854.80
Gosport	27,095.50	6,407,001.93
Hart	41,815.86	9,887,778.26
Havant	41,771.36	9,877,255.79
New Forest	72,122.10	17,053,991.77
Rushmoor	32,632.18	7,716,205.28
Test Valley	50,816.00	12,015,951.36
Winchester	51,554.09	12,190,480.12
Portsmouth	56,487.90	13,357,128.83
Southampton	66,146.00	15,640,883.16
Isle of Wight	53,987.00	12,765,766.02
Total	706,536.07	167,067,519.11

Appendix C

Comparison of Council Tax Precept by PCC 2021/22 Financial Year

2021-22 Council tax (average Band D) and % change on 2020-21: individual local authorities			
	Average council tax for the authority (Band D)		
Local authority	£	% change	£ change
POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONERS			
Surrey	285.57	5.54	15.00
Norfolk	278.01	5.68	14.94
Cumbria	272.16	2.47	6.57
North Yorkshire	271.06	1.99	5.29
Gloucestershire	270.08	4.99	12.83
Northamptonshire	268.04	5.10	13.00
Lincolnshire	266.31	5.94	14.94
Cleveland	265.73	1.99	5.19
Dorset	255.58	6.23	15.00
Warwickshire	252.96	6.30	14.99
Leicestershire	248.23	6.43	15.00
Cambridgeshire	247.59	6.42	14.94
Nottinghamshire	244.26	6.51	14.94
Humberside	243.21	6.57	14.99
Derbyshire	241.60	6.62	15.00
Avon & Somerset	241.20	5.88	13.39
West Mercia	240.19	6.66	14.99
Staffordshire	238.57	5.99	13.48
Suffolk	237.69	6.71	14.94
Devon & Cornwall	236.56	6.73	14.92
Thames Valley	231.28	6.94	15.00
Wiltshire	231.27	6.94	15.00
Durham	230.24	6.97	15.00
Bedfordshire	227.09	7.07	15.00
Merseyside	226.97	7.08	15.00
Hampshire Police	226.46	7.09	15.00
Lancashire	226.45	7.09	15.00
Cheshire	225.44	7.13	15.00
Greater Manchester CA - Police Functions	218.30	4.80	10.00
Kent	218.15	7.38	15.00
Sussex	214.91	7.50	15.00
South Yorkshire	213.04	7.57	15.00
Hertfordshire	213.00	7.58	15.00
West Yorkshire	211.28	7.64	15.00
Essex	208.53	4.98	9.90
West Midlands	177.55	9.23	15.00
Northumbria	143.84	4.99	6.84

Appendix D

Budget 2022/23 and Medium-Term Financial Strategy

Medium Term Financial Strategy	Starting Budget 2022/23	Inflation	Efficiency Savings	Growth & Budget Pressure	Funding Changes	Forward Budget 2022/23	Forecast Budget 2023/24	Forecast Budget 2024/25	Forecast Budget 2025/26
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Funding:									
Expected amount from General Grants	(210,640)	0	0	0	(12,409)	(223,049)	(229,145)	(233,036)	(233,036)
Council tax freeze and benefit grant	(12,944)	0	0	0	0	(12,944)	(12,944)	(12,944)	(12,944)
Pension Grant	(2,988)	0	0	0	0	(2,988)	(2,988)	(2,988)	(2,988)
Uplift Grant	(2,600)	0	0	0	(902)	(3,502)	0	0	0
Council tax precept	(158,062)	0	0	0	(9,005)	(167,068)	(171,247)	(175,529)	(179,914)
Council tax collection fund surplus	194	0	0	0	(1,189)	(994)	0	0	0
Collection Fund Adjustment Grant	(101)	0	0	0	101	0	0	0	0
Total amount funding expected:	(387,142)	0	0	0	(23,403)	(410,545)	(416,324)	(424,497)	(428,882)
Expenditure owned by the PCC:									
Office of the PCC	2,404	48	0	0	0	2,452	2,518	2,572	2,623
Commissioning	2,519	29	0	1,017	0	3,565	3,488	3,499	3,509
Crime Prevention	1,150	0	0	0	0	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,150
Estates	19,684	626	0	2,061	0	22,372	23,007	23,645	24,299
Capital Financing	5,158	0	0	0	0	5,158	5,158	5,158	5,158
Interest on Balances	(500)	0	0	0	0	(500)	(500)	(500)	(500)
Contributions to / (from) Reserves:	11,665	0	0	6,772	0	18,437	14,796	13,834	12,582
Net Expenditure owned by Office of the PCC	42,081	704	0	9,850	0	52,634	49,616	49,358	48,821
Police Services									
Expenditure:									
Employees	281,286	4,922	0	8,024	0	294,232	309,451	315,200	321,726
Indirect Employee Costs	5,529	25	0	50	0	5,604	5,683	5,758	5,832
Premises	1,037	0	0	16	0	1,053	1,062	1,050	1,156
Transport	6,300	0	0	0	0	6,300	6,483	6,671	6,865
Supplies and Services	21,820	129	(1,341)	554	0	21,161	25,077	30,491	36,191
Third Party Payments	64,522	919	(90)	2,460	0	67,811	68,255	69,058	71,418
Support Services	3,414	0	0	0	0	3,414	3,414	3,414	3,414
Total Expenditure on Police Services:	383,907	5,995	(1,431)	11,104	0	399,574	419,425	431,641	446,601
Income:									
Fees & Charges	(7,316)	0	0	0	0	(7,316)	(7,639)	(7,791)	(7,946)
Grants & Contributions	(31,004)	(85)	0	0	0	(31,089)	(31,665)	(32,241)	(32,825)
Internal Income	(3,259)	0	0	0	0	(3,259)	(3,259)	(3,259)	(3,259)
Total Income on Police Services:	(41,579)	(85)	0	0	0	(41,664)	(42,562)	(43,290)	(44,029)
Net Expenditure on Police Services:	342,328	5,910	(1,431)	11,104	0	357,911	376,863	388,351	402,572
Net Expenditure on PCC and Police Services	384,409	6,614	(1,431)	20,954	0	410,545	426,479	437,709	451,393
Budget (surplus)/ shortfall:	(2,733)	6,614	(1,431)	20,954	(23,403)	0	10,155	13,212	22,512

Detailed explanation of investment

- 1.1. As set out in section 5 of the report, the budget pressures and growth for 2022/23 total £20.95m as shown in the table below. They include the rolling forward of the three-year plans for investment that were set out in the Budget report in the last two years. The table below summarises the budget pressures with further detail provided below for each of those headings.

	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26
	£m	£m	£m	£m
More Frontline	13.163	10.482	10.465	10.635
Right Place, Right Time	5.887	3.821	2.377	2.324
Better Equipped	3.896	1.295	0.788	1.656
Contributions from reserves	(5.946)	(0.574)	(0.067)	(1.049)
Estates	2.936	2.436	2.436	2.436
Commissioning	1.017	1.017	1.017	1.017
Future pressures	-	5.000	10.000	15.000
Net Total	20.954	23.476	27.016	32.020

Policing services – More Frontline

More frontline police officers and staff to provide a visible presence, prevent crime and investigate incidents when they occur, and sustain PCSO numbers

- 1.2. **Uplift Programme** - The Government announced an intention to uplift police officers nationally by 20,000 officers over the period 2020/21 – 2022/23. Hampshire’s share of the year 1 national allocation of 6000 officers was 156 officers. The Hampshire allocation for year 2 (2021/22) is 153 of the national allocation of 6,000 officers, but 7 of those officers must be contributed to the South East Regional Organised Crime Unit (SEROCU) so the net increase for the Constabulary is 146 officers in 2021/22. The allocation for the final year is 207 officers for Hampshire of which 11 will need to go to SEROCU, leaving 196 for Hampshire locally. Over the three years, this represents an increase of 498 police officer posts funded through the Police Uplift Programme.
- 1.3. There is a thorough recruitment and selection process required for officers. Officers would normally be signed off for independent patrol after approximately 40 weeks of training. The national Police Education Qualification Framework (PEQF) has introduced 2 or 3 year student officer training schemes for new constables. Therefore, there can be a lengthy time between when funding is authorised to recruit officers and the point at which the public see the benefits from that decision. The PCC is taking action to shorten that time and provide those benefits sooner by facilitating the earlier recruit of officers funded by the national Uplift programme

- 1.4. The 2022/23 budget includes the salaries of officers appointed through Uplift (£4.750m).
- 1.5. **Officer Uplift Infrastructure** - As well as the pay costs of the additional officers, there are significant additional costs for infrastructure and support that will need to be incurred. An uplift enabler project with a Scrutiny Panel is in place to manage the additional overheads of the uplift programme. An uplift reserve is used to set funding aside to support the uplift programme, with funding drawn down to fund, for example, new laptops, body worn video and phones.
- 1.6. The major elements of cost in 2022/23 are additional IT kit and licences additional training costs and more vehicles. Other costs are required for areas such as additional recruitment, positive action, vetting, HR staff and uniform. The projected amount required for 2022/23 is **£6.564m**.
- 1.7. This amount will again be contributed to an Uplift reserve so that the amounts spent can be subject to scrutiny. In 2020/21 and 2021/22, the Scrutiny Panel approach has resulted in finding ways to absorb some projected costs being absorbed within existing activities and budgets. Hence a contribution of £2.433m from the balance of the existing Uplift Reserve is being applied to assist with funding the 2022/23 costs.
- 1.8. It should be noted that officers who join in the final year of the Police Uplift Programme will be on a PEQF course for 2 or 3 years and therefore there will be ongoing costs directly associated with the Police Uplift Programme for a further 3 years as well as the subsequent ongoing costs for those officers beyond the training phase. The estimated ongoing support cost for uplift officers is £3.958m from 2023/24 onwards.
- 1.9. The funding settlement states that 11 of the 207 Uplift officer allocation for Hampshire in 2022/23 must be given to SEROCU. The funding for those posts will therefore be passed to SEROCU.
- 1.10. **Forensics** – work required to bring the in-house Forensics function up to the new ISO accreditation standards that will apply to Forensics. This will require new software, changes to estate, training and additional staff to meet the new national standards. Activity is being co-ordinated at a national level but must be delivered and funded locally (£0.967m).
- 1.11. **Public Protection (MASH)** – An increase in staff to protect the most vulnerable people in our communities. A Multi Agency Safeguarding Hub approach is used to work with other partners to protect the vulnerable. Demand increases require an additional 12 police staff (£0.356m).
- 1.12. **Offender Management** – 4 more officers to keep pace the number of offenders that require management within the community maintain the recommended ratio of officers to offenders to be managed (£0.195m)
- 1.13. **Criminal Justice Casework Redaction** – one-off funding to provide additional resources to the Investigations function to meet new statutory requirements required for criminal justice casework (£0.154m).

- 1.14. **Armed Response and Firearms Chemical Biological Radiological and Nuclear (CBRN) capability** – 2 officers to ensure that the firearms officers have the capability to deliver CBRN policing tasks as required by a change to the national requirements. Costs are shared with Thames Valley Police (£0.055m).
- 1.15. **Force Solicitor** – the Force Solicitor’s office represents the Chief Constable in court for issues such as prevention orders that are an essential element of keeping vulnerable people and victims safer. The demand for interventions has increased resulting in a need for 2 additional staff (£0.122m).

Policing services – Right Place, Right Time

More personnel in the contact centres so that calls to police for emergency and non-emergency reasons can be answered and given an appropriate assessment and deployment, including the deployment of officers immediately when needed

Investment in new technology to:

- allow personnel to spend more time in the communities they serve
- provide personnel with the information they need to deal with incidents well
- ensure that the police can fight the continued challenge and increased emergence of cyber crime
- improve security to reduce the risk of cyber attack or failures of police IT

- 1.16. **Contact Management** – The budget includes an increase of 20 Contact Management Controllers and 5 Supervisors to keep pace with demand and new capability to better communicate with the public (£0.782m).
- 1.17. **Contact Management Programme Re-platform** – To move the current CMP application to a cloud based platform to futureproof ongoing support and to avoid future costs of change that would otherwise be needed (£0.529m).
- 1.18. **Contact Management Programme Transformation Phase 2** – ongoing embedding and enhancement of CMP solution (£0.237m)
- 1.19. **Microsoft Azure Cloud Migration** – to move further applications to cloud based support. This will be a requirement to access ongoing support and security but will also be a more flexible basis for storage that will avoid significant programmes and costs that have historically been required for server replacements or enhancements (£0.509m).
- 1.20. **Digital Systems Support Team** – creation of a single team that will manage the use and ongoing development of all of the forces key operational systems to ensure that co-ordinated decisions are taken on the use of systems and that enhancements continue to be made to

ascertain maximum benefit from the investment into these systems (£0.561m).

- 1.21. **ICT staffing model** – a one-off cost to facilitate the retention of ICT staff to deliver core infrastructure rather than deploy and charge those staff to projects (£0.293m).
- 1.22. **Identity Access Management Phase 3** – to maintain compliance with National Enabling Programme standards and mitigate data access risks (£0.242m) This provides a saving from 2023/24.
- 1.23. **National Data Quality Improvement System (NDQIS) -** Implementation of a Remote Gateway connection between force RMS instances and the NDQIS environment to enable automated extract of Home Office Data Hub data and automated data quality updates to Hampshire & TVP (£0.118m).
- 1.24. **DCS radio system and Cortex 8 Upgrade** – One-off funding for the upgrade of DCS radio systems to integrate with national systems and maintain security standards (£0.178m).
- 1.25. **Public Access Joint Information Management Unit (JIMU) Uplift-** to meet the demand of freedom of information act requests and data protection compliance with Information Commissioner standards. This unit is shared and hosted by Thames Valley Police (£0.060m)
- 1.26. **Cycfreedom end of life replacement** – essential software used within JIMU for managing data and compliance with regulations. The software is end of life and requires replacement (£0.035m).
- 1.27. **National Enabling Programme Design Revision 3 Alignment** – NEP periodically (usually annually) release accredited Design Refreshes to ensure the security and compliance of force's Windows 10 and O365 align with changes in those systems and deliver optimal security for the data and leverage improved user experience changes. Forces are required to align to this at their earliest opportunity to remain compliant, ensure they deliver the best security practices to their data and devices, ensuring that by extension they also protect other forces they collaborate with (£0.060m).
- 1.28. **Wifi Uplift** – Gov.wifi is the fully managed BT wifi solution that is in 3 pilot sites across the forces and rolling out to another 26 sites across Hampshire and Thames Valley Police. Reliable and consistent wifi will be a key enabler for the future mobility strategy, moving the force forward in terms of locations to work and movement across the force without the need for cabled data points, or to use cellular connection from force mobile device. Gov.wifi is the preferred solution for many government and public sector agencies allowing us to connect in other locations and allowing our partners to connect seamlessly in ours. We will also reduce the impact on our core networks, as teams traffic for example will go directly out to the internet, leaving our core network more capacity for Niche, CMP and other priority systems (£0.218m).
- 1.29. **Video Relay Service (VRS) and Video Remote Interpreting (VRI)** – assist with contact with the public particularly hard of hearing

- (£0.016m). Implementation of the **GoodSAM** technology to support communication with the public (£0.024m).
- 1.30. **Digital 101** - Provision of Technology to enable Contact Management to digital contact option for members of the public (£0.032m).
 - 1.31. **Vetting system** – procurement of police vetting system, currently CoreVet, essential for undertaking vetting (£0.042m).
 - 1.32. **Pronto** extension and ongoing support and future release management – Completion of the roll out and provision of 24/7 support including the ability to test and deploy improvements to Pronto electronic notebook (£0.167m).
 - 1.33. **CMS Corporate Mapping Tool (ESRI)** - Corporate Mapping capability providing on-line and desk top applications for investigative, analytical and specialists teams. It offers integrated, future proof mapping and the rationalisation of other mapping products (£0.118m).
 - 1.34. **iBase Review and upgrade** - i2 is our analytical tool for operational analysis, the system is currently unstable resulting in data quality issues and a significant risk to the accuracy of analytical recommendation and insights supporting our investigations (£0.350m).
 - 1.35. **BT Transform Back Office Voice Replacement** – Our Avaya CS1K telephone switch (central services infrastructure) is end of life and has been supported by BT and Avaya on a best endeavours basis. Contact centre telephony and any telephone services requiring more complex functionality like hunt groups for areas such as Custody are covered by a different Avaya AACC6 contact centre solution which is under contract with BT and being upgraded as part of the BT Transform scope. The cost of using MS Teams for telephone functionality has been discounted on a cost basis (£0.158m).
 - 1.36. **Computer refresh contribution increase** – the amount needed to be contributed to reserves to pay for refresh of devices has been reviewed and requires an increase (£0.348m).
 - 1.37. **ICT Lifecycle and Security Management Projects** – ongoing requirement for Lifecycle updates and Security improvements that need to be managed in ICT (£0.048m).
 - 1.38. **Data Quality** – undertake discovery and audit to work to identify issues and solutions to data differences (£0.156m).
 - 1.39. **Digital Evidence Management Storage (DEMS)** – DEMS is the national solution for storing evidence. An increase in the amount of data stored requires an increase in budget (£0.111m).
 - 1.40. **Esafe monitoring software upgrade** - Esafe is software that monitors devices for Registered Sex Offenders who have a qualifying court order. Improves risk management of offenders (£0.024m).
 - 1.41. **Confide in Us system** – replacement or upgrading of the system used to receive confidential reports into Professional Standards Department (£0.020m)

- 1.42. **Custody Security Systems Lifecycle Refresh** – the security systems at Basingstoke and Portsmouth custody functions are due for refresh at an estimated one-off cost of £0.016m.
- 1.43. **Strategic Higher Analysts** – 3 staff to provide analytical support to senior officers such as district commanders to identify key crime and nuisance patterns and potential solutions (£0.141m).
- 1.44. **Organisational Analysis Manager** – establish the existing post on a permanent basis to manage the provision of analysis across the Force. This is a key role in ensuring officers and staff have the intelligence and briefing they require to make the best use of the resources available (£0.061m).
- 1.45. **Victims Satisfaction Tactical Lead** – an Inspector post to drive the quality of service to victims and ensure compliance with the Victims Code of Practice (£0.081m)
- 1.46. **Business change for IT developments** – a provision for change management support for the introduction of new It developments. Benefits are derivable from changes in behaviours not just the implementation of new hardware or software so change management resource is required to compliment the technology proposals (£0.150m).

Policing services – Better Equipped

Investment in police personnel to give them the equipment, skills and support to ensure that they are ready and able to perform.

- 1.47. **Body Worn Video devices** – BWV is a requirement for a range of policing activity. It provides reassurance to the public, evidence for criminal prosecutions and supports officer safety. The devices require replacement on a 3 year cycle with a significant replacement required in 2022/23. Funding is contributed annually to the ICT Refresh Reserve to provide for these costs so the cost is offset by a matching contribution from the ICT Refresh Reserve (£1.049m).
- 1.48. **Equipment reserve** – a one-off contribution funded from the one-off council tax collection fund surplus to make provision for equipment replacement, particularly the forthcoming taser uplift programme that will gradually roll-out the new T7 taser to all taser trained officers (£0.829m).
- 1.49. **Body Worn Video Software** – separate to the devices, there is a need to update the software used on the devices (£0.053m).
- 1.50. **Marine Unit** – An allocation to assist with the costs of replacing the Force’s Commander vessel which is end of life. This will need to be subject to a tendering exercise. Proceeds from the sale of the existing vessel can be used to partially offset the cost of the new vessel (£0.500m).
- 1.51. **Digital Interviewing Recording** – Phase 2 of the rollout of digital interviewing including voluntary attendance rooms and vulnerable victim suites (£0.136m).

- 1.52. **Op Accessible** – one-off funding to facilitate safe working within Force buildings and at home as result of COVID-19 restrictions (£0.401m)
- 1.53. **People Strategy Pay Review** –to implement the changes to pay and conditions as a result of national changes (£0.378m).
- 1.54. **People Strategy Inclusion and Positive Action**– to embed the inclusion team on a permanent basis to create ongoing stronger links across a range of diverse communities (£0.118m).
- 1.55. **Health and Safety post** – to meet the Health and Safety obligations an additional post is required to assist the Health and Safety Adviser (£0.061m).
- 1.56. **Wellbeing Co-ordinator** – permanent establishment of the post (£0.046m).
- 1.57. **Employee Assistance Programme** – the increases in personnel results in the Constabulary moving into a new cost band for the ongoing provision of EAP. This assistance is a key part of the wellbeing arrangements for personnel and is highly regarded (£0.050m).
- 1.58. **Professional Standards Dept** – An increase of 8 police staff is required to meet increases in demand and expectations set for standards within the Force including complaints and misconduct (£0.276m)
- 1.59. **Body Armour** - The essential replacement of body armour was approved as a two-year programme in the 2021/22 budget at £1m per annum.

Estates Investment

- 1.60. The estate continues to be modernised in order to provide fit for purpose accommodation. The ongoing Officer Uplift programme will continue to require a review of the current estate strategy both because of the additional personnel and to take into account any change in operational strategy. The budget includes £1.897m to support estate improvements.
- 1.61. Vulnerable Victims Suite – An allocation to assist with the review and refurbishment of vulnerable victim suites across the Force that are in requirement of updating (£0.500m).
- 1.62. A revenue contribution to capital of £0.375m per annum is included to offset the ending of the police capital grant.
- 1.63. The budget also includes an additional £0.164m for cleaning costs.

Commissioning

- The PCC has included an additional £1m to support increased commissioning of services to support two key priorities in the Police and Crime Plan:
 - i) ‘improved outcomes for victims’
 - ii) ‘preventing young people from committing crime’

1.64. There is a £0.017m increase specifically for Youth Offending Teams.

Contributions from reserves

1.65. The budget pressures are offset by contributions from the Uplift Reserve (£2.433m) and one-off savings delivered in the 2021/22 budget (£2.464m) including vacancy savings. The Body Worn Video cost is drawn down from the ICT Refresh Reserve (£1.049m).

Capital Programme

Capital programme		2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25
		£000	£000	£000	£000
Capital spend	Estates	4,681	7,164	16,500	23,900
	Vehicles	2,300	2,400	2,400	2,400
	TOTAL	6,981	9,564	18,900	26,300
Funding	Operational buildings receipts	(3,000)	(7,800)	-	-
	Residential buildings receipts	-	(1,100)	-	-
	Vehicles receipts	(300)	(300)	(300)	(300)
	Capital grant	(300)	-	-	-
	RCCO - Estates	(2,883)	(5,900)	(17,417)	(12,125)
	RCCO - Vehicles	(2,300)	(2,400)	(2,400)	(2,400)
	Borrowing	-	-	-	(1,000)
	TOTAL	(8,783)	(17,500)	(20,117)	(15,825)
	In year (surplus) / shortfall	(1,802)	(7,936)	(1,217)	10,475
	Cumulative (surplus) / shortfall	(1,802)	(9,738)	(10,955)	(480)

Capital and Investment Strategy 2022/23 to 2024/25**1 Introduction**

- 1.1 This report gives a high-level overview of how capital expenditure, capital financing and treasury management activity contribute to the provision of the services within the PCC's remit, including policing, and provides an overview of how associated risk is managed and the implications for future financial sustainability.
- 1.2 This strategy covers:
- Governance arrangements for capital investment
 - Capital expenditure forecasts and financing
 - Prudential indicators relating to financial sustainability
 - Minimum revenue provision for the repayment of debt
 - Treasury Management definition and governance arrangements
 - Knowledge and skills
 - Chief Finance Officer's conclusion on the affordability and risk associated with the capital and investment strategy
 - Links to the statutory guidance and other information

2 Governance

- 2.1 The PCC's medium term financial strategy ensures that we continue to invest wisely in our existing assets and deliver a programme of new assets in line with overall priorities and need.
- 2.2 The major area of PCC capital expenditure is on the Estates Programme which is monitored and reviewed by the Estates Board. Other areas of the capital programme are kept under review by the Chief Finance Officer.
- 2.3 In accordance with the medium-term financial strategy, proposed programmes are scrutinised on a case-by-case basis to assess affordability. The final capital programme is then presented to the Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) in February each year for approval as part of the budget and overall MTFS.

3 Capital expenditure and financing

- 3.1 Capital expenditure is what the PCC spends on assets, such as land, property and vehicles, which will be used for more than one year.
- 3.2 Capital expenditure may be funded directly from revenue however the general pressures on the PCC's revenue budget and council tax levels limit the extent to which this may be exercised as a source of capital funding.
- 3.3 Prudential borrowing does provide an option for funding additional capital development/expenditure but one which then results in borrowing costs that have to be funded each year from within the revenue budget or from generating additional ongoing income streams.
- 3.4 The PCC's revenue budget includes budgetary provision to support borrowing to enable the PCC to progress schemes within the capital programme, but other sources of funding will always be maximised to limit the extent to which borrowing support is required.
- 3.5 The following table shows forecast capital expenditure and the resources available to fund this expenditure.

Table 1 – Forecast capital expenditure and resources to fund capex

	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Forecast expenditure	7.0	9.6	18.9	26.3
Prudential borrowing	-	-	-	(1.0)
Capital grants	(0.3)	-	-	-
Capital receipts	(3.3)	(9.2)	(0.3)	(0.3)
Revenue contributions to capital	(5.2)	(8.3)	(19.8)	(14.5)
Total resources available	(8.8)	(17.5)	(20.1)	(15.8)
In year (surplus) / shortfall	(1.8)	(7.9)	(1.2)	10.5
Cumulative (surplus) / shortfall	(1.8)	(9.7)	(11.0)	(0.5)

4 Prudential Indicators

- 4.1 The PCC is required to set and monitor against Prudential Indicators in accordance with the Prudential Code. These indicators cover capital expenditure, external debt and affordability and are presented in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4. Further indicators on treasury management are contained within the Treasury Management Strategy.
- 4.2 As the loan repayments and interest charges have to be financed by the PCC from its own resources, it is important that the use of prudential borrowing is very closely controlled and monitored.

- 4.3 The PCC's cumulative outstanding amount of debt finance is measured by the capital financing requirement (CFR). In order to ensure that over the medium-term debt will only be used to fund capital, the PCC should ensure that debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for the current and next two financial years. This is a key indicator of prudence and is shown in Table 2.

Table 2 – Ensuring borrowing is only for capital purposes

	2021/22 Estimate £m	2022/23 Estimate £m	2023/24 Estimate £m	2024/25 Estimate £m
CFR	50.8	87.2	81.7	88.9
Debt				
Borrowing	30.0	29.7	29.7	29.4
Lease liabilities	-	48.5	46.4	44.3
Total Debt	30.0	78.2	76.1	73.7

- 4.4 Total debt is expected to remain below CFR during the forecast period. The estimates for CFR and debt reflect the introduction of IFRS 16 (the new accounting standard for leases) from April 2022.

Affordable borrowing limit

- 4.5 The PCC is legally obliged to set an authorised limit for the maximum affordable amount of external debt. In line with statutory guidance, a lower 'operational boundary' is also set as a warning level should debt approach the limit. The operational boundary is based on the PCC's estimate of most likely (i.e. prudent but not worst case) scenario for external debt. It links directly to the PCC's estimates of capital expenditure, the capital financing requirement and cash flow requirements, and is a key management tool for in-year monitoring.

Table 3 – Affordable borrowing limits

	2021/22 Estimate £m	2022/23 Estimate £m	2023/24 Estimate £m	2024/25 Estimate £m
Authorised Limit:				
Borrowing	83.6	71.5	68.1	77.4
Leases and Other Long-term Liabilities	5.0	53.5	51.4	49.3
Authorised Limit for External Debt	88.6	125.0	119.5	126.7
Operational Boundary:				
Borrowing	67.7	55.6	52.2	61.5
Leases and Other Long-term Liabilities	5.0	53.5	51.4	49.3
Operational Boundary for External Debt	72.7	109.1	103.6	110.8

Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream

- 4.6 Capital expenditure is not charged directly to the revenue budget, however the interest payable on loans and the annual MRP are charged to revenue (in aggregate known as financing costs). It is important that the revenue implications of capital projects are closely controlled and monitored. Any decision to take out new borrowing to fund capital expenditure is considered and approved by the PCC and includes an assessment of the impact and affordability on the revenue budget.
- 4.7 This is an indicator of affordability and highlights the revenue implications of existing and proposed capital expenditure by identifying the proportion of the revenue budget required to meet financing costs.

Table 4 – Ratio of Financing Costs to Revenue Budget Requirement

	2021/22 Estimate	2022/23 Estimate	2023/24 Estimate	2024/25 Estimate
Ratio	0.87%	1.33%	1.33%	1.31%

A low proportion is forecast demonstrating that the cost of financing is minimised and the proportion of revenue budget available for delivering services is maximised.

5 Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Statement

- 5.1 Where the PCC finances capital expenditure by debt, statutory guidance requires that funds are set aside from revenue resources to repay that debt in later years, known as Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP). The Local Government Act 2003 requires the PCC to have regard to proper practice issued by Government. The Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities is currently consulting on proposed changes to the relevant regulations to ensure that all authorities make adequate revenue provision. Until that is concluded, the relevant guidance is that issued by the (former) Ministry of Housing Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) in 2018.
- 5.2 The broad aim of the MHCLG Guidance is to ensure that capital expenditure is financed over a period that is reasonably commensurate with that over which the capital expenditure provides benefit or, in the case of borrowing supported by Government Revenue Support Grant, reasonably commensurate with the period implicit in the determination of that grant.
- 5.3 The guidance requires the PCC to approve an Annual MRP Statement each year, and whilst it provides a range of options for the calculation of MRP, the guidance also notes that other options are permissible provided that they are fully consistent with the statutory duty to make prudent revenue provision.

5.4 The four MRP options available are:

- Option 1: Regulatory Method
- Option 2: CFR Method (4% of the CFR)
- Option 3: Asset Life Method
- Option 4: Depreciation Method

MRP in 2022/23

- 5.5 Options 1 and 2 may be used only for supported (i.e. financing costs deemed to be supported through Revenue Support Grant from Central Government) capital expenditure funded from borrowing. Methods of making prudent provision for unsupported capital expenditure include Options 3 and 4 (which may also be used for supported capital expenditure if the PCC chooses).
- 5.6 The PCC will continue to apply Option 2 in respect of supported capital expenditure funded from borrowing with an MRP charge equal to 4% of the CFR balance in respect of that expenditure.
- 5.7 The PCC will continue to apply Option 3 in respect of unsupported capital expenditure funded from borrowing by charging MRP over the expected useful life of the relevant asset in equal instalments.
- 5.8 For assets acquired by leases, MRP will be determined to be equal to the element of the rent or charge that goes to write down the balance sheet liability.
- 5.9 The adoption of the accounting standard for leases (IFRS 16) means former operating leases will be brought onto the balance sheet on 1 April 2022. Where this is the case annual MRP charges will be set so that the total charge to revenue remains unaffected by the new accounting standard.
- 5.10 Capital expenditure incurred during 2022/23 will not be subject to a MRP charge until 2023/24.
- 5.11 Based on the PCC's latest estimate of its Capital Financing Requirement on 31st March 2022, the budget for MRP has been set as follows:

	31.03.2022 Estimated CFR £m	2022/23 Estimated MRP £'000
Supported capital expenditure	8.5	321
Unsupported capital expenditure after 31.03.2008	42.3	986
Leases	0	0
Future borrowing	0	2,575*
Total General Fund	50.8	3,882

*the budget includes £2.575m to finance future borrowing which has not yet been taken out.

6 Treasury Management

- 6.1 Treasury management is concerned with keeping sufficient but not excessive cash available to meet the PCC's spending needs, while managing the risks involved. Surplus cash is invested until required, while a shortage of cash will be met by borrowing, to avoid excessive credit balances or overdrafts in the bank current account. The PCC is typically cash rich in the short-term as revenue income is received before it is spent, but cash poor in the long-term as capital expenditure is incurred before being financed. The revenue cash surpluses are offset against capital cash shortfalls to reduce overall borrowing.
- 6.2 The PCC has potentially large exposures to financial risks through its investment and borrowing activity, including the loss of invested funds and the effect of changing interest rates. The successful identification, monitoring and control of risk are therefore central to the PCC's treasury management strategy.
- 6.3 The PCC's chief objective when borrowing money is to strike an appropriately low risk balance between securing low interest costs and achieving certainty of those costs over the period for which funds are required. The flexibility to renegotiate loans should the PCC's long-term plans change is a secondary objective.
- 6.4 The PCC's objective when investing money is to strike an appropriate balance between risk and return, minimising the risk of incurring losses from defaults and the risk of receiving unsuitably low investment income. It therefore invests its funds prudently, and has regard to the security and liquidity of its investments before seeking the highest rate of return, or yield.
- 6.5 The PCC's Treasury Management Strategy is scrutinised by the Joint Audit Committee and approved by the PCC each year. Actual performance is reviewed by the Joint Audit Committee and reported to the PCC.

7 Investment strategy

- 7.1 Given the increasing risk and very low returns from short-term unsecured bank investments, the PCC aims to continue to hold investments that provide diversification through greater security and/or higher yielding asset classes during 2022/23. This is especially the case for the estimated funds that are available for longer-term investment (currently £15m but under review to potentially increase to £20m).
- 7.2 At 31 December 2021 approximately 59% of the PCC's investment balances were invested so that they were not subject to bail-in risk, as they were invested in government investments, strategic pooled funds, and secured bank bonds. Of the 41% of investment balances that were subject to bail-in risk, 50% was held in overnight money market funds which are subject to a reduced risk of bail-in due to the high level of diversification within it, and 23% was held in short-term notice accounts providing a comparatively favourable rate of interest in exchange for a short notice period within the 100-day maximum recommended by Arlingclose. 22% was held in overnight call accounts with banks to allow for liquidity and the remainder was invested in short term certificates of deposit which are saleable.
- 7.3 This diversification will represent a continuation of the strategy adopted in 2015/16.
- 7.4 The PCC also invests in pooled property, equity and multi-asset funds, which allow diversification into asset classes other than cash without the need to own and manage the underlying investments. The funds operate on a variable net asset value (VNAV) basis and offer diversification of investment risk, coupled with the services of a professional fund manager; they also offer the potential for enhanced returns over the longer term but are likely to be more volatile in the short-term. All of the PCC's pooled fund investments are in the funds' distributing share classes which pay out the income generated.
- 7.5 Money can usually be redeemed from pooled funds at short notice however these investments must be viewed as long-term investments from core balances not required for immediate liquidity requirements. This ensures that even in times of market volatility, the PCC will not be a forced seller and will not crystallise capital losses.
- 7.6 The performance of these investments and their suitability in meeting the PCC's investment objectives are monitored regularly and discussed with Arlingclose.

8 Knowledge and skills

- 8.1 The PCC employs professionally qualified and experienced staff in senior positions with responsibility for making capital expenditure, borrowing and investment decisions in accordance with the approved strategies. Performance against targets and learning and development needs are

assessed annually as part of the staff appraisal process, and additionally when the responsibilities of individual members of staff change.

- 8.2 Staff attend training courses, seminars and conferences provided by CIPFA, Arlingclose and other providers. Relevant staff are also encouraged to study professional qualifications from CIPFA, and other appropriate organisations.
- 8.3 CIPFA's Code of Practice requires that the PCC CFO ensures that all members tasked with treasury management responsibilities, including scrutiny of the treasury management function, receive appropriate training relevant to their needs and understand fully their roles and responsibilities. Members of the Joint Audit Committee attended a workshop presented by Arlingclose in November 2021, which gave an update of treasury matters. A further Arlingclose workshop has been planned for November 2022.

Investment Advisers

- 8.4 The PCC has appointed Arlingclose Limited as treasury management advisers and receives specific advice on investment, debt and capital finance issues. The quality of this service is controlled through quarterly review meetings with Arlingclose, the Chief Finance Officer and his staff.

9 Chief Finance Officers conclusion on the affordability and risk associated with the Capital and Investment Strategy

- 9.1 This Capital and Investment Strategy has been developed alongside the Treasury Management Strategy and the Reserves Strategy (Appendix H). Together, they form an integrated approach adopted by the PCC to balance the need for capital investment to support service priorities with consideration of affordability and the consequent impact on the revenue budget whilst recognising and managing risk to an acceptable level.
- 9.2 The forward planning of capital funding, including being in a position to maximise the use of external grants, contributions, and capital receipts, together with the process of regular monitoring of actual income, expenditure, and project progress, provides assurance to the Chief Finance Officer that the proposed capital programme is prudent, affordable and sustainable.

10 Links to statutory guidance and other information

- 10.1 The Local Government Act 2003, section 15(1) and the Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting) (England) Regulations 2003 [SI 3146] require Local Authorities to have regard to the following guidance:
- MHCLG - Local Government Investment* [MHCLG Investment](#)
 - CIPFA's Prudential Code
 - CIPFA's Treasury Management Code

* Where a local authority prepares a Capital Strategy in line with the requirements of the Prudential Code, a Treasury Management Strategy in line with the requirements of the Treasury Management Code, the Investment Strategy can be published in those documents instead of a separate document

10.2 The Treasury Management Strategy is a separate document reported to JAC and PCC.



RESERVES STRATEGY 2022/23

SAFER STREETS

HAMPSHIRE POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER

1. Background

- 1.1. The PCC first published a Reserves Strategy as part of the 2018/19 budget report.
- 1.2. On the 31 January 2018, new reserves guidance was issued to all PCCs (see the link below).
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/police-finance-reserves-guidance>
- 1.3. The Reserves Profile shows that existing planned commitments will result in a forecast reduction of useable reserves by March 2026 to £19.6m (down from £91.1m at the end of March 2021), of which the General Reserve would be £7.8m, which is 1.7% of the forecast 2025/26 revenue budget (£451.4m). This assumes that there are no adverse issues that impact on the General Reserve.
- 1.4. The Chief Finance Officers have a responsibility to ensure that the level of reserves maintained is sufficient. The Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011 states that only the PCC, and not the Chief Constable, is permitted to hold reserves; in practice the PCC and Constabulary CFO work closely together in formulating the reserves strategy.
- 1.5. The Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) produces guidance on reserves, but the exact level of reserves to be held is left as a local decision due to the need to reflect individual circumstances. Whilst there are no firm requirements on the amount, it is clear that reserves must be held to ensure that the organisation is able to meet any unexpected liabilities. CIPFA have previously warned that the use of reserves to deal with shortfalls in day-to-day spending would be a “recipe for significant financial problems”.
- 1.6. The current financial climate remains challenging, and there are a number of financial pressures and risks which need to be managed over the medium term, for which reserves are in place to mitigate. Reserves will also be required to support the significant investment required to reform policing and achieve the Policing Vision 2025.
- 1.7. Reserves required for accounting purposes only are not covered by this strategy as they are not optional and follow proper accounting practices.

2. Financial Stability

- 2.1. The PCC continues to use reserves to pay for the cost of change that is required to deliver the Police and Crime Plan priorities, and to meet both the financial challenge and the necessary investment to ensure that the Constabulary remains a modern, operationally effective Police Service.
- 2.2. The level of reserves continues to be reviewed by the PCC and Chief Finance Officers to ensure that suitable reserves are in place to mitigate and manage the risk of the financial challenges faced, and to ensure that

reserves are not unnecessarily held to the extent that is detrimental to current service delivery.

- 2.3. Additionally, Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary and Fire and Rescue Services (HMICFRS) also review reserves. The current rating for efficiency is 'good' with no areas for improvement recommended for financial management or reserves.
- 2.4. Reserves are necessarily and appropriately held as part of good strategic financial management and are a key element in supporting the PCC's medium-term planning and Medium Term Financial Strategy. Reserves held by the PCC are primarily earmarked reserves which will be drawn down over the medium term.
- 2.5. Reserves are also a one-off resource, which unless replenished, can rapidly diminish.
- 2.6. In the medium term there is significant financial stress in the system to be managed, including:
 - i) managing the overall financial position against a back drop of increasing demand
 - ii) funding the necessary investment to deliver the PCC's ambition in relation to delivery of her Plan and commissioning of services
 - iii) meeting the Chief Constables operational requirements, in support of 'More Police, Safer Streets'
 - iv) the investment required to ensure that the Constabulary remains modern and fit for purpose
 - v) ensuring that the Police estate remains operationally effective, is continually improved, and is a modern and safe environment
 - vi) the still to be determined consequences of national programmes (e.g. ESMCP) which require a local funding stream for delivery of its outcomes as well as being subject to growing needs for top sliced contributions to deliver the national infrastructure
 - vii) the significant investment required to embrace an ever evolving technology and digital landscape
 - viii) the requirement to continue to fund an increasing share of the annual ongoing costs of Operation Magenta, which is the investigation regarding the historical deaths at Gosport War Memorial Hospital
 - ix) inflationary pressures, including yet to be agreed pay awards
- 2.7. In view of the cost pressures faced by the PCC and the Constabulary, in the medium term there will be a significant call on reserves to fund one off pressures, initiatives and investment.
- 2.8. There therefore remains a significant number of potential calls for one off investment for consideration by the PCC, and we know that change and transformation will be continual.

2.9. The PCC CFO's assessment is that:

- i) the level of the General Fund reserve is reflective of the overall risk environment in which the PCC operates
- ii) the level of Earmarked reserves and their purpose are necessary and appropriate
- iii) the overall level of reserves is appropriate given the known financial pressures and risks faced by the PCC.

2.10. The earmarked reserves have been created to support planned initiatives and also to cover a number of risks, and these will be continually reassessed to ensure that the reserves held are commensurate with the risk.

2.11. The PCC CFO, in consultation with the Constabulary CFO, has also assessed the potential future costs associated with the Uplift in police officer numbers, and is content that in light of current available information, the Uplift reserve provides a prudent level of reserves funding to help support the ongoing Uplift in officer numbers for next year. Should the Uplift reserve not be sufficient this risk can be managed/mitigated through a call on the General Fund Reserve.

3. Reserves

3.1. The PCC continues to use reserves to pay for the cost of change that is required to deliver the Police and Crime Plan priorities, and to meet both the financial challenge and the necessary investment to ensure that the Constabulary remains a modern, operationally effective Police Service.

3.2. The forecast Reserves position is set out in full in Appendix 1, with a snapshot of the position below which shows the position as at 31 March 2021, the forecast position as at 31 March 2022 and the forecast position through to the end of the medium term financial strategy as at 31 March 2026:

	Actual as at 31 March 2021	Forecast as at 31 March 2022	Forecast as at 31 March 2026
General Reserve	13.1	10.3	7.8
Earmarked Reserves	78.0	82.8	11.8
Total Reserves available for use by the PCC to support delivery of the Police & Crime Plan and to support the Constabulary	91.1	93.1	19.6
Ring fenced Reserves held on behalf of others not available to spend by the PCC	10.3	5.8	5.8
Total Reserves	101.4	98.9	25.5

- 3.3. The table above shows that the reserves available to be used directly by the PCC to support delivery of her Police and Crime Plan and policing have slightly increased since 2020/21.
- 3.4. The General Fund reserve is available to the PCC to fund investment, transformation and unforeseen cost pressures.
- 3.5. The General Reserve balance has reduced slightly since March 2021 and is forecast to remain stable from 2023/24 onwards. There will be a draw from the General Fund in 2022/23 of £2.464m to help balance the base budget.

Categorisation of Reserves

- 3.6. The Reserves Guidance issued on the 31st January 2018 requested that reserves are split across the following headings:
 - Funding for planned expenditure on projects and programmes over the period of the current medium term financial plan
 - Funding for specific projects and programmes beyond the current planning period will currently be the balances remaining as at March 2026.
 - The general contingency or resource to meet other expenditure needs held in accordance with sound principles of good financial management

The above split of reserves is shown in Appendix 1.

4. General Reserve (Forecast 31 March 2022 is £10.3m)

- 4.1. The General Reserve is the main reserve held to manage unidentified and unforeseen risk. The PCC CFO is required to set a minimum level for the General Reserve.
- 4.2. General reserves are by nature 'not specific' (they are not earmarked), and are held to cover unforeseen risk and cost pressure, for example:
 - cost of national programmes overrun;
 - uneven cash flows and managing the timing of savings;
 - unforeseen emergencies requiring significant one off spend e.g. a significant incident; or
 - demand pressures.
- 4.3. The General Reserve balance is forecast to be £10.3m at the end of March 2022. This equates to 2.5% of the 2022/23 net budget (£410.5m) which is within the suggested 5% maximum set by the Minister of State for Crime, Policing and Probation.
- 4.4. The forecast position for the General Reserve over the course of the medium-term financial plan is as follows:

	£m	% of Net Budget for following year
Forecast balance as at 31 March 2022	10.3	2.5%
Forecast balance as at 31 March 2023	7.8	1.8%
Forecast balance as at 31 March 2024	7.8	1.8%
Forecast balance as at 31 March 2025	7.8	1.7%
Forecast balance as at 31 March 2026	7.8	1.7%

* the March 2026 balance is shown as a percentage of the 2025/26 forecast net budget as the MTF5 does not include a forecast budget for 2026/27.

5. Earmarked Reserves

In addition to the General Reserve, the PCC also holds a number of earmarked reserves, as set out below (the table shows the March 2021 balance, the forecast March 2022 balance and the forecast March 2026 balance, with more detail shown in Appendix 1):

	Actual as at 31 March 2021	Forecast as at 31 March 2022	Forecast as at 31 March 2026
Carry Forward Reserve	0.8	0.0	0.0
Commissioner's Reserve	0.7	1.3	0.0
Council Tax Reserve	0.0	2.1	2.1
Estate Reserve	15.5	25.6	1.1
Grant Equalisation Reserve	6.0	6.0	1.5
Insurance Reserve	1.5	1.5	1.5
IT Services Reserve	22.6	25.2	0.6
Operation Magenta Reserve	5.9	8.0	0.9
Pension Remedy Reserve	3.0	3.0	0.0
Replacement Programme Reserve	4.6	2.6	0.4
Revenue Grants Unapplied Reserve	2.0	0.5	0.0
Trading Reserves	3.7	3.7	3.7
Transformation Reserve	8.4	0.3	0.0

Uplift Reserve	3.3	2.9	0.0
Total Earmarked Reserves	78.0	82.8	11.8

5.1. Further detail as to the purpose of each earmarked reserve is set out below:

Transformation Reserve (Forecast 31 March 2022 is £0.3m)

- 5.2. The Transformation Reserve was specifically set up to recognise the significant investment required to deliver transformational change, support the significant investment requirements linked to technology development and digital initiatives, and to provide a buffer to help manage the budget in the medium term.
- 5.3. The Transformation Reserve has supported a number of improvement initiatives over the past few years but is due to close at the end of next year once all approved spends have been drawn down. A number of new specific reserves have been set up since 2020/21 which have replaced the need for a Transformation Reserve and mean the PCC has set aside funds for a number of future pressures in Estates, ICT (including ESMCP), and other operational considerations such as equipment replacement and Op Magenta.

Other Earmarked Reserves

- 5.4. The PCC holds earmarked reserves for specific purposes. These are the:
- i) **Carry Forward Reserve** holds funds approved for carry forward by the PCC as part of the annual outturn report.
 - ii) **Commissioner's Reserve** holds amounts that are used specifically to support the Commissioner's priorities. This is intended to support programmes that support the delivery of the Police and Crime Plan.
 - iii) **Council Tax Reserve** has been set up to hold the one-off Local Council Tax Support grant of £2.1m received in 2021/22 – this reserve will be utilised to manage any ongoing impact from COVID-19 of a Council Tax deficit, and to manage any ongoing impact (slow recovery/reduced growth) on the Council Tax Base
 - iv) **Estates Reserve** holds revenue funds for a number of future Estates programmes such as large repairs and maintenance projects, future potential dilapidations costs and new capital investment. A new Estates Uplift reserve has also been created to provide funding towards estate requirements to support the increase in police officer numbers.
 - v) **Grant Equalisation Reserve** will be used to offset and manage future uncertainty in the level of Police grant.
 - vi) **Insurance Reserve** holds funds available to pay for items that are not covered by the insurance contract. Research and

experience has shown that it is more cost effective to hold a reserve for some things that are low risk and low probability rather than pay an insurance premium to cover them.

- vii) **IT Services Reserve** holds funds set aside for IT refresh programmes (laptops/phones/BWV and servers) and the delivery of ESMCP.
- viii) **Operation Magenta Reserve** has been set up in response to the reinvestigation of the historic events at Gosport War Memorial Hospital which is an investigation of national interest. The PCC is currently able to apply for Special Grant from the Home Office to support 85% of expenditure annually, although it is anticipated that the available Special Grant will taper down over incrementally over the next 3 years to 55% of. The costs of the investigation on an annual basis are now significant, and at a reduced contribution rate would equate to a cost to be funded by the PCC of between £3m to £4m per annum over the next 2 years and possibly beyond. This reserve has been set up to ensure that funding is set aside to meet these costs. The reserve, in the sum of £8.0m, has been funded via transfers from the General Fund Reserve and the Transformation Reserve.
- ix) **Pension Remedy Reserve** sets aside specific funding in recognition of the one-off costs which will arise from implementation of the McCloud/Sargeant pension remedy judgment impacting Police Pensions.
- x) **Replacement Programme Reserve** holds funds available to offset the impact of large-scale equipment replacement. In the 2022/23 budget there is a one-off contribution of £829k from the Council Tax surplus to allow for the replacement of vital operational kit such as tasers, body armour and radios.
- xi) **Uplift Reserve** holds funds to meet the infrastructure and non-pay costs associated with the estimated increase in police officer numbers.
- xii) **Trading Reserves** holds funds for specific areas of the Constabulary such as Netley Business Plan and Safer Roads. These areas generate income which is ring-fenced for specific usage.

6. Ring-fenced Reserves held by but not available to use by the PCC

- 6.1. In addition to the reserves set out above, the accounts include earmarked reserves that are ring fenced for specific purposes and are not available for use by the PCC. These are:

	Actual as at 31 March 2021	Forecast as at 31 March 2022	Forecast as at 31 March 2026
ACRO Surety	2.0	2.0	2.0
AVCIS Surety	1.3	0.3	0.3
ACRO General Reserve	7.0	3.5	3.5
Total	10.3	5.8	5.8

6.2. The purpose of these reserves is as follows:

- i) **ACRO** is the national ACPO Criminal Records Office which is hosted in Hampshire. The funds are not available to the PCC for use in the Hampshire policing area. **ACRO Surety** and **AVCIS Surety** are held to cover any potential costs to the Chief Constable or PCC should ACRO cease to trade on its current basis.
- ii) **ACRO General Reserve** - other net surplus balances held on behalf of ACRO. The governance board for ACRO determines the use of these reserves. The annual budget and use of reserves is presented to the National Police Chief's Council each year.

7. Overall CFO Assessment of reserves

7.1. The PCC CFO's assessment is that:

- i) the level of the General Fund reserve is reflective of the overall risk environment in which the PCC operates
- ii) the level of Earmarked reserves and their purpose are necessary and appropriate
- iii) the Transformation Reserve is prudent and necessary to meet the ongoing requirement for one off expenditure to meet the transformation required to ensure that in the years ahead the Constabulary remains a modern operationally effective police force.
- iv) the overall level of reserves is appropriate given the known financial pressures and risks faced by the PCC.

7.2. Over the last few years a number of new and necessary earmarked reserves have been created against known risks, and these will be continually reassessed to ensure that the reserves held are commensurate with the risk.

7.3. The PCC CFO, in consultation with the Constabulary CFO, has also assessed the potential future costs associated with the Uplift in police officer numbers, and is content that in light of current available information, the Uplift reserve provides a prudent level of reserves funding to help support the ongoing Uplift in officer numbers for next year. Should the Uplift reserve not be sufficient –this risk can be managed/mitigated through a call on the General Fund Reserve.

Appendix 1 – Analysis of Useable Reserves

	Analysis of how the forecast 31 st March 2022 Reserves will be utilised			
	Forecast Balance 31.3.22	Planned Expenditure on projects and programmes over the medium term to 2025/26	Funding for Specific projects and programmes beyond 2025/26	As a general contingency to meet other expenditure needs
	£m	£m	£m	£m
General Reserve	10.3	2.5	0.0	7.8
Council Tax Reserve	2.1	0.0	0.0	2.1
Commissioner's Reserve	1.3	1.3	0.0	0.0
Estates Reserve	25.6	24.5	1.1	0.0
Grant Equalisation Reserve	6.0	4.5	1.5	0.0
Insurance Reserve	1.5	0.0	1.5	0.0
IT Services Reserve	25.2	24.6	0.6	0.0
Operation Magenta Reserve	8.0	7.1	0.9	0.0
Pension Remedy Reserve	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0
Replacement Programme Reserve	2.6	2.2	0.4	0.0
Revenue Grants Unapplied Reserve	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0
Trading Reserves	3.7	0.0	3.7	0.0
Transformation Reserve	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0
Uplift Reserve	2.9	2.9	0.0	0.0
Total Useable Reserves	93.1	73.4	9.7	9.9

Appendix 2 – Analysis of profile

	31-Mar-21	31-Mar-22	31-Mar-23	31-Mar-24	31-Mar-25	31-Mar-26
General Fund Balance	(13.1)	(10.3)	(7.8)	(7.8)	(7.8)	(7.8)
Fully committed to Existing Spend Programmes						
Carry Forward Reserve	(0.8)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Estate Reserve	(15.5)	(25.6)	(26.5)	(11.0)	(1.2)	(1.1)
IT Services Reserve	(22.6)	(25.2)	(16.3)	(15.8)	(1.1)	(0.6)
Replacement Programme Reserve	(4.6)	(2.6)	(3.1)	(1.9)	(0.8)	(0.4)
Revenue Grants Unapplied Reserve	(2.0)	(0.5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Uplift Reserve	(3.3)	(2.9)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Trading Reserves						
HC Trading Reserves	(3.7)	(3.7)	(3.7)	(3.7)	(3.7)	(3.7)
Risk Reserves						
Council Tax Reserve	0.0	(2.1)	(2.1)	(2.1)	(2.1)	(2.1)
Grant Equalisation Reserve	(6.0)	(6.0)	(6.0)	(4.5)	(3.0)	(1.5)
Insurance Reserve	(1.5)	(1.5)	(1.5)	(1.5)	(1.5)	(1.5)
Operation Magenta Reserve	(5.9)	(8.0)	(5.1)	(0.9)	(0.9)	(0.9)
Pension Remedy Reserve	(3.0)	(3.0)	(1.5)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Corporate Reserves						
Commissioner's Reserve	(0.7)	(1.3)	(1.0)	(0.7)	(0.3)	(0.0)
Transformation Reserve	(8.4)	(0.3)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Earmarked	(78.0)	(82.8)	(66.7)	(42.0)	(14.7)	(11.8)
Other Reserves						
AVCIS Surety	(1.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)
ACRO General Reserve	(7.0)	(3.5)	(3.5)	(3.5)	(3.5)	(3.5)
ACRO Surety	(2.0)	(2.0)	(2.0)	(2.0)	(2.0)	(2.0)
Total Revenue Reserves	(101.4)	(98.9)	(80.4)	(55.7)	(28.4)	(25.5)

Section 25 Report from the PCC Chief Financial Officer

Section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003 requires the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) to report to the PCC when setting council tax on:

- the robustness of the estimates included in the budget, and
- the adequacy of the financial reserves in the budget.

The PCC is required to have regard to this report in approving the budget and council tax. Section 25 concentrates primarily on the risk, uncertainty and robustness of the budget for the next financial year rather than the greater uncertainties in future years. This report does however consider not only the short-term position but also the position beyond 2022/23 in the context of the PCC's Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) presented in the main report.

Robustness of Estimates in the Budget

The budget setting process within the Constabulary has been operating effectively for many years and is based on increasing the budgets each year allowing for pay and price inflation and other marginal base changes in the cost or levels of service.

Each year a zero based budgeting exercise is also carried out to review whether or not there are elements of the budget that do not reflect the current activity or need, and adjustments have been made to the budgets to reflect the savings of £1.431m that have been implemented in order to help balance the budget.

Appropriate provisions for pay and price inflation are included within each successive MTFS and these are then refined by the Chief Financial Officer in rolling forward the detailed budget for the next financial year; for 2022/23 provision has been included to fund pay inflation of 3.5%, and general inflation of 4%. In the absence of an agreed pay award, there remains a risk that the pay award could be higher, but based on all the available evidence 3.5% is seen as a realistic allowance for the pay award.

In general terms, the forecasting for the MTFS is undertaken on a very prudent basis, particularly in respect of allowances for pay and price inflation and increases in government grant, precept increase and council tax base. For the current MTFS, the PCC has only received confirmation of Hampshire's grant funding for the 2022/23 financial year; increases in total Police Grant have been announced for 2023/24 and 2024/25 but with no detail as to Hampshire's specific allocation. The MTFS therefore includes an assumption that Hampshire will receive its proportionate share of the overall increase in Police Grant in these later years.

The settlement announcement confirmed that Precept rises of £10 would be permitted in each of the next 3 years; at this stage the MTFS is based on a £10 precept increase for 2022/23, but for later years the precept increase has been included at 1.99% (which equates to for example a precept increase of £4.71 in 2023/24). This is therefore below the allowable £10 increase, so is a prudent assumption.

There is a very robust process in place within the Constabulary to assess growth pressure and bids, with bids ranked according to a hierarchy which ranges from:

- Unavoidable
- Operationally unavoidable
- High Priority
- Optional

The bids are subject to scrutiny and sign off by Force Executive and with Joint Chief Officer Group with Thames Valley Police for collaborated initiatives, and then are discussed and agreed with the PCC, subject to overall affordability and assessment against the PCC's priorities. For the current year, the budget includes a number of pressures which are predominately unavoidable, plus a range of growth items for investment which can be afforded within the available funding. For the remainder of the MTFS, the budget estimates only include unavoidable cost increases and growth items for investment which are operationally unavoidable (and an allowance for future pressures incrementally per annum of £5m).

Budget management within the Constabulary remains strong as demonstrated by the outturn position each year; for 2021/22 the Constabulary are forecasting an underspend which will be utilised in support of the 2022/23 budget (in the sum of £2.464m).

As Chief Financial Officer for the PCC I have a close involvement with the budget setting process and I am content that the estimates are robust based on the knowledge we have available to us at this time.

Risks in the Budget 2022/23 and the MTFS

- a) **Government Funding** - The Police Spending Settlement announced in December 2021 has only provided Hampshire's specific information on government grant for 2022/23. For later years, whilst the increase in national police grant has been announced, grant allocations at an individual PCC level have not been announced. This is a key risk as the funding position beyond 2022/23 is not known, and has therefore required that an estimated grant level has been included for the remaining years of the settlement period (2023/24 & 2024/25) within the MTFS.

For the final year within the MTFS period (2025/26), there is no announcement on the level of grant available. The risk mitigation here has been to include a very prudent estimate of 'flat cash' for Police Grant in 2025/26, i.e. no increase in grant in comparison to 2024/25.

To manage the risk of having to estimate the overall level of government grant for the period 2023/24 – 2025/26, a Grant Equalisation reserve is available which can be utilised to offset and manage any fluctuations in government grant over the medium term.

- b) **Council Tax** – In announcing the settlement for the next 3 year period, the Government have effectively assumed that the total available increase in resource available to PCC's to cover for example pay rises, inflation, NI increase and unavoidable growth is predicated on precept rises of £10 in each of the next 3 years.

This presents a risk to the overall funding position, as it will be a local decision for the PCC as to level of precept increase up to £10 which they feel able to recommend. The current MTFS is predicated on precept increases of 1.99% for the period 2023/24 – 2025/26 (which for example in 2023/24 would equate to

£4.71). This is felt to be a prudent approach, and leaves flexibility for the PCC to determine the actual precept rise each year.

- c) **Council Tax Collection** – Whilst COVID-19 impacted the collection of Council Tax, particularly during 2020/21, it does appear that over the past financial year 2021/22, collection levels have improved.

In recognition of the impact COVID-19 had on collection in 2020/21, the government confirmed as part of the 2021/22 settlement announcement that they would put in place a Local Tax Income guarantee which would:

- i) allow the repayment of collection fund deficits arising in 2020-21 to be spread over the next 3 years rather than the usual period of a year.
- ii) also fund 75% of the irrecoverable losses in this deficit. The government would pay a Section 31 grant to the PCC during the 2021-22 financial year to fund this.

This additional support from government mitigated the impact of the 2020/21 collection fund deficit on the 2021/22 budget, allowing the position to be managed over 3 years.

Over the medium term, it may take time for Council tax collection to fully recover, and for the Council Tax base to grow in line with pre pandemic trends.

The Council Tax reserve will be utilised to manage any ongoing impact to the overall level of precept income.

- d) **Pay Inflation Risk** – The MTFs contains provision as follows for annual pay awards for increases in Police Officer and Police staff pay:

22/23 - 3.5%

23/24 - 2.5%

24/25 - 2%

Whilst the level of actual pay awards will be determined by the Home Secretary following recommendations from the Police Pay Review Body, the percentage increases shown above do reflect the ask from the Employer side in terms of the level of pay increase they are seeking. In that sense the estimates for pay awards are seen to realistic prudent and appropriate.

- e) **General Inflation Risk** - the impact of price inflation is a risk which could impact the overall budget and is a risk which will need to be managed.

There is concern that inflation pressures may not be short term in nature. The current budget includes an allowance for general inflation at 4%, although the latest available CPI figure published in December 2021 shows that inflation is now running at 5.1%.

However, in the round my assessment is that it would take a sustained and significant increase in inflation beyond the current 4% assumption to create a financial problem that could not be dealt with in year from reserves.

- f) **Treasury Risk** – The Authority has limited exposure to interest rate risk as current long-term borrowing is undertaken on a fixed rate. If the PCC were to approve significant future investment in support of the key emerging capital issues across the police estate (e.g. Uplift), this is likely to require to be supported through prudential borrowing and decisions on when best to take out this borrowing would need to be considered. Provision to support borrowing for

these areas of potential capital investment has been included within the budget. In addition specific revenue recurrent funding has been set aside in the 2022/23 budget for investment in the estate, which will reduce the overall level of any borrowing required.

On the investments side, the Authority has a very prudent approach to forecasting its investment returns and they also represent a very small part of the overall funding for the budget. The investment strategy protects capital ahead of yield and most of the medium term investments are in products that should return a stable income yield each year.

In addition to the above, the budget report sets out a range of other key risks in section 12 of the report as follows:

- a change in the government grant announced for future years
- the Precept proposed by the PCC is less than the assumed 1.99% increase included in each year of the MTFS 2023/24 onwards
- inflation is greater than forecast which would create a cost pressure (as an example, each 1% increase in the pay award beyond the current assumption of a 3.5% pay award would lead to a full year cost pressure of £2.8m)
- the COVID-19 pandemic continues and impacts Constabulary resilience leading to additional cost
- the impact on both the council tax collected and the council tax deficit are slower to recover post the pandemic which negatively impacts future year budgets
- funding set aside for the estates and infrastructure costs of the Officer Uplift programme being insufficient to cover costs
- the £5m incremental recurrent revenue funding each year within the MTFS is insufficient to cover new recurrent revenue pressures
- costs for implementing pension remedy exceeds the available funding set aside
- any cost increase arising as a result of the Police Pension Scheme valuation is not funded in full by central government
- the cost of borrowing increases reducing the level of borrowing which can be taken out to support the emerging capital programme pressures
- the costs of Operation Magenta increase, and the investigation continues beyond 2023/24; the level of Government Special Grant support for Op Magenta continues to decrease
- Special Grant available to support Operation Magenta is no longer available
- an increase in national top-slices
- a risk that partner agencies could reduce or withdraw their services which puts additional financial pressure on the police service

- some activities and funding could be moved to a regional or national basis that would remove funding from the Hampshire Policing Area. There is a risk that the removal of funding causes a financial difficulty that needs to be managed locally
- insufficient savings are identified or delivered to meet the forecast medium term budget shortfall, necessitating a draw from reserves to balance the budget in any one year
- the earmarked reserves are insufficient to cover the pressures/risks to which they relate

These risks have been taken into account in assessing the minimum and overall level of reserves. My assessment is that it would be unlikely that all risks would arise in any one year, and that individually the risks can be managed. It would take a significant number of these risks to arise at the same time to be unmanageable through the available reserves in the short to medium term.

These identified risks are mitigated, to a certain extent, because:

- the PCC maintains an appropriate level of reserves and balances;
- the MTFS includes prudent assumptions as to the level of future precept increases
- the PCC together with the Chief Executive and CFO will proactively manage and monitor all aspects of budget performance during the year

Adequacy of Reserves

The PCC Chief Finance Officer has a responsibility to ensure that the level of reserves maintained is sufficient. The Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011 states that only the PCC, and not the Chief Constable, is permitted to hold reserves.

The Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) produces guidance on reserves, but the exact level of reserves to be held is left as a local decision due to the need to reflect individual circumstances. Whilst there are no firm requirements on the amount, it is clear that reserves must be held to ensure that the organisation is able to meet any unexpected liabilities. CIPFA have previously warned that the use of reserves to deal with shortfalls in day-to-day spending would be a “recipe for significant financial problems.

Reserves are necessarily and appropriately held as part of good strategic financial management and are a key element in supporting the PCC’s medium-term planning and Medium Term Financial Strategy. Reserves held by the PCC are forecast to reduce in the medium term.

The PCC has in place earmarked Reserves to recognise specific risk issues to funded over the medium term, which include:

- i) **Grant Equalisation Reserve** - this reserve in the sum of £6m will be used to offset and manage future uncertainty in the level of Police grant
- ii) **Council Tax reserve** – this reserve will be utilised to manage any ongoing impact from COVID-19 and any ongoing impact on council tax collection in 2022/23, and to manage and any ongoing impact (slow recovery/reduced growth) on the Council Tax Base

- iii) **Operation Magenta Reserve** – this reserve has been set up to ensure that funding is set aside to meet the costs of the reinvestigation of the historic events at Gosport War Memorial Hospital which is an investigation of national interest.
- iv) **Pension Remedy Reserve** – this reserve, in the sum of £3m sets aside specific funding in recognition of the one off costs which will arise from implementation of the McCloud/Sargeant pension remedy judgment impacting Police Pensions

Further information on Reserves is provided in section 11 of the budget report, with significant detail set out in the Reserves Strategy which is Appendix H to the budget report.

Based on current planning assumptions, and taking into account the risks set out in section 12 of the budget report, the minimum general fund balance has been set at £5.5m. The actual balance of the reserve is forecast to be £10.3 m (which is 1.6% of the 2022/23 net budget) at the end of March 2023, and then reducing to £7.8m over the MTFS for the period through until March 2026.

For the medium-term the general fund balance is therefore anticipated to be maintained at a level in excess of the minimum required which provides further coverage to support emerging risks or cost pressures.

The Earmarked reserves which are held are deemed to be appropriate, and their purpose has been set out in the Reserves Strategy. Earmarked reserves are forecast to reduce from £82.8m on 31st March 2022 to £11.8m by 31st March 2026.

As set out in the Reserves Strategy, my view on the adequacy of Reserves is as follows:

- i) the level of the General Fund reserve is reflective of the overall risk environment in which the PCC operates
- ii) the level of Earmarked reserves and their purpose are necessary and appropriate
- iii) the overall level of reserves is appropriate given the known financial pressures and risks faced by the PCC.

Reliability / accuracy of budget estimates

The estimates have been reviewed by qualified and experienced staff in the Finance team.

There are a significant number of risks around the estimation of future costs and income contained within the budget and MTFS proposals and these are clearly set out within this appendix and within the body of the budget report, together with comments on risk mitigation.

By themselves none of these risks are so significant that they could not be managed in isolation. However, collectively they represent potential risks which if they came to fruition individually or as multiple risks would impact the MTFS position, and if not managed could cause a gradual and escalating build-up of financial pressure on the PCC and Constabulary's financial position that would

need to managed in year as necessary and where appropriate over the course of the MTFS timeline.

Whilst COVID-19 has been and remains a potential ongoing risk to managed, the impact on the Constabulary has so far been manageable, and the financial impact not significant.

The risks will be closely monitored during the year and the next iteration of the MTFS will be updated accordingly.

Budget 2022/23 – Conclusion

Given the details outlined above, provided that the PCC considers the above factors which form part of the budget and MTFS and agrees the budget and MTFS as proposed, including the level of earmarked reserves and balances, a positive opinion can be given under Section 25 on the robustness of the estimates and level of reserves for 2022/23.

Cash Flow

As part of setting the budget, I have reviewed, together with the Chief Constable's CFO, the cash flow forecast for the period through until end of March 2023. The cash flow position remains very positive, and I have no concerns as to the cash position.

The minimum forecast cash balance over the next financial year (excluding cash investments with an investment term duration greater than 1 day) is £27.3m, with the maximum balance forecast to be £113m.

In practice, through effective treasury management throughout the year, surplus cash will be invested until required, ensuring that the PCC keeps sufficient but not excessive cash available to meet the PCC's day to day spending needs, while managing the risks involved (in line with the CIPFA code and the treasury management strategy). On that basis actual short term cash balances may be less than forecast as surplus cash will be invested in periods longer than 1 day duration.

The Position Beyond 2022/23

Given the announcement of only a firm one-year settlement 2022/23, the PCC is still in the position of not knowing what the actual grant funding position is beyond a one-year planning horizon.

At this stage, in response to this position, the MTFS has been based on prudent funding assumptions, which should mean the PCC is well placed to respond to and manage changes to funding.

As set out earlier, the MTFS is necessarily based on prudent assumptions which do show budget shortfalls across the 3 years post 2022/23. However, whilst there are risks within the MTFS these have been mitigated as far as possible and it would take a significant change in the funding regime to create a scenario which the PCC and Chief Constable could not manage over the course of the MTFS through either planned budget reduction and/or draws from reserves.

Andrew Lowe

PCC Chief Financial Officer

See separate document

This page is intentionally left blank

The Budget 2022/23



Contents

Budget consultation 2022: What we've heard	2
Key highlights	2
Background	2
YouGov	3
Budget survey 2022	5
Focus groups	7
Appendix 1: YouGov data tables	10
Appendix 2: Budget survey 2022 data tables	14

Budget consultation 2022: What we've heard

Key highlights

- A total of 4,314 responses received during our four week consultation period.
- When asked, 64% of participants support the 4.4%¹ increase in the precept for 2022/23
- Top 3 districts for precept support: Hart (72%), East Hants (78.4%), Portsmouth (68.1%)²
- Top three PCC priorities³ for residents are: Zero tolerance on knife crime 65%; Improved police visibility 56%; 600 more police officers by 2023 52%
- 27 press articles about the survey created 'opportunities to see' of 1,329,318.
- Top three crimes to tackle⁴: Knife crime 72%; Rape and serious sexual offences 71%; Violent crimes (inc assault & robbery) 71%
- Residents want to see what the precept increase is going towards, they want tangible outcomes and measures and for the PCC to hold the Chief Constable to account on this.

Background

The past year we have continued to face unprecedented challenges. With the impact of Covid-19 we are together navigating the landscape as best as we can, with the resources available to us. Here at the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner we have continued to engage with our residents, ensuring their voices are heard and acted upon. The 2022/23 Budget consultation follows a similar format to last year, with our approach being to utilise our open networks and publish an online survey⁵, to utilise our YouGov survey 500 and to undertake a virtual focus group with members of the public, members of our OPCC Consultation and Focus Group panel and members of our OPCC Older Persons Reference Group.

Hampshire and the Isle of Wight has a population of around 2 million residents (ONS est. 2020 1,999,006⁶) with a near 50/50 gender split (female population 50.55%, male population 49.44%). With a predominantly white (92.9%) self-defined ethnicity for Hampshire residents, the districts of Southampton (7.47%) and Basingstoke and Deane (5.71%) see higher Asian populations, and Portsmouth (3.72%) and Southampton (3.54%) seeing higher populations of those self-defined as Black. Fareham sees a 100% British nationality population compared to Southampton where 20% of the population are non-British.

Southampton (12% and 18%) and Portsmouth (14% and 16%) sees higher numbers of those aged 18-24yrs and 24-34yrs compared to Hampshire and the Isle of Wight, those aged 55 and over are predominantly on the Isle of Wight (45%) and in Hampshire (37%).

Over 85% of the area covered by Hampshire County Council is rural whilst 85% of the population in Hampshire live on 15% of the land, with the majority living on the south coast and the north of the county.

¹ This is £10 per year for band D properties, 83ppw or £7.77 per year for band B properties, 65ppw.

² From public survey

³ From public survey

⁴ From public survey

⁵ via Alchemer

⁶ [Population estimates - Office for National Statistics \(ons.gov.uk\)](https://www.ons.gov.uk/population-estimates)

We recognise that by only being able to engage with the public online that this does leave those without the means of contributing online potentially missing out on the opportunity to have their say. Here in Hampshire and the Isle of Wight around 4.4%⁷ of residents have never accessed the internet, this is lower than the national average at 6.3%. This is why it is more important than ever that our partners and stakeholders support us in driving public consultations into all communities across our policing area.

We are now two years into a global pandemic, with ongoing restrictions placed upon residents. This year, 2022, will see significant increases into the public's gas and electric bills as the UK and other countries face an energy crisis, leading to concerns over the cost of living as this is set to increase further. This, alongside the expected annual increases to expenditure, are additional factors directly impacting our residents. In undertaking this budget consultation, we are acutely aware of the financial strain our residents and communities are currently experiencing, and are set to face in the coming financial year. We do not take the proposed increase lightly, rather we take the opportunity to outline how, should an increase to the precept take place, the funds raised are spent and what this looks like for our Hampshire and Isle of Wight Communities.

In addition we see that Ipsos Mori's Global Trend Report⁸ identifies a growth of people who are wary about sharing their personal data in today's data-driven world. While Covid-19 and the effect of languishing⁹, where more people are feeling fatigue and a lack of motivation, particularly as more things move online meaning there can be little separation between work and home life. This can result in less interest in life in general, and in turn apathy for taking part in consultations and surveys.

Our diverse population generates much opportunity for engagement. This budget survey covers a four and a half week consultation and engagement period from December 2nd 2021 to January 4th 2022. With a total of 4,314 responses from across multiple platforms here's what we've heard during our budget consultation period for 2022/23:

YouGov

YouGov¹⁰ is a research and analytics organisation who have the ability to track and interact with the public on a wide range of topics and issues. Here at the OPCC we have invested into 'Profiles', this services allows us to better understand what the residents of Hampshire and the Isle of Wight say and feel in relation to policing.



Our YouGov survey data is demographically weighted across our 14 districts to ensure the data is robust. Every 6 months we run a survey with 500 different residents. To date we now have 5,000 demographically weighted responses to the core questions for our Survey 500 in, tracking feelings of safety and sentiment to policing. Here we will be exploring the views of residents around the precept and where they would like to see any additional funding spent. For full data tables see appendix 1.

⁷ [Internet users - Office for National Statistics \(ons.gov.uk\)](https://www.ons.gov.uk/people-in-the-uk/internet-users)

⁸ [Global Trends 2021 \(ipsos.com\)](https://www.ipsos.com/global-trends-2021)

⁹ [Are You Languishing? Here's What To Know \(verywellmind.com\)](https://www.verywellmind.com/are-you-languishing-heres-what-to-know-2786183)

¹⁰ <https://business.yougov.com/product/crunch>

Our 500 residents demographically weighted across our 14 districts, in December 2021 told us:

- 61% (331) of residents said ‘yes’ they would be willing to pay the 4.4% increase in council tax for 2022/23.
- Gosport (83.64%), Fareham (66.82%) and Eastleigh (64.72%) are the districts showing the highest support for the increase.
- Districts with the lowest support are Southampton (43.33%), Hart (45.22%) and the Isle of Wight (49.93%).

Across all ages and gender breakdown we see support for the increase, apart from females 18-24 at 20.36%, compared to females overall at 65.56%.

	Female 18-24	Female 25-34	Female 35-44	Female 45-54	Female 55+	Male 18-24	Male 25-34	Male 35-44	Male 45-54	Male 55+
Yes, I would	20.36%	65.56%	73.88%	69.21%	73.19%	50.50%	48.41%	50.09%	60.28%	62.08%

Table 1: Age/Gender of support for the 4.4% precept increase

Looking at our Survey 500 participants we see a gender split of 51.17% female and 48.83% male, with the ethnicity of 92.92% of participants being White British. This reflects ONS population estimates here in Hampshire and the Isle of Wight. Of the 500 participants who took part we see that 62.24% of White British residents support the precept increase. For full data tables see appendix 1.

Participants were also asked “If increased funding is raised through the council tax precept for policing, which types of crime would you like to see tackled?” and to select all that apply. We see the top three crime types selected are:

- Rape and serious sexual assault- 59.46%
- Theft (in burglary and vehicle crime) – 57.03%
- Violent crimes (inc. assault and robbery)- 55.48%

When looking across our 14 districts we see variance in the overall crimes identified. Gosport records rape and serious sexual assault as the lowest at 40.28% compared to the other districts, Hart is the highest at 73.95%. Test Valley has ASB at 34.03%, the lowest for all districts, where as we see the Isle of Wight has this at 63.62%, the highest of all our districts.

Residents were also given the option to specify other crime types that need to be focused on that are not mentioned. In the comments we see key themes emerging around the illegal use of e-scooters, speeding and road related crime and a range of ASB related crimes.

Types of crime want to see tackled	
Rape and serious sexual offences/ abuse	59.46%
Theft (including burglary and vehicle crime)	57.03%
Violent crimes (including assault and robb..	55.48%
Crimes against those who might be vulner..	51.97%
Knife crime	51.93%
Anti-social behaviour: criminal damage (e...	51.05%
Drug dealing	50.45%
Anti-social behaviour: drug taking behavio..	50.11%
Child sexual exploitation	49.45%
Anti-social behaviour: drunken behaviour/...	47.76%
Domestic abuse	46.30%
Anti-social behaviour: groups of people ha..	44.29%
Fraud and scams	42.20%
Modern slavery/ human trafficking	41.50%
Animal abuse	40.62%
Hate crime (i.e. a crime motivated by racia..	39.79%
Rural crime (i.e. incidents that occur in rur..	35.99%
Cyber crime (i.e. crimes carried out online)	35.75%
Road safety (e.g. speeding, road noise)	30.20%
Business crime (i.e. any crime affecting bu..	22.72%
Other	5.03%
Don't know	4.80%
Not applicable - there are no crimes in part..	2.78%
Prefer not to say	1.17%

Table 2: Crimes to be tackled if increased funding is raised

Key themes from the open question “What positive improvements would you like to see happen in your local community if policing was to receive increased funding?”:

Visibility, accessibility and engagement

Residents spoke of feeling strongly that there should be increased police visibility within their local communities. Residents are clear in that they want to see police officers ‘out and about’ at all times of the day, with more police patrolling the streets, particularly on foot. Residents feel this increased police visibility will act as a deterrent to criminal behaviour, create an increased sense of safety amongst residents and enable officers to fully understand and integrate into local communities. Residents want policing to be accessible and engaging on a community level.



ASB and lower-level crime

We saw comments around residents wanting more action to tackle lower-level offences such as ASB, theft, burglary, littering, vehicular vandalism and public drug and alcohol use. Residents report these crimes seriously impact their feeling of safety both in and outside their homes, particularly after dark. Residents highlight concerns around young people committing acts of ASB and would like to see more youth diversion services available.

Road safety

Residents are concerned about speeding and aggressive driving in areas such as rural roads, housing estates and near schools. Residents also spoke of illegal e-scooter use, cycling on pavements and moped/scooters being used on pavements.

Budget survey 2022

This year’s budget consultation launched on December 2nd 2021, and was open for four and a half weeks. We received 3,814 responses, with a 90.5% completion rate (3,451). The survey was distributed online through Hants Alert¹¹, key stakeholders, the OPCC’s focus group and consultation panel and the OPCC’s Older Persons Reference group.



The survey link was also promoted on social media via Facebook, Twitter and LinkedIn. This included paid advertising on Facebook which reached 129,825 people and generated 3,534 clicks through to the survey. We also saw 27 press articles about the survey which created an ‘opportunity to see’ figure of 1,329,318.



We saw positive representation across all 14 of our districts (see appendix 2 for full data table), with the top responding districts being:

- Basingstoke and Deane- 9.09%
- New Forest- 8.7%
- Eastleigh 7.8%

¹¹ [Home Page - Hampshire Alert](#)

Of the 3,754 participants who answered if they'd be willing to pay the 4.4% increase in council tax for 2022/23, 64% (2,392) said yes they would. Support for the increase ranges across the districts from Hart at 72.1% agreeing to pay more to the Isle of Wight at 48.6%. The top three districts in support of the 4.4% precept increase are:

- Hart -72.1%
- East Hants- 68.4%
- Portsmouth- 68.1%

When looking at age and gender of our participants we see that 55.79% are male, 30.34% are female, 0.10% are trans and 13.11% of participants prefer not to say/didn't specify. The majority of participants were those ages 65-74yrs (26.19%) followed by those 55-64 (18.72%) and those not stating their age (17.33%). Support for the increase ranged from 73.67% for those 75+ to 51.22% for those 35-44. Both males (63.02%) and females (70.70%) support the increase. We can see from the data that all males and females across all age groups are more than 50% in support, with the lowest level of support from males 25-34 (50%) and the highest level of support females 75+ (84.5%).

My age is:	Yes, I would	No, I would not	I don't know
75+	73.67%	21.51%	4.82%
65-74	69.47%	25.53%	5.01%
55-64	61.76%	31.51%	6.72%
18-24	57.14%	32.14%	10.71%
45-54	55.86%	39.75%	4.39%
Null	55.37%	29.50%	6.05%
25-34	51.92%	38.46%	9.62%
35-44	51.22%	37.40%	11.38%

Table 3: Age of support for the 4.4% precept increase

Of the participants who took part 81.44% identify themselves as White British. Of those who are White British 66.42% support the increase proposed. Other ethnic groups supporting the increase are those who identify as any other White background (59.42%), any other mixed background (57.14%) and any other Black background (100%) to name a few.

We also asked participants "If the increased funding is raised through the policing precept, which of the following areas [PCCs 9 priorities] would you like to see investment in" and the agreement level to the identified priority area:

We can see that the top three priority areas for participants:

- Zero tolerance on knife crime- 64.47%
- Improved police visibility- 56.03%
- 600 more police officers by 2023- 52.25%

PCC priority	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
600 More Police Officers By 2023	52.25%	24.36%	12.61%	1.97%	5.93%
Zero Tolerance Approach On Knife Crime	64.47%	18.93%	8.15%	1.23%	4.12%
Improve Police Visibility	56.03%	23.62%	10.38%	1.81%	5.03%
Tackle Anti-Social Behaviour	48.40%	30.47%	11.54%	1.52%	4.27%
Targeting Rural Crime	35.45%	31.12%	22.68%	2.81%	3.99%
Prevent Young People From Committing Crime	40.85%	33.30%	16.39%	1.78%	3.70%
Making It Easier To Report Crime Through 101	29.89%	27.48%	29.60%	3.67%	5.22%
Improved Outcomes For Victims	38.67%	31.65%	19.93%	1.97%	3.62%
Crack Down On Unauthorised Encampments	34.29%	28.76%	23.49%	3.99%	5.11%

Table 4: Agreement level to PCC priorities

When looking at districts we see variance in the priorities, for example there are highs of 70.33% in Eastleigh for strongly agreeing that knife crime should see more investment and lows of 16.76% for cracking down on unauthorized encampments. See appendix 2 for full set of data tables.

Participants were also asked *“If increased funding is raised through the council tax precept for policing, which types of crime would you like to see tackled?”* and to select all that apply. We can see those with 70% and over as selected by participants, again seeing knife crime coming out as the top area at 71.87%. Females were more likely to select domestic abuse at 70.27% compared to males at 53.67%.

Knife crime	71.87%
Rape and serious sexual offences/ abuse	71.16%
Violent crimes (including assault and robbery)	70.92%
Drug dealing	70.69%
Theft (including burglary and vehicle crime)	70.58%

Table 5: crimes to tackle if increased funding is raised

Residents were also given the opportunity to identify other crimes they feel need to be tackled. We received 253 responses which included the mention of illegal e-scooter use and other off-road motor use, others spoke of traffic related noise, speeding and enforcement.

Key themes from the open question *“What positive improvements would you like to see happen in your local community if policing was to receive increased funding?”*:

Visibility and accessibility

One of the most common responses we’ve seen are that participants are keen to see more police officers present in their local community where they can effectively engage with residents and provide a more visible deterrent to crime. It was also felt that more points of contact whether through local police stations or community *“surgeries”* would make reporting easier and raise confidence as *“seeing police is important to trusting police”*.



Youth related crime and ASB

We’ve read that participants are concerned about the groups of, often young, people congregating in their local area and engaging in what is believed to be drug taking or dealing, alcohol and antisocial behaviour. This is seen as negatively impacting how safe local residents feel. Participants indicated that they would like to see more youth engagement, focussing on *“early intervention with first time young offenders”* as well as more police involvement with educational settings to reinforce a positive image of policing from a young age.

Traffic and road safety

We also saw many comments relating to road safety. There were calls for more enforced speed limits and the use of acoustic cameras as well as *“tackling dangerous driving”* and excessive, anti-social traffic noise in residential areas. E-scooters were also heavily featured where users do not use them in the proper way, endangering other road or path users.

Reporting

Another area participant’s raised concern was over reporting a crime. We heard the need for faster response times to crimes reported and many wished for more follow-ups after they had made a report. The 101 service was also highlighted as needing much improvement, along with the online reporting which could be *“more inclusive and easier to navigate”*.

Focus groups

While survey data (quantitative) is important to analyse, it is equally important to hear the narrative (qualitative) aspect of people’s views too. Our focus groups this year mirrored those of last year’s budget consultation due to the current restrictions and recommendations around face to face

contact because of Covid-19. We again opted for a virtual approach to meet our residents, these were participants who volunteered to share their thoughts and views on the precept.

We had four focus group sessions open to the public covering the morning, afternoon, evening and weekend availability to ensure we maximise the opportunity for residents to attend. We also ran a focus group with the OPCC's Focus Group and Consultation Panel¹² and the OPCC's Older Person's Reference Group. Additionally we organised a focus group for those from, and/or those who represent different communities across Hampshire, and those from minority ethnic backgrounds to come together to share their views.

In total we ran 6 focus groups over 4 days with a total of 26 participants taking part, we had positive interest and engagement in our focus groups with all of our four public sessions at full capacity with waiting lists.

Key themes which emerged from across our focus groups are:

- The majority of participant's support the 4.4% increase to the precept, however there was reservation from some on how families who are already struggling financially will manage with this increase, alongside other increases that are coming in 2022.
- Most participants spoke of feeling safe in their local community. Discussions emerged around when a low crime area does experience crime it can feel "*more dramatic when it does occur*".
- Many participants spoke of having confidence in police officers, and empathy for the challenges facing them, "*the police are struggling in very difficult circumstances to do a very difficult job*".
- The groups brought up the theme of the perception of crime versus actual crime taking place and how this can impact how safe residents feel. Discussion developed around the fear of dog thefts over the summer (2021) and how there were actually very few reports to police. Impact of social media inciting fear.
- In nearly all of our focus groups we heard the illegal use of e-scooters posing a danger to pedestrians, and the lack of policing around this causing much anger and frustration. We also heard how speeding and road safety is not tackled leaving residents in fear.
- Many participants discussed the lack of a policing response to lower level crimes. Frustration at the poor service received when reporting such crimes and the long term impact this has both upon confidence in policing and feeling safe locally. Other spoke of not reporting low level crime due to feeling "*nothing happens when you report anyway*".
- Participants wanted to know what they were getting for their money, what does the precept increase get them "*does it buy me a police dog?*" Participants echoed the sentiment of wanting to hear progress on the precept promises made by the PCC and the Chief Constable.
- When participants were asked what they want to see from their local policing teams, overwhelmingly we heard that they want a visible policing presence in their local communities, policing which is embedding in the community. We heard that policing needs to target low level crimes like shoplifting, vandalism etc.
- Discussion around the poor 101 system. One group spoke of being happy to see that the PCC is addressing this. Many spoke of not receiving any feedback to reporting a crime or intelligence, this again fuels apathy.
- Participants were questioning why Hampshire is so poorly funded from central government compared to other forces. There was a level of apathy across some participants who

¹² [Consultations - Hampshire Police and Crime Commissioner \(hampshire-pcc.gov.uk\)](https://www.hampshire-pcc.gov.uk/consultations)

questioned the year on year precept increase and the lack of communication around how it's been spent over recent years.

Appendix 1: YouGov data tables

Participants by district:

Basingstoke and Deane	10.05%
East Hampshire	10.81%
Eastleigh	9.38%
Fareham	9.41%
Gosport	4.32%
Hart	7.60%
Havant	8.30%
Isle of Wight	1.78%
New Forest	10.94%
Portsmouth	2.77%
Rushmoor	5.56%
Southampton	2.79%
Test Valley	8.98%
Winchester	7.31%

Participants by age:

18-24	11.95%
25-34	15.36%
35-44	15.85%
45-54	18.07%
55+	38.77%

Participants by gender:

Female	51.17%
Male	48.83%

Participants by ethnicity:

English / Welsh / Scottish ..	92.92%
Any other White backgrou..	2.51%
African	0.99%
Prefer not to say	0.66%
Irish	0.50%
Any other Mixed / Multipl..	0.47%
Any other Asian backgrou..	0.43%
Any other ethnic group	0.33%
White and Black Caribbean	0.33%
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	0.30%
Indian	0.27%
White and Asian	0.21%
Any other Black / African /..	0.04%
Chinese	0.04%

By district: willingness to pay the 4.4% precept increase

	Yes, I would	No, I would not	Don't know
Gosport	83.64%	16.36%	0.00%
Fareham	66.82%	28.24%	4.94%
Eastleigh	64.72%	25.19%	10.10%
Havant	64.42%	26.79%	8.79%
Test Valley	64.38%	24.48%	11.14%
Basingstoke and Deane	64.24%	23.30%	12.45%
New Forest	62.04%	26.22%	11.74%
All	60.96%	27.60%	11.44%
Portsmouth	60.55%	20.51%	18.94%
East Hampshire	60.02%	26.36%	13.62%
Winchester	56.20%	26.08%	17.71%
Rushmoor	50.60%	44.91%	4.49%
Isle of Wight	49.93%	28.04%	22.03%
Hart	45.22%	37.65%	17.13%
Southampton	43.33%	37.82%	18.86%

By district: crimes to tackle

	Basingstoke and Deane	East Hampshire	Eastleigh	Fareham	Gosport	Hart	Havant	Isle of Wight	New Forest	Portsmouth	Rushmoor	Southampton	Test Valley	Winchester	All
Rape and serious sexual ...	70.49%	59.21%	55.27%	54.75%	40.28%	73.95%	58.55%	61.55%	67.10%	61.83%	48.66%	65.42%	45.32%	63.66%	59.44%
Violent crimes (includin...	61.45%	48.53%	57.64%	51.78%	68.61%	59.10%	55.34%	49.40%	49.51%	58.25%	40.23%	61.80%	49.29%	74.68%	55.51%
Theft (including burglar...	55.60%	54.74%	62.12%	59.72%	51.24%	59.04%	49.95%	34.17%	68.55%	57.63%	58.25%	66.22%	45.43%	59.90%	57.05%
Knife crime	63.64%	41.44%	55.00%	49.57%	52.42%	65.90%	50.55%	40.91%	54.28%	58.31%	48.99%	55.99%	35.84%	54.10%	51.91%
Drug dealing	61.07%	50.91%	53.09%	52.10%	63.21%	62.13%	42.21%	64.96%	49.23%	61.95%	52.22%	45.10%	37.07%	30.68%	50.47%
Anti-social behaviour: cr...	43.25%	48.65%	55.28%	49.65%	61.89%	58.66%	59.13%	58.81%	53.48%	46.27%	53.48%	42.56%	43.30%	45.72%	51.07%
Anti-social behaviour: dr...	64.27%	53.77%	52.79%	44.34%	72.85%	68.61%	38.90%	57.60%	47.00%	55.36%	49.80%	47.41%	29.69%	36.78%	50.13%
Crimes against those wh...	70.41%	59.95%	46.82%	40.92%	53.00%	56.78%	50.13%	45.88%	54.58%	43.38%	39.52%	53.58%	41.91%	53.85%	51.95%
Child sexual exploitation	62.07%	44.48%	51.95%	51.93%	46.86%	68.58%	47.32%	43.53%	46.90%	49.87%	35.58%	57.18%	30.08%	53.38%	49.43%
Anti-social behaviour: dr...	45.47%	48.49%	54.98%	51.20%	61.89%	55.61%	38.91%	63.62%	52.08%	52.06%	41.51%	42.68%	34.03%	41.05%	47.74%
Domestic abuse	56.99%	53.31%	45.34%	37.90%	41.61%	60.07%	44.81%	52.57%	47.57%	51.96%	40.07%	51.70%	28.71%	41.87%	46.28%
Anti-social behaviour: gr...	51.06%	50.05%	59.92%	45.93%	36.64%	55.59%	39.08%	42.06%	45.05%	48.87%	33.03%	32.99%	39.51%	19.05%	44.26%
Fraud and scams	50.57%	38.56%	46.79%	42.28%	36.04%	55.41%	38.53%	39.75%	42.57%	44.41%	31.25%	44.13%	30.53%	45.02%	42.18%
Modern slavery/ human ...	61.15%	35.93%	37.59%	41.56%	40.86%	47.13%	39.22%	38.97%	40.76%	46.68%	27.35%	48.21%	31.49%	44.74%	41.48%
Hate crime (i.e. a crime ...	46.74%	46.96%	37.38%	30.57%	42.93%	39.68%	42.00%	36.60%	35.24%	47.93%	36.11%	51.34%	25.81%	50.14%	39.77%
Animal abuse	45.08%	46.86%	46.72%	34.91%	47.60%	38.99%	42.04%	41.10%	36.95%	36.76%	36.33%	36.15%	33.39%	41.66%	40.64%
Cyber crime (i.e. crimes ...	40.35%	35.71%	44.34%	47.73%	15.03%	41.75%	36.11%	26.40%	28.40%	34.46%	27.35%	42.17%	22.11%	43.32%	35.77%
Rural crime (i.e. incident...	45.30%	34.55%	24.99%	39.76%	41.61%	50.88%	27.60%	28.75%	38.74%	20.21%	19.11%	20.85%	35.97%	47.76%	36.00%
Road safety (e.g. speedi...	34.54%	29.20%	27.77%	29.85%	20.43%	47.15%	19.11%	38.82%	35.49%	38.49%	19.28%	34.99%	27.95%	25.69%	30.17%
Business crime (i.e. any ...	24.77%	22.79%	22.68%	22.70%	20.43%	22.99%	15.70%	19.25%	25.93%	31.84%	28.10%	27.41%	17.89%	21.68%	22.73%
Other	2.48%	6.01%	4.75%	2.21%	10.22%	5.48%	5.01%	11.59%	4.03%	2.95%	8.43%	1.67%	5.20%	6.80%	5.04%
Don't know	6.78%	2.40%	5.24%	12.35%	0.00%	0.00%	5.01%	9.64%	3.17%	2.19%	12.39%	11.19%	2.32%	0.00%	4.80%
Not applicable - there ar...	0.00%	2.16%	2.48%	2.21%	5.40%	5.48%	5.62%	0.00%	0.00%	1.47%	8.01%	3.16%	2.32%	2.85%	2.78%
Prefer not to say	2.07%	0.00%	0.00%	2.82%	0.00%	0.00%	2.79%	0.00%	0.00%	1.32%	0.00%	3.12%	0.00%	4.74%	1.17%

Age/Gender: willingness to support the 4.4% precept increase

	Female 18-24	Female 25-34	Female 35-44	Female 45-54	Female 55+	Male 18-24	Male 25-34	Male 35-44	Male 45-54	Male 55+	All
Yes, I would	20.36%	65.56%	73.88%	69.21%	73.19%	50.50%	48.41%	50.09%	60.28%	62.08%	60.98%
No, I would not	43.92%	21.30%	18.33%	24.13%	15.66%	28.03%	37.20%	36.49%	35.06%	32.73%	27.59%
Don't know	35.71%	13.14%	7.79%	6.65%	11.15%	21.47%	14.39%	13.42%	4.66%	5.19%	11.43%

Age/Gender: crimes to tackle

Types of crime want to see tackled	Female 18-24	Female 25-34	Female 35-44	Female 45-54	Female 55+	Male 18-24	Male 25-34	Male 35-44	Male 45-54	Male 55+	All
Animal abuse	46.81%	39.43%	51.08%	45.93%	47.71%	53.28%	25.04%	23.22%	24.52%	41.57%	40.62%
Anti-social behaviour: criminal damage (...)	34.65%	41.92%	52.82%	62.07%	51.55%	37.38%	43.56%	57.73%	48.63%	59.18%	51.05%
Anti-social behaviour: drug taking beha...	36.78%	48.49%	55.56%	72.05%	44.78%	45.33%	53.10%	48.98%	37.39%	54.79%	50.11%
Anti-social behaviour: drunken behaviou...	47.87%	31.42%	47.93%	60.23%	41.00%	51.89%	44.12%	58.23%	55.62%	47.50%	47.76%
Anti-social behaviour: groups of people ...	32.52%	41.92%	52.82%	65.89%	40.01%	18.69%	39.27%	49.52%	50.96%	44.46%	44.29%
Business crime (i.e. any crime affecting ...)	34.65%	10.65%	11.28%	26.11%	24.89%	26.64%	11.76%	23.22%	16.72%	30.84%	22.72%
Child sexual exploitation	51.06%	52.04%	27.28%	61.57%	56.41%	49.50%	40.94%	49.01%	43.56%	50.20%	49.45%
Crimes against those who might be vuln...	48.94%	61.63%	55.56%	64.40%	56.01%	53.28%	38.31%	37.18%	48.22%	50.00%	51.97%
Cyber crime (i.e. crimes carried out onlin...	40.73%	23.26%	36.65%	41.26%	34.57%	51.89%	23.93%	33.55%	28.77%	41.37%	35.75%
Domestic abuse	46.05%	54.53%	50.08%	54.92%	49.63%	54.67%	38.31%	39.76%	40.83%	39.13%	46.30%
Don't know	8.21%	9.59%	3.32%	6.16%	4.91%	1.39%	1.67%	3.63%	6.99%	3.44%	4.80%
Drug dealing	36.78%	41.92%	50.08%	71.55%	53.22%	48.11%	40.94%	45.93%	47.12%	53.10%	50.45%
Fraud and scams	43.92%	30.89%	33.33%	40.27%	55.28%	38.77%	35.14%	37.95%	31.92%	47.50%	42.20%
Hate crime (i.e. a crime motivated by rac...	65.35%	51.51%	35.07%	40.27%	40.41%	30.82%	47.30%	35.56%	29.18%	35.08%	39.79%
Knife crime	57.14%	41.92%	36.24%	72.89%	59.79%	49.50%	44.67%	46.47%	43.16%	52.50%	51.93%
Modern slavery/ human trafficking	43.92%	39.43%	30.60%	49.75%	44.53%	49.50%	40.38%	30.50%	38.91%	42.71%	41.50%
Not applicable - there are no crimes in p...	0.00%	0.00%	0.58%	0.00%	5.84%	0.00%	3.74%	0.00%	6.99%	3.44%	2.78%
Other	0.00%	3.02%	1.16%	3.33%	3.39%	9.34%	6.36%	3.09%	2.74%	11.88%	5.03%
Prefer not to say	6.08%	3.02%	3.32%	0.00%	0.00%	1.39%	0.00%	0.00%	2.74%	0.00%	1.17%
Rape and serious sexual offences/ abuse	65.35%	73.72%	61.19%	73.39%	61.31%	57.46%	60.57%	43.38%	48.22%	54.65%	59.46%
Road safety (e.g. speeding, road noise)	41.79%	17.75%	32.92%	49.26%	25.54%	42.54%	22.42%	17.59%	24.11%	33.88%	30.20%
Rural crime (i.e. incidents that occur in r...	33.59%	19.18%	32.75%	45.44%	46.92%	33.20%	23.93%	25.76%	28.77%	42.11%	35.99%
Theft (including burglary and vehicle cri...	42.86%	48.49%	49.50%	74.38%	51.89%	57.46%	63.75%	56.80%	57.95%	62.22%	57.03%
Violent crimes (including assault and ro...	55.02%	58.08%	49.50%	79.05%	57.93%	49.50%	50.48%	40.84%	55.62%	53.10%	55.48%

Ethnicity: willingness to support the 4.4% precept increase

Pivot Field Names	Yes, I would	No, I would not	Don't know
English / Welsh / Scottish ...	62.24%	26.71%	11.04%
Any other Mixed / Multipl...	49.51%	0.00%	50.49%
Any other White backgrou...	54.14%	27.29%	18.58%
White and Black Caribbean	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
Prefer not to say	0.00%	40.68%	59.32%
Irish	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
Chinese	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
White and Asian	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Indian	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%
African	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Any other Black / African /...	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Any other ethnic group	0.00%	89.01%	10.99%
Any other Asian backgrou...	9.70%	80.86%	9.44%
All	60.98%	27.59%	11.43%

Ethnicity: crimes to tackle

Types of crime want to see tackled	African	Any other Asian background	Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	Any other ethnic group	Any other Mixed / Multiple ethnic background	Any other White background	Chinese	English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	Gypsy or Irish Traveller	Indian	Irish	Prefer not to say	White and Asian	White and Black Caribbean	All
Theft (including b...	50.00%	9.44%	0.00%	86.34%	100.00%	69.31%	0.00%	56.73%	79.67%	100.00%	100.00%	31.50%	0.00%	18.62%	57.03%
Rape and serious...	0.00%	9.44%	0.00%	100.00%	49.51%	48.77%	0.00%	60.68%	79.67%	100.00%	41.36%	40.68%	100.00%	18.62%	59.46%
Violent crimes (in...	0.00%	9.44%	0.00%	100.00%	49.51%	56.45%	0.00%	56.19%	79.67%	100.00%	41.36%	40.68%	100.00%	18.62%	55.48%
Knife crime	0.00%	19.14%	0.00%	86.34%	100.00%	47.16%	0.00%	52.59%	79.67%	100.00%	0.00%	40.68%	100.00%	18.62%	51.93%
Anti-social behav..	0.00%	19.14%	0.00%	89.01%	49.51%	52.85%	0.00%	48.22%	79.67%	100.00%	50.36%	40.68%	0.00%	0.00%	47.76%
Anti-social behav..	0.00%	9.44%	100.00%	100.00%	49.51%	44.18%	0.00%	51.42%	0.00%	100.00%	50.36%	9.18%	0.00%	0.00%	50.11%
Anti-social behav..	0.00%	9.44%	0.00%	75.35%	0.00%	52.85%	0.00%	52.13%	0.00%	100.00%	50.36%	40.68%	100.00%	0.00%	51.05%
Drug dealing	0.00%	19.14%	0.00%	100.00%	50.49%	46.02%	0.00%	51.50%	0.00%	100.00%	50.36%	40.68%	0.00%	0.00%	50.45%
Hate crime (i.e. a..	0.00%	19.14%	0.00%	10.99%	0.00%	23.83%	0.00%	40.65%	79.67%	100.00%	41.36%	40.68%	0.00%	100.00%	39.79%
Domestic abuse	0.00%	19.14%	0.00%	100.00%	49.51%	50.40%	0.00%	46.94%	79.67%	0.00%	41.36%	40.68%	0.00%	18.62%	46.30%
Animal abuse	0.00%	9.44%	0.00%	100.00%	49.51%	48.77%	0.00%	40.77%	79.67%	0.00%	91.00%	31.50%	0.00%	0.00%	40.62%
Crimes against th...	0.00%	19.14%	0.00%	24.65%	0.00%	43.69%	0.00%	53.55%	0.00%	100.00%	41.36%	31.50%	100.00%	18.62%	51.97%
Cyber crime (i.e. c...	100.00%	9.44%	0.00%	75.35%	0.00%	34.73%	0.00%	35.37%	0.00%	100.00%	50.36%	31.50%	0.00%	0.00%	35.75%
Child sexual expl..	0.00%	9.44%	0.00%	100.00%	49.51%	27.63%	0.00%	50.99%	79.67%	0.00%	41.36%	40.68%	0.00%	18.62%	49.45%
Anti-social behav..	0.00%	9.44%	0.00%	75.35%	49.51%	53.47%	0.00%	44.87%	0.00%	100.00%	50.36%	31.50%	0.00%	0.00%	44.29%
Modern slavery/..	0.00%	9.44%	0.00%	100.00%	49.51%	27.63%	0.00%	42.43%	79.67%	0.00%	41.36%	40.68%	0.00%	18.62%	41.50%
Rural crime (i.e. i..	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	75.35%	49.51%	21.41%	0.00%	36.60%	0.00%	0.00%	9.00%	31.50%	100.00%	0.00%	35.99%
Fraud and scams	0.00%	19.14%	0.00%	86.34%	0.00%	44.69%	0.00%	43.05%	79.67%	0.00%	41.36%	40.68%	0.00%	0.00%	42.20%
Business crime (i...	0.00%	9.44%	0.00%	10.99%	0.00%	30.70%	0.00%	23.03%	20.33%	0.00%	41.36%	31.50%	0.00%	0.00%	22.72%
Road safety (e.g. ...	0.00%	19.14%	0.00%	75.35%	0.00%	21.41%	0.00%	31.50%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	9.18%	0.00%	0.00%	30.20%
Not applicable - t...	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	2.95%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2.78%
Prefer not to say	0.00%	80.86%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.89%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.17%
Don't know	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	4.74%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	59.32%	0.00%	0.00%	4.80%
Other	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	9.22%	0.00%	5.17%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5.03%

Appendix 2: Budget survey 2022 data tables

Participants by district:

Basingstoke and Deane	9.098%
New Forest	8.783%
Eastleigh	7.866%
Winchester	7.551%
Havant	7.027%
Southampton	6.660%
East Hants	6.555%
Fareham	6.529%
Test Valley	6.502%
Portsmouth	4.772%
Isle of Wight	4.693%
Gosport	4.562%
Hart	4.326%
Rushmoor	3.513%
Unknown	11.563%

Participants by age:

18-24	0.73%
25-34	2.73%
35-44	6.45%
45-54	12.53%
55-64	18.72%
65-74	26.19%
75+	15.23%
Unknown	17.33%

Participants by gender:

Male	55.79%
Female	30.34%
Unknown	10.80%
Prefer not to say	2.31%
Prefer to self describe...	0.66%
Trans	0.10%

Participants by ethnicity:

White British	81.44%
Unknown	11.51%
Other: Please state	2.81%
Any other white background	1.81%
White Irish	0.73%
Any other mixed background	0.37%
White & Asian	0.29%
Asian - Indian	0.29%
Any other ethnic group	0.16%
Any other Asian background	0.16%
Any other Black background	0.10%
Black Caribbean	0.08%
Asian - Pakistani	0.08%
White & Black Caribbean	0.05%
Chinese	0.05%
White & Black African	0.03%
Gypsy Roma Traveller	0.03%
Black African	0.03%

District: willingness to support the 4.4% precept increase

	Yes, I would	No, I would not	I don't know
Hart	72.12%	20.61%	7.27%
East Hants	68.40%	26.40%	5.20%
Portsmouth	68.13%	25.82%	6.04%
Winchester	68.06%	23.61%	8.33%
Fareham	67.47%	28.92%	3.61%
Havant	65.67%	28.36%	5.97%
Basingstoke and Deane	64.55%	29.97%	5.48%
Test Valley	64.52%	29.44%	6.05%
New Forest	63.88%	28.06%	8.06%
Eastleigh	62.67%	31.67%	5.67%
Southampton	62.60%	30.71%	6.69%
Gosport	61.49%	32.76%	5.75%
Unknown	58.27%	35.17%	6.56%
Rushmoor	57.46%	39.55%	2.99%
Isle of Wight	48.60%	46.37%	5.03%

District: crimes to tackle

	Basingstoke and Deane	East Hants	Eastleigh	Fareham	Gosport	Hart	Havant	Isle of Wight	New Forest	Portsmouth	Rushmoor	Southampton	Test Valley	Winchester	Unknown
Knife crime	72.33%	68.40%	80.33%	77.51%	74.14%	76.36%	80.22%	62.57%	74.03%	72.53%	74.63%	77.95%	75.81%	64.58%	56.92%
Rape and serious sexual of..	68.59%	68.40%	77.67%	77.11%	75.29%	72.73%	76.49%	62.57%	69.85%	75.27%	79.10%	78.74%	73.39%	70.14%	56.92%
Violent crimes (including a..	70.61%	68.00%	79.67%	73.90%	71.26%	76.36%	82.46%	61.45%	72.84%	73.08%	80.60%	79.53%	73.79%	65.97%	51.25%
Drug dealing	73.20%	73.20%	75.33%	76.71%	74.71%	75.76%	74.63%	69.27%	72.54%	75.82%	69.40%	72.83%	70.56%	66.32%	53.97%
Theft (including burglary a..	71.18%	72.80%	75.33%	72.29%	68.39%	72.12%	75.75%	60.89%	76.12%	67.03%	77.61%	79.53%	73.79%	70.14%	54.20%
Child sexual exploitation	64.27%	66.00%	66.33%	67.87%	68.39%	69.70%	73.88%	58.10%	63.88%	69.23%	70.15%	72.83%	69.35%	63.19%	49.43%
Crimes against those who..	66.57%	65.20%	69.67%	63.86%	68.97%	67.27%	73.88%	56.98%	60.30%	63.19%	69.40%	70.08%	63.31%	63.54%	49.89%
Anti-social behaviour: crim..	62.25%	64.00%	69.33%	67.07%	67.24%	60.61%	71.27%	60.34%	62.69%	66.48%	61.94%	72.05%	68.95%	56.25%	45.35%
Anti-social behaviour: dru..	61.38%	61.20%	66.00%	64.26%	68.39%	60.00%	65.67%	65.36%	57.31%	74.18%	66.42%	64.57%	58.87%	54.86%	43.99%
Fraud and scams	59.94%	61.20%	63.00%	62.65%	63.22%	58.18%	63.06%	52.51%	55.52%	57.69%	60.45%	66.54%	61.29%	54.17%	47.39%
Domestic abuse	60.23%	57.20%	60.00%	57.03%	57.47%	59.39%	61.19%	52.51%	55.22%	64.29%	66.42%	64.17%	60.08%	54.17%	40.36%
Anti-social behaviour: dru..	52.74%	48.00%	58.00%	61.45%	62.64%	48.48%	61.57%	59.22%	49.85%	63.74%	60.45%	62.20%	51.21%	46.53%	39.46%
Modern slavery/ human tr..	51.01%	49.20%	54.67%	51.81%	50.00%	55.76%	55.60%	39.11%	48.06%	53.85%	54.48%	58.66%	47.18%	50.00%	36.73%
Rural crime (i.e. incidents ..	59.94%	62.40%	46.67%	43.78%	39.08%	44.24%	47.39%	50.84%	63.88%	39.01%	42.54%	44.09%	58.87%	62.85%	39.68%
Anti-social behaviour: gro..	44.67%	44.80%	51.33%	55.82%	58.05%	44.85%	60.07%	44.13%	46.87%	57.14%	52.24%	55.51%	43.95%	38.19%	37.19%
Hate crime (i.e. a crime mo..	47.55%	46.00%	54.00%	48.59%	51.72%	48.48%	53.36%	39.66%	45.37%	54.40%	57.46%	57.09%	48.39%	45.14%	34.69%
Animal abuse	50.43%	46.80%	50.67%	52.61%	45.98%	49.09%	56.72%	45.81%	52.54%	50.55%	51.49%	53.54%	44.35%	42.71%	34.69%
Cyber crime (i.e. crimes ca..	48.70%	52.80%	53.33%	51.81%	45.98%	41.82%	59.33%	37.99%	45.37%	48.90%	55.22%	54.72%	45.16%	46.53%	36.28%
Road safety (e.g. speeding..	49.28%	54.80%	46.33%	49.00%	48.28%	38.79%	48.13%	54.75%	47.16%	41.76%	47.01%	53.94%	43.15%	53.82%	35.37%
Business crime (i.e. any cri..	36.89%	32.80%	39.33%	36.55%	35.63%	30.91%	42.16%	35.20%	38.21%	41.76%	38.06%	43.31%	39.52%	30.90%	28.12%
Other - please specify	7.20%	6.80%	5.33%	5.22%	10.92%	6.06%	7.84%	13.97%	7.76%	13.19%	5.22%	10.63%	4.84%	7.99%	7.94%
Not applicable - no crime i..	2.59%	2.40%	1.33%	4.02%	3.45%	4.24%	3.73%	3.91%	0.60%	3.30%	3.73%	1.97%	2.42%	2.08%	2.04%
Prefer not to say	0.86%	0.80%	2.00%	1.20%	1.72%	0.61%	1.12%	1.68%	0.60%	3.30%	2.24%	1.97%	2.02%	1.04%	2.27%
Don't know	1.15%	0.40%	0.33%	1.20%	1.15%	0.61%	1.12%	2.23%	0.30%	1.10%	2.24%	0.79%	1.21%	1.04%	2.04%

Age/Gender: willingness to support the 4.4% precept increase

		Female	Male	Null & Prefer not to say	Prefer to self describe: Write In	Trans
Yes, I would	Unknown	4.15%	4.98%	41.80%	12.00%	
	18-24	0.43%	0.52%			
	25-34	2.16%	1.27%	0.40%		
	35-44	5.10%	3.10%	0.20%		
	45-54	11.93%	5.83%	1.00%		
	55-64	16.59%	11.51%	0.60%		25.00%
	65-74	20.92%	21.01%	0.80%	4.00%	
	75+	9.42%	14.80%	0.20%	12.00%	
No, I would not	Unknown	1.12%	2.30%	26.60%		
	15-17		0.09%	0.20%		
	18-24	0.35%	0.23%			
	25-34	0.78%	1.22%	0.80%	4.00%	
	35-44	2.68%	2.40%	1.60%	8.00%	
	45-54	5.70%	5.22%	1.80%	8.00%	50.00%
	55-64	4.93%	7.10%	2.80%	12.00%	
	65-74	5.27%	8.36%	2.40%	12.00%	25.00%
75+	1.12%	5.17%	0.20%	4.00%		
I don't know	Unknown	0.61%	0.42%	4.60%	4.00%	
	18-24		0.09%	0.20%		
	25-34	0.69%	0.05%	0.20%		
	35-44	1.38%	0.38%	0.40%	8.00%	
	45-54	0.69%	0.56%	0.20%		
	55-64	1.99%	1.03%	0.40%	4.00%	
	65-74	1.38%	1.41%	0.60%	4.00%	
	75+	0.61%	0.94%		4.00%	

Age/Gender: crimes to tackle

	Female							Male								
	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75+	Unkno..	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75+	Unkno..
Violent crimes (including assau..	88.89%	57.14%	69.81%	73.11%	79.78%	78.68%	81.40%	73.53%	72.22%	53.70%	69.60%	67.21%	71.05%	73.13%	76.18%	76.22%
Knife crime	77.78%	59.52%	72.64%	68.87%	77.21%	79.94%	83.72%	76.47%	83.33%	51.85%	70.40%	65.18%	66.51%	75.88%	82.25%	75.61%
Rape and serious sexual offenc..	88.89%	69.05%	84.91%	78.77%	80.15%	81.50%	80.62%	75.00%	83.33%	61.11%	74.40%	61.13%	66.03%	72.06%	71.46%	75.61%
Drug dealing	22.22%	50.00%	62.26%	69.34%	76.47%	78.37%	89.15%	76.47%	55.56%	48.15%	55.20%	65.59%	69.86%	76.18%	80.67%	77.44%
Theft (including burglary and v..	66.67%	57.14%	66.04%	76.42%	75.37%	76.80%	74.42%	67.65%	77.78%	61.11%	71.20%	69.64%	72.73%	73.74%	74.61%	70.73%
Child sexual exploitation	77.78%	69.05%	78.30%	66.04%	74.63%	76.18%	78.29%	75.00%	61.11%	42.59%	58.40%	53.85%	57.18%	70.23%	68.76%	71.34%
Anti-social behaviour: criminal ..	44.44%	47.62%	51.89%	59.43%	61.76%	72.10%	78.29%	64.71%	55.56%	40.74%	53.60%	55.06%	63.64%	71.15%	73.48%	64.02%
Domestic abuse	66.67%	61.90%	78.30%	67.45%	70.96%	70.53%	68.99%	70.59%	66.67%	33.33%	52.00%	47.37%	52.63%	54.20%	57.98%	59.15%
Crimes against those who mig..	66.67%	59.52%	74.53%	66.98%	77.21%	74.92%	79.07%	75.00%	61.11%	44.44%	56.00%	53.44%	60.29%	67.63%	66.74%	62.80%
Fraud and scams	33.33%	33.33%	54.72%	48.11%	67.28%	72.73%	76.74%	57.35%	55.56%	31.48%	35.20%	44.13%	54.07%	68.24%	68.76%	60.98%
Anti-social behaviour: drug tak..	33.33%	47.62%	58.49%	62.26%	65.81%	70.85%	75.97%	77.94%	50.00%	50.00%	47.20%	53.85%	62.20%	63.21%	64.72%	66.46%
Animal abuse	44.44%	47.62%	47.17%	59.43%	63.97%	66.14%	68.99%	58.82%	61.11%	31.48%	36.80%	36.84%	39.71%	45.50%	48.54%	49.39%
Road safety (e.g. speeding, roa..	22.22%	35.71%	38.68%	48.58%	54.04%	55.80%	63.57%	52.94%	33.33%	42.59%	39.20%	42.51%	44.02%	51.76%	48.09%	50.61%
Anti-social behaviour: drunken..	44.44%	40.48%	51.89%	52.36%	57.35%	65.83%	68.22%	60.29%	22.22%	29.63%	44.00%	45.34%	52.87%	60.61%	59.55%	50.61%
Modern slavery/ human traffick..	44.44%	40.48%	56.60%	53.77%	61.40%	62.07%	68.99%	58.82%	44.44%	29.63%	43.20%	37.25%	45.22%	50.69%	52.13%	48.78%
Rural crime (i.e. incidents that ..	44.44%	35.71%	36.79%	44.34%	61.03%	63.95%	83.72%	50.00%	27.78%	38.89%	36.80%	43.72%	46.89%	53.13%	53.71%	51.83%
Hate crime (i.e. a crime motiva..	55.56%	38.10%	61.32%	54.25%	60.29%	61.44%	62.79%	64.71%	38.89%	37.04%	45.60%	42.51%	41.15%	46.11%	50.79%	42.68%
Anti-social behaviour: groups o..	22.22%	33.33%	45.28%	45.28%	52.21%	58.62%	59.69%	54.41%	16.67%	25.93%	45.60%	41.30%	43.78%	55.57%	48.76%	50.00%
Cyber crime (i.e. crimes carried..	22.22%	28.57%	50.94%	46.23%	58.82%	58.31%	63.57%	47.06%	38.89%	12.96%	27.20%	38.06%	44.74%	52.82%	58.43%	46.34%
Business crime (i.e. any crime ..	33.33%	21.43%	26.42%	31.13%	45.96%	42.95%	49.61%	38.24%	61.11%	20.37%	29.60%	28.34%	35.89%	38.93%	41.35%	37.20%
Other - please specify			9.43%	3.77%	6.99%	7.21%	7.75%	7.35%	16.67%	5.56%	4.80%	7.29%	6.94%	9.31%	8.99%	11.59%
Prefer not to say				0.47%	1.10%	0.63%	2.33%	2.94%	5.56%	3.70%	1.60%	1.62%	0.96%	0.76%	2.47%	0.61%
Don't know		2.38%		0.47%	0.37%	0.94%	3.10%	1.47%				0.81%	0.72%	1.22%	0.90%	1.22%
Not applicable - no crime in par..			0.94%	1.42%	0.74%	2.51%	3.88%	2.94%		7.41%	3.20%	5.26%	2.39%	1.53%	4.04%	3.66%

Ethnicity: willingness to support the 4.4% precept increase

	Yes, I would	No, I would not	I don't know
White British	66.42%	27.78%	5.80%
Unknown	50.34%	30.30%	5.69%
Other: Please state	25.23%	66.36%	8.41%
Any other white backgrou..	59.42%	33.33%	7.25%
White Irish	39.29%	50.00%	10.71%
Any other mixed backgrou..	57.14%	35.71%	7.14%
White & Asian	45.45%	36.36%	18.18%
Asian - Indian	36.36%	45.45%	18.18%
Any other ethnic group	33.33%	66.67%	
Any other Asian backgrou..	33.33%	66.67%	
Any other Black backgrou..	100.00%		
Black Caribbean	66.67%	33.33%	
Asian - Pakistani	33.33%	66.67%	
White & Black Caribbean		100.00%	
Chinese	50.00%	50.00%	
White & Black African		100.00%	
Gypsy Roma Traveller			100.00%
Black African		100.00%	

Ethnicity: crimes to tackle

	White British	Any other Asian background	Any other Black background	Any other ethnic group	Any other mixed background	Any other white background	Asian - Indian	Asian - Pakistani	Black African	Black Caribbean	Chinese	Gypsy Roma Traveller	Other: Please state	White & Asian	Unknown	White & Black African	White & Black Caribbean	White Irish
Knife crime	74.69%	16.67%	100.00%	66.67%	57.14%	73.91%	81.82%	66.67%		100.00%	100.00%		57.94%	90.91%	56.49%	100.00%	100.00%	50.00%
Rape and serio..	73.50%	50.00%	25.00%	33.33%	64.29%	78.26%	81.82%	100.00%		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	57.01%	81.82%	57.18%	100.00%	50.00%	75.00%
Violent crimes ..	73.82%	33.33%	50.00%	50.00%	64.29%	73.91%	81.82%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	50.00%		68.22%	90.91%	51.25%	100.00%	50.00%	64.29%
Drug dealing	73.76%	66.67%	100.00%	33.33%	42.86%	56.52%	90.91%	100.00%		100.00%	100.00%		63.55%	63.64%	54.44%	100.00%	100.00%	53.57%
Theft (includin..	73.08%	50.00%	75.00%	66.67%	64.29%	71.01%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%		61.68%	100.00%	55.13%		100.00%	46.43%
Child sexual ex..	67.64%	16.67%	50.00%	16.67%	64.29%	66.67%	63.64%	66.67%		100.00%	50.00%	100.00%	57.94%	72.73%	49.43%	100.00%	50.00%	71.43%
Crimes against ..	66.81%	33.33%	75.00%	16.67%	57.14%	65.22%	54.55%	100.00%		100.00%	50.00%	100.00%	50.47%	36.36%	49.66%	100.00%	50.00%	53.57%
Anti-social beh..	65.94%	50.00%	25.00%	50.00%	57.14%	59.42%	45.45%	66.67%	100.00%	66.67%	50.00%		56.07%	63.64%	45.79%	100.00%	100.00%	39.29%
Anti-social beh..	63.62%	66.67%	50.00%	66.67%	57.14%	52.17%	45.45%	66.67%		66.67%	50.00%		54.21%	54.55%	44.42%	100.00%	50.00%	42.86%
Fraud and scams	60.40%	50.00%	50.00%	16.67%	35.71%	59.42%	72.73%	100.00%		66.67%	50.00%	100.00%	56.07%	72.73%	46.70%	100.00%	50.00%	53.57%
Domestic abuse	59.50%	50.00%	50.00%	33.33%	57.14%	62.32%	36.36%	100.00%		100.00%	50.00%	100.00%	45.79%	63.64%	40.32%	100.00%		53.57%
Anti-social beh..	56.25%	33.33%	75.00%	66.67%	57.14%	47.83%	45.45%	33.33%		66.67%	50.00%		53.27%	54.55%	38.72%			28.57%
Rural crime (i.e..	52.67%	16.67%	25.00%	16.67%	42.86%	47.83%	36.36%	66.67%		100.00%	50.00%	100.00%	48.60%	45.45%	39.18%	100.00%	50.00%	28.57%
Modern slaver..	51.58%	50.00%	25.00%	16.67%	35.71%	59.42%	45.45%	66.67%		100.00%	50.00%	100.00%	45.79%	45.45%	37.13%	100.00%	50.00%	39.29%
Anti-social beh..	50.00%	33.33%		50.00%	28.57%	47.83%	18.18%	33.33%		66.67%			50.47%	72.73%	36.45%		50.00%	25.00%
Animal abuse	50.16%	16.67%		16.67%	35.71%	52.17%	36.36%	100.00%		66.67%	50.00%	100.00%	42.99%	54.55%	34.85%	100.00%	50.00%	35.71%
Cyber crime (i..	49.84%	66.67%	25.00%	33.33%	35.71%	46.38%	36.36%	66.67%		66.67%	50.00%	100.00%	42.99%	54.55%	35.99%		50.00%	46.43%
Hate crime (i.e..	50.16%	16.67%	50.00%	50.00%	35.71%	57.97%	54.55%	66.67%		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	28.97%	45.45%	34.40%	100.00%	50.00%	39.29%
Road safety (e..	49.07%	33.33%	50.00%		42.86%	46.38%	63.64%	33.33%		66.67%	50.00%		39.25%	63.64%	35.76%	100.00%	50.00%	39.29%
Business crime..	37.96%	16.67%			21.43%	36.23%	45.45%	66.67%		100.00%			34.58%	45.45%	26.88%		50.00%	17.86%
Other - please s..	7.76%			16.67%	7.14%	5.80%							13.08%		8.43%			7.14%
Not applicable..	2.54%			16.67%	7.14%	4.35%	9.09%						5.61%		1.59%			
Prefer not to say	1.32%	16.67%				2.90%							5.61%		1.82%			
Don't know	0.87%					1.45%							2.80%		2.51%			

PCC priority: agreement level

PCC priority	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
600 More Police Officers By 2023	52.25%	24.36%	12.61%	1.97%	5.93%
Zero Tolerance Approach On Knife Crime	64.47%	18.93%	8.15%	1.23%	4.12%
Improve Police Visibility	56.03%	23.62%	10.38%	1.81%	5.03%
Tackle Anti-Social Behaviour	48.40%	30.47%	11.54%	1.52%	4.27%
Targeting Rural Crime	35.45%	31.12%	22.68%	2.81%	3.99%
Prevent Young People From Committing Crime	40.85%	33.30%	16.39%	1.78%	3.70%
Making It Easier To Report Crime Through 101	29.89%	27.48%	29.60%	3.67%	5.22%
Improved Outcomes For Victims	38.67%	31.65%	19.93%	1.97%	3.62%
Crack Down On Unauthorised Encampments	34.29%	28.76%	23.49%	3.99%	5.11%

PCC priority: by age

Priority agreement level	My age is:	PCC priority								
		600 More Police Officers By 2023	Zero Tolerance Approach On Knife Crime	Improve Police Visibility	Tackle Anti-Social Behaviour!	Targeting Rural Crime	Prevent Young People From Committing Crime	Making It Easier To Report Crime Through 101	Improved Outcomes For Victims	Crack Down On Unauthorised Encampments
Strongly Agree	18-24	28.57%	67.86%	25.00%	32.14%	25.00%	50.00%	25.00%	42.86%	25.00%
	25-34	38.46%	48.08%	46.15%	32.69%	33.65%	35.58%	20.19%	39.42%	25.00%
	35-44	46.34%	59.76%	55.28%	45.93%	29.27%	43.09%	30.49%	44.31%	28.86%
	45-54	43.93%	59.00%	53.14%	47.91%	32.01%	39.96%	26.36%	39.75%	25.73%
	55-64	54.06%	63.03%	58.96%	54.90%	37.25%	40.76%	32.07%	39.64%	37.25%
	65-74	57.86%	70.27%	61.46%	52.15%	39.04%	42.14%	31.73%	40.34%	37.54%
	75+	58.35%	73.84%	58.35%	47.50%	36.49%	43.20%	32.19%	36.32%	41.31%
	Unknown	48.11%	57.49%	47.96%	41.00%	32.83%	37.37%	26.93%	34.19%	30.26%
Agree	18-24	28.57%	14.29%	35.71%	28.57%	25.00%	28.57%	39.29%	25.00%	21.43%
	25-34	25.96%	26.92%	21.15%	39.42%	21.15%	40.38%	24.04%	23.08%	23.08%
	35-44	23.58%	20.73%	22.76%	31.30%	27.64%	31.30%	17.48%	32.11%	22.76%
	45-54	25.52%	22.38%	23.22%	29.08%	28.87%	34.94%	26.15%	30.33%	31.38%
	55-64	24.79%	20.03%	22.83%	28.29%	31.23%	33.33%	25.91%	31.79%	29.41%
	65-74	25.53%	20.12%	24.32%	33.03%	34.53%	37.64%	32.93%	34.43%	30.63%
	75+	27.54%	16.35%	27.54%	37.01%	39.07%	36.49%	32.87%	38.21%	34.08%
	Unknown	18.15%	13.92%	20.57%	22.54%	23.75%	22.69%	20.73%	24.05%	22.09%
Neither agree nor disagree	18-24	10.71%	7.14%	10.71%	25.00%	28.57%	10.71%	17.86%	25.00%	35.71%
	25-34	19.23%	10.58%	14.42%	14.42%	28.85%	13.46%	32.69%	25.00%	27.88%
	35-44	18.70%	11.79%	10.57%	14.63%	29.67%	19.51%	32.52%	16.67%	30.08%
	45-54	20.08%	11.30%	15.48%	15.90%	29.92%	16.95%	38.70%	23.64%	29.50%
	55-64	14.29%	11.62%	11.20%	10.78%	24.51%	20.17%	30.53%	21.71%	23.11%
	65-74	10.11%	6.01%	8.71%	10.51%	21.62%	15.72%	29.23%	20.52%	25.83%
	75+	9.47%	6.20%	9.98%	12.05%	21.00%	17.21%	31.33%	21.69%	19.97%
	Unknown	8.62%	5.30%	7.72%	8.02%	14.52%	11.65%	19.97%	12.71%	15.28%
Disagree	18-24	17.86%	10.71%	17.86%	7.14%	21.43%	10.71%	14.29%	7.14%	14.29%
	25-34	4.81%	4.81%	5.77%	3.85%	7.69%	2.88%	9.62%	3.85%	11.54%
	35-44	4.07%	3.66%	5.28%	4.07%	8.13%	3.25%	12.20%	2.85%	10.57%
	45-54	2.51%	2.09%	2.51%	1.67%	4.39%	3.14%	3.56%	2.09%	5.86%
	55-64	1.68%	0.98%	1.54%	0.98%	2.24%	1.68%	4.20%	2.38%	4.76%
	65-74	1.10%	0.20%	1.10%	0.90%	1.70%	1.10%	1.80%	1.30%	2.70%
	75+	1.38%	0.34%	1.03%	0.86%	1.20%	1.03%	1.38%	1.55%	1.89%
	Unknown	1.82%	1.21%	0.76%	1.97%	1.66%	1.51%	3.48%	1.97%	1.51%
Strongly disagree	18-24	14.29%		10.71%	7.14%			3.57%		3.57%
	25-34	11.54%	9.62%	12.50%	9.62%	8.65%	7.69%	13.46%	8.65%	12.50%
	35-44	7.32%	4.07%	6.10%	4.07%	5.28%	2.85%	7.32%	4.07%	7.72%
	45-54	7.95%	5.23%	5.65%	5.44%	4.81%	5.02%	5.23%	4.18%	7.53%
	55-64	5.18%	4.34%	5.46%	5.04%	4.76%	4.06%	7.28%	4.48%	5.46%
	65-74	5.41%	3.40%	4.40%	3.40%	3.10%	3.40%	4.30%	3.40%	3.30%
	75+	3.27%	3.27%	3.10%	2.58%	2.24%	2.07%	2.24%	2.24%	2.75%
	Unknown	6.66%	4.24%	4.99%	4.54%	4.39%	3.78%	4.99%	3.03%	5.75%

PCC priority: by gender

		PCC priority								
		600 More Police Officers By 2023	Zero Tolerance Approach On Knife Crime	Improve Police Visibility	Tackle Anti-Social Behaviour	Targeting Rural Crime	Prevent Young People From Committing Crime	Making It Easier To Report Crime Through 101	Improved Outcomes For Victims	Crack Down On Unauthorised Encampments
Strongly Agree	Female	55.49%	70.44%	60.33%	55.32%	42.18%	52.12%	36.56%	47.62%	33.28%
	Male	53.62%	64.85%	57.14%	47.84%	33.18%	37.31%	27.82%	35.76%	36.23%
	Prefer to self d..	48.00%	44.00%	52.00%	36.00%	28.00%	28.00%	24.00%	36.00%	36.00%
	Trans		50.00%	50.00%	75.00%		50.00%	25.00%	50.00%	
	Null & Prefer n..	39.60%	50.20%	41.60%	35.20%	30.20%	30.40%	23.60%	30.40%	28.60%
Agree	Female	26.88%	19.53%	24.55%	29.82%	33.71%	32.32%	29.90%	33.10%	31.03%
	Male	24.62%	19.64%	24.15%	33.18%	32.71%	37.22%	28.95%	33.65%	30.40%
	Prefer to self d..	8.00%	24.00%	8.00%	20.00%	8.00%	28.00%	16.00%	16.00%	12.00%
	Trans	50.00%	25.00%						25.00%	25.00%
	Null & Prefer n..	18.00%	14.20%	20.20%	21.20%	19.80%	19.40%	16.40%	20.60%	17.40%
Neither agree nor disagree	Female	11.84%	5.88%	9.42%	10.46%	19.62%	11.75%	26.97%	15.47%	27.40%
	Male	13.63%	9.77%	11.61%	13.02%	26.22%	19.36%	33.32%	24.15%	23.68%
	Prefer to self d..	16.00%	20.00%	12.00%	28.00%	48.00%	28.00%	44.00%	32.00%	32.00%
	Trans	50.00%		50.00%		100.00%	25.00%	75.00%	25.00%	75.00%
	Null & Prefer n..	9.60%	6.00%	7.00%	7.00%	12.80%	13.80%	18.80%	11.60%	12.80%
Disagree	Female	1.21%	0.78%	1.64%	1.38%	1.56%	1.12%	2.85%	1.04%	4.24%
	Male	2.21%	1.55%	2.02%	1.50%	3.71%	2.21%	4.32%	2.49%	4.42%
	Prefer to self d..	12.00%		12.00%		4.00%			4.00%	4.00%
	Null & Prefer n..	2.20%	1.00%	0.80%	2.00%	1.80%	1.60%	3.00%	1.80%	1.60%
Strongly disagree	Female	4.58%	3.37%	4.06%	3.03%	2.94%	2.68%	3.72%	2.77%	4.06%
	Male	5.92%	4.18%	5.08%	4.46%	4.18%	3.90%	5.59%	3.95%	5.26%
	Prefer to self d..	16.00%	12.00%	16.00%	16.00%	12.00%	16.00%	16.00%	12.00%	16.00%
	Trans		25.00%		25.00%		25.00%			
	Null & Prefer n..	8.60%	5.00%	6.60%	5.60%	5.20%	4.40%	6.60%	3.80%	6.40%

PCC priority: by district

Priority agreement level	My area/town is:	PCC priority								
		600 More Police Officers By 2023	Zero Tolerance Approach On Knife Crime	Improve Police Visibility	Tackle Anti-Social Behaviour	Targeting Rural Crime	Prevent Young People From Committing Crime	Making It Easier To Report Crime Through 101	Improved Outcomes For Victims	Crack Down On Unauthorised Encampments
Strongly Agree	Winchester	53.82%	60.42%	52.08%	43.40%	46.88%	38.89%	30.56%	40.63%	35.76%
	Southampton	49.21%	64.57%	57.09%	51.97%	27.56%	45.67%	31.50%	43.31%	27.95%
	Gosport	48.85%	69.54%	52.87%	51.15%	27.59%	43.10%	33.33%	39.08%	31.03%
	Fareham	57.03%	67.47%	61.45%	50.60%	26.51%	40.16%	24.10%	33.73%	38.55%
	Basingstoke and Deane	53.89%	63.63%	60.81%	47.55%	42.07%	36.31%	30.84%	40.92%	43.52%
	Rushmoor	50.00%	72.39%	60.45%	51.49%	27.61%	38.81%	29.85%	46.27%	35.07%
	Hart	59.39%	71.52%	58.18%	45.45%	33.33%	46.67%	29.09%	36.97%	41.21%
	Isle of Wight	49.16%	56.42%	49.16%	49.72%	32.40%	30.73%	28.49%	32.96%	16.76%
	Test Valley	52.02%	64.52%	55.24%	52.82%	41.53%	42.74%	27.82%	38.71%	31.45%
	Eastleigh	52.33%	70.33%	58.67%	52.00%	31.67%	52.33%	30.67%	43.67%	37.33%
	New Forest	56.72%	67.76%	62.39%	48.36%	48.66%	46.57%	31.94%	39.70%	34.33%
	Havant	56.72%	70.52%	60.82%	54.85%	32.84%	42.54%	34.70%	44.40%	39.55%
	East Hants	57.60%	65.60%	59.60%	50.00%	46.80%	36.40%	30.40%	38.00%	37.20%
	Portsmouth	56.04%	68.13%	59.34%	58.79%	23.63%	48.35%	35.71%	39.56%	35.71%
Unknown	39.00%	49.89%	40.59%	33.56%	29.02%	30.16%	24.04%	28.57%	26.98%	
Agree	Winchester	26.04%	25.00%	29.51%	36.11%	31.25%	39.58%	26.04%	32.64%	33.33%
	Southampton	25.20%	18.11%	22.83%	29.53%	31.89%	31.50%	30.31%	31.89%	24.80%
	Gosport	28.16%	18.97%	31.03%	35.63%	29.31%	35.06%	24.71%	33.91%	26.44%
	Fareham	23.69%	20.88%	20.88%	32.53%	34.54%	33.73%	33.33%	35.74%	29.32%
	Basingstoke and Deane	25.94%	20.46%	23.05%	33.14%	33.72%	38.62%	29.11%	33.43%	29.39%
	Rushmoor	28.36%	17.16%	20.90%	32.84%	38.81%	40.30%	33.58%	29.10%	33.58%
	Hart	23.03%	19.39%	30.30%	43.03%	35.76%	35.15%	27.88%	40.61%	35.15%
	Isle of Wight	22.91%	20.67%	26.82%	26.82%	29.61%	38.55%	27.37%	26.82%	24.02%
	Test Valley	23.39%	20.16%	20.97%	27.02%	29.44%	31.45%	25.00%	31.85%	31.05%
	Eastleigh	27.33%	16.67%	22.33%	31.00%	33.67%	27.33%	29.00%	33.67%	28.67%
	New Forest	24.78%	19.70%	23.28%	34.63%	33.13%	33.43%	29.55%	34.03%	32.54%
	Havant	24.25%	17.91%	22.01%	30.60%	32.46%	35.45%	29.48%	29.48%	38.06%
	East Hants	23.60%	20.00%	24.80%	27.20%	31.20%	42.80%	28.00%	31.20%	28.00%
	Portsmouth	26.37%	19.78%	22.53%	28.57%	34.62%	35.16%	31.32%	40.11%	30.22%
Unknown	18.14%	12.70%	19.73%	19.05%	19.27%	17.69%	17.01%	20.41%	16.33%	
Neither agree nor disagree	Winchester	12.50%	8.68%	11.46%	16.32%	16.67%	15.28%	33.33%	23.26%	21.88%
	Southampton	12.60%	9.84%	9.45%	9.45%	29.92%	16.14%	26.38%	17.72%	29.92%
	Gosport	12.64%	6.32%	10.34%	8.05%	35.06%	16.09%	31.61%	19.54%	32.18%
	Fareham	12.05%	6.43%	12.05%	10.04%	30.12%	20.48%	35.74%	24.50%	23.29%
	Basingstoke and Deane	14.99%	12.10%	11.24%	14.41%	19.31%	19.88%	31.70%	21.33%	21.33%
	Rushmoor	11.19%	4.48%	10.45%	10.45%	26.87%	15.67%	28.36%	20.90%	24.63%
	Hart	13.33%	6.67%	8.48%	9.09%	26.06%	16.36%	33.94%	18.18%	19.39%
	Isle of Wight	16.20%	11.17%	11.73%	11.73%	23.46%	17.88%	30.17%	26.82%	37.99%
	Test Valley	18.15%	10.89%	15.32%	15.73%	22.18%	21.37%	36.29%	22.98%	27.82%
	Eastleigh	11.67%	8.33%	12.00%	11.67%	29.00%	15.00%	34.00%	18.33%	23.67%
	New Forest	14.93%	10.45%	10.15%	13.73%	15.82%	17.01%	31.64%	21.79%	27.46%
	Havant	10.82%	4.85%	10.82%	7.84%	25.75%	16.04%	26.87%	19.78%	15.67%
	East Hants	11.20%	8.40%	7.20%	16.00%	17.20%	14.00%	32.80%	23.20%	28.00%
	Portsmouth	10.44%	7.14%	12.09%	8.79%	32.42%	12.09%	24.73%	15.93%	23.08%
Unknown	8.39%	4.76%	5.90%	7.48%	11.56%	12.93%	15.19%	10.88%	11.34%	
Disagree	Winchester	3.47%	1.39%	2.43%	0.69%	2.43%	1.39%	4.86%	0.69%	4.17%
	Southampton	2.36%	3.15%	3.15%	2.76%	5.91%	3.15%	4.72%	1.97%	12.20%
	Gosport	2.30%				2.87%	0.57%	4.02%	2.30%	3.45%
	Fareham	2.01%	1.20%	1.20%	3.61%	4.42%	1.61%	2.01%	1.61%	3.61%
	Basingstoke and Deane	1.73%	1.15%	1.73%	1.73%	1.44%	2.88%	5.19%	1.44%	3.46%
	Rushmoor	2.24%	2.24%	1.49%		2.24%	0.75%	1.49%		2.24%
	Hart			0.61%	1.21%	2.42%	0.61%	5.45%	2.42%	1.82%
	Isle of Wight	1.68%	2.23%	2.79%	2.79%	6.70%	4.47%	3.35%	5.59%	8.94%
	Test Valley	1.21%	0.40%	2.42%	1.21%	3.63%	0.81%	6.05%	3.23%	4.03%
	Eastleigh	2.67%	1.00%	2.00%	1.33%	1.33%	1.67%	2.67%	1.33%	5.33%
	New Forest	1.49%	0.30%	0.90%	0.90%	1.19%	1.49%	2.99%	1.79%	2.69%
	Havant	2.61%	1.49%	1.87%	0.75%	3.73%	2.61%	2.61%	2.24%	2.61%
	East Hants	2.00%	2.40%	2.00%	2.00%	1.60%	2.80%	4.80%	3.20%	2.00%
	Portsmouth	1.10%	1.10%	1.65%	0.55%	4.95%	1.10%	2.75%	1.65%	3.85%
Unknown	1.81%	0.91%	2.04%	2.04%	1.13%	0.68%	2.27%	1.36%	1.36%	
Strongly disagree	Winchester	4.17%	4.51%	4.51%	3.47%	2.78%	4.86%	5.21%	2.78%	4.86%
	Southampton	10.63%	4.33%	7.48%	6.30%	4.72%	3.54%	7.09%	5.12%	5.12%
	Gosport	8.05%	5.17%	5.75%	5.17%	5.17%	5.17%	6.32%	5.17%	6.90%
	Fareham	5.22%	4.02%	4.42%	3.21%	4.42%	4.02%	4.82%	4.42%	5.22%
	Basingstoke and Deane	3.46%	2.59%	3.17%	3.17%	3.46%	2.31%	3.17%	2.88%	2.31%
	Rushmoor	8.21%	3.73%	6.72%	5.22%	4.48%	4.48%	6.72%	3.73%	4.48%
	Hart	4.24%	2.42%	2.42%	1.21%	2.42%	1.21%	3.64%	1.82%	2.42%
	Isle of Wight	10.06%	9.50%	9.50%	8.94%	7.82%	8.38%	10.61%	7.82%	12.29%
	Test Valley	5.24%	4.03%	6.05%	3.23%	3.23%	3.63%	4.84%	3.23%	5.65%
	Eastleigh	6.00%	3.67%	5.00%	4.00%	4.33%	3.67%	3.67%	3.00%	5.00%
	New Forest	2.09%	1.79%	3.28%	2.39%	1.19%	1.49%	3.88%	2.69%	2.99%
	Havant	5.60%	5.22%	4.48%	5.97%	5.22%	3.36%	6.34%	4.10%	4.10%
	East Hants	5.60%	3.60%	6.40%	4.80%	3.20%	4.00%	4.00%	4.40%	4.80%
	Portsmouth	6.04%	3.85%	4.40%	3.30%	4.40%	3.30%	5.49%	2.75%	7.14%
Unknown	7.71%	4.99%	4.76%	4.99%	4.76%	4.08%	5.67%	2.72%	6.35%	

This page is intentionally left blank

HAMPSHIRE POLICE AND CRIME PANEL

Report

Date:	28 January 2022
Title:	Membership of Sub-Committee and Working Groups
Report From:	Democratic Services Officer to the Panel

Tel: 0370 779 6176 **Email:** Hampshire.pcp@hants.gov.uk

Purpose of this Report

1. The purpose of this report is to set out the work programme for the Panel.

Legislative Context

2. It is for the Panel to determine its number of meetings. It is anticipated that the Panel will require a minimum of four ordinary meetings in public in each municipal year to carry out its functions.
3. In addition to the scheduled ordinary meetings, additional meetings may be called from time to time, in accordance with the Panel's Rules of Procedure (see Rule 1).
4. The Panel may also be required to hold additional meetings should the Commissioner wish to appoint to specific posts within their staff, or should a non-serious complaint be made against the Commissioner which requires the full Panel to consider it.

Recommendation

5. **That the work programme, subject to any recommendations made at the meeting, is agreed.**

REQUIRED LEGAL INFORMATION:

Section 100 D - Local Government Act 1972 - background documents

The following documents discuss facts or matters on which this report, or an important part of it, is based and have been relied upon to a material extent in the preparation of this report. (NB: the list excludes published works and any documents which disclose exempt or confidential information as defined in the Act.)

Document

Location

Rules of Procedure

<https://documents.hants.gov.uk/partnerships/hampshire-pcp/PoliceandCrimePanelRulesofProcedure.pdf>

WORK PROGRAMME – POLICE AND CRIME PANEL

Appendix One

Item	Issue	Item Lead	Status and Outcomes	3 July 2021	27 September 2021	12 November 2021	28 January 2021	8 April 2022
SCRUTINY ITEMS								
Police and Crime Plan	To consider and make comment upon the PCC's Draft Police and Crime Plan	OPCC	To be considered November 2021			X		
Precept	To consider and take a decision on the PCC's proposed precept	OPCC	To be considered January 2022				X	
Annual Report	To receive the annual report of the PCC for the previous year	OPCC	Date to be agreed					
OVERVIEW ITEMS								
Annual Report	To provide an overview of the PCPs work for the previous year.	PCP	Annual report to be considered July 2021	X				

Item	Issue	Item Lead	Status and Outcomes	3 July 2021	27 September 2021	12 November 2021	28 January 2021	8 April 2022
PCP Grant Budget	To agree the proposed budget for the next financial year, and to review the previous year's spend	PCP	Budget for 2020/21 to be agreed July 2021	X				
ONGOING ITEMS OF INTEREST								
Police Recruitment	To understand progress against police recruitment targets by Hampshire Constabulary	OPCC	Ongoing – update to each meeting of the Panel	X		X	X	X
Commissioning Strategy	To understand the PCC's commissioning strategy	OPCC	Ongoing – next date for consideration to be confirmed					
Estates Strategy	To understand progress made against the Estates strategy	OPCC	Update to be brought forward in April 2022					X

Item	Issue	Item Lead	Status and Outcomes	3 July 2021	27 September 2021	12 November 2021	28 January 2021	8 April 2022
GOVERNANCE ITEMS								
Election of Chairman / Vice Chairman	Election of Chair and Vice Chairman for 2021/22	PCP	Occurs at each AGM	X				
Complaints against the PCC	To provide an overview annually on complaint activity	PCP	Occurs at each AGM	X				
Appointments and Co-option	To confirm how the PCP is meeting the balanced appointment objective in its Membership	PCP	Occurs at each AGM and throughout the year if required.	X	X			
Membership of Working Groups	To agree composition and membership of the PCP's working groups	PCP	Occurs at each AGM and throughout the year if required.	X		X		
Confirmation Hearings	To hold confirmation hearings, as required, accordance with Schedule 1 of the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011	PCP	Confirmation hearing held for Deputy PCC in July 2021 and Chief Executive in September 2021.	X	X			

Item	Issue	Item Lead	Status and Outcomes	3 July 2021	27 September 2021	12 November 2021	28 January 2021	8 April 2022
STANDING ITEMS								
Police and Crime Plan Implementation	An update on the progress made with implementing the priorities of the Plan	OPCC	Ongoing – update to each meeting of the Panel (following publication of the Plan)				X	X
Updates from Working Groups	To receive a verbal update from the Panel's working groups	PCP	Ongoing – update to each meeting of the Panel			X	X	X